Date Filed: August 25, 2026

Witness: Brendan J. O'Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Payroll. Provide the distribution and transmission percentages for each of the years 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, and forecasted in the rate year. Explain any changes in methodology over the years

Response:

Refer to the Company's response to information request OCC-199[i] for the cost allocation methodology. Please note, the distribution and transmission companies are separately tracked and are identified as separate entities within the Company's systems.

Prior Referenced Response:

[i] OCC-199 Question:

(COMPENSATION) Please refer to Exhibit CLP-EMPLOYEE-1. Please indicate from 2018 to the present how employee compensation (base pay, variable pay, and benefits) has been divided among the Company's operating companies for employees performing tasks for multiple companies, specifically indicating how Eversource ensures that employees performing work for multiple companies have their compensation allocated appropriately.

OCC-199 Response:

All employees are required to abide by and follow the corporate "Time Reporting Policy," which informs how base pay is divided among the Eversource Energy operating companies. As noted in the policy, "Each subsidiary or business segment should be charged directly, when possible, for employees' time benefiting that subsidiary or business segment." This avoids cross-subsidization between the Operating Companies, including CL&P. Please refer to OCC-199, Attachment 1, for this policy.

The following sections labeled "Shared Services and Cost Accounting" and "Shared Service Controls and Reporting" describe how productive labor (i.e. base pay) is assigned to the subsidiary/business segment and the controls in place to ensure employees performing work for multiple companies have their compensation allocated appropriately.

Shared Services and Cost Accounting
A Service Company Operating Agreement is in place between the Eversource Energy Service Company ("ESC") and CL&P to govern the provision of services provided by the ESC. Please refer to OCC-199, Attachment 2, for the service agreement between ESC and the Company for a description of the services that are provided.

There is intelligence built into accounting work orders that inform the assignment of costs to the operating companies, including CL&P, when time is entered into the Workforce system, which is the Human Resources and Information System ("HRIS") used for reporting time charging. When an ESC employee is providing services to one subsidiary or business segment, the direct methodology is utilized. These are categorized as the "N" rate codes, which will directly assign 100% of the costs to that subsidiary or business segment. For example, the following ESC work order has been established for employees supporting the CL&P distribution rate case proceeding: CL&P: CT260N11

The last three characters of the work order number contain an alpha followed by two digits. The alpha character in these examples is an "N," which indicates the methodology. In this case, "N" indicates use of the direct-charging methodology, whereby 100% of the charges will be directly assigned to a subsidiary or business segment. The last two digits of a work order number utilizing the direct methodology indicate the entity code, which is the subsidiary or business segment. When ESC employees charge the work orders noted above, the charges are assigned 100% to CL&P (entity 11). Please refer to EOE-065, Attachment 1, for a listing of the Eversource entities.

When costs are incurred to serve the Operating Companies more broadly and cannot be directly assigned by charging an operating company work order or utilizing the ESC direct methodology, costs are allocated based on a specific causal-based methodology. For example, the Plant Accounting team provides accounting services to the Operating Companies and generally allocates their time based on the Gross Plant Assets methodology; whereas members of the Customer Care team generally allocate their time based on the Number of Customers or Customer Handle Time methodologies. Allocations are made only after it is determined that it is not practical or reasonably possible to perform a direct assignment of the costs.

When direct charging is not feasible and a specific causal-based methodology cannot be utilized, common allocators are used to allocate costs. The common methodology is based on the average of net income and gross plant assets. For example, the Corporate Accounting team primarily charges work order ACTG0C04 when supporting the monthly financial close of Eversource Energy. The employees in this organization generally use a common allocator as there is no specific causal driver of the costs or causal-based methodology that may be utilized for providing these corporate accounting services. Please note that the last two characters of rate codes for causal-based and common allocators is not the entity code as it is with the direct methodology, but rather the sequential numbering of rate codes for the combinations of subsidiaries and business segments.

For a listing of the allocation methodologies used as the basis for the applicable rate codes, please refer to the ESC FERC Form 60 annual filings that present these methodologies on Schedule XXI. This Schedule also explains the rationale for the methodologies. Please refer to EOE-066, Attachment 1, for the ESC Cost Allocation Manual and additional details regarding the allocation methodologies as well as a list of rate codes.

Variable pay and employee benefits "follow productive labor charging" to ensure that each employee's total labor in a calendar year is accounted for and charged to the appropriate Eversource Energy companies/business segments based on the "productive" work performed by each employee. The accounting processes ensure the appropriate 'cost of labor' charge for each employee, including variable pay and employee benefits, follow the assignment of costs to the subsidiaries/business segments based on the productive work orders.

Shared Service Controls and Reporting

There are several controls in place to ensure costs are appropriately allocated. All rate codes are updated annually in January, and as needed, and are prepared, reviewed and approved by the Corporate Accounting Allocations team. The Director of the Corporate Accounting Allocations team is responsible for ensuring the corporate rate codes are accurate.

As it relates to the allocation or assignment of costs, whether those costs be employee payroll, invoiced charges, inventory or otherwise, the person authorized to approve the transaction is responsible for ensuring the appropriate work order and rate code are used to cause the cost to be allocated to the proper affiliate(s) depending on the subsidiaries or business segments benefitting from the goods or services provided.

In addition, the internal control structure used by ESC to ensure all costs are properly charged to the affiliates includes a process that is managed by the Corporate Accounting Allocations team. This process involves comparing the allocated charges to a manual calculation of charges eligible to be allocated to the subsidiaries or business segments. Any differences are researched and resolved.

The Corporate Allocations Team also sends out a Monthly Monitoring Report from the PowerPlan system, which houses the enterprise-wide ESC cost records. The Monthly Monitoring Report is a set of approximately 400 reports issued to all ESC Cost Center Managers, or their designees for each ESC cost center. The Monthly Monitoring Report shows the amount of operations and maintenance ("O&M") expense charged by accounting work order and the percentage by work order charged to each Operating Company. The Corporate Allocations Team also sends out a monthly Key Comparison Report that shows the percentage of O&M expense charged to each subsidiary for the current and prior month, quarter to date and the prior 12 months. The Key Comparison Report also shows the total charge to each Operating Company in that current month.

An executive summary is also prepared monthly for the Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer. This report shows a 13-month comparative analysis of ESC O&M allocated to each Operating Company by each shared service unit through the Executive Vice President level and identifies month-over-month variances within each business area.