STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

August 19, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-05-10

Vincent Pace, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Eversource Energy Service Company
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141

Re: Docket No. 26-05-10 – Application of The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy to Amend its Rate Schedules

Dear Attorney Pace:

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **RRU-1 through RRU-5** directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Company) and refers to the Company's request to amend its rate schedules.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **September 2, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

DOCKET NO. 26-05-10
APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES

Interrogatories RRU-1 through RRU-5 are directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P or Company) and refer to the Company's request to amend its rate schedules (Application).

RRU-1 (PFAFF-Capital Additions) Application, Ex. CLP-ADDITIONS-9; Ex. CLP-ADDITIONS-1 (Prefiled Testimony of Capital Additions Panel). Refer to the statement made on page 71 of this testimony that, "the Company has produced documentation in Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-9 to demonstrate that the non-billable capital costs incurred between 2017 and 2025 in relation to make ready work were reasonably and prudently incurred."

a. Reconcile the statement quoted above with the footnote on page 149, which states, "Consistent with the Final Decision of Docket 19-01-52RE01, Order No. 15, issued on May 11, 2022, Eversource began maintaining detailed records of costs attributed to the regulatory asset, including billable and non-billable make ready costs. Where make-ready costs are classified as non-billable, the underlying basis for that determination is documented. If work was performed prior to May 11, 2022, the Company has noted 'Prior to 5/11/2022' as there were no reasons documented at that time . . . ."
b. Is the documentation for non-billable capital costs in relation to make-ready work only applicable to the activity after May 11, 2022?
c. If the response to subpart (b) is not an unqualified acknowledgement, explain the documentation that the Company has provided in Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-9 related to activity that was performed prior to May 11, 2022.
d. If the response to subpart (b) is an unqualified acknowledgement, state whether, and the extent to which, the Company attempted to identify reasons for the costs incurred prior to May 11, 2022.
e. Confirm or clarify that the "produced documentation in Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-9 to demonstrate that the non-billable capital costs incurred between 2017 and 2025 in relation to make ready work were reasonably and prudently incurred" is comprised of the narrative information included within the Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-9 (e.g., the "Power Survey Attachment Comments").

RRU-2 (PFAFF-Capital Additions) Application, Ex. CLP-ADDITIONS-9. For each item marked as "Billable" in the "Status/Underlying Cause for non-billable work*" column, provide the following:

a. Define what "billable" means in this context.
b. State (yes/no) whether the Company billed a third party for this investment.
c. If the answer to subpart (a) is yes, explain why amounts billed to third parties should be included as utility plant investments.

RRU-3 (PFAFF-Capital Additions) Application, Ex. CLP-ADDITIONS-4. Refer to line 88.

a. The project description is “DSCADA 900 MHZ (NON-REGL).” Define “NON-REGL” as used in this context.
b. If not explicitly stated in subpart (a), state whether “NON-REGL” refers to non-regulated. If so, explain why this is being sought for recovery in regulated utility rates.

RRU-4 (PFAFF-Capital Additions) Application, Ex. CLP-ADDITIONS-4. Refer to line 578.

a. The project description is “Street Light Capital Reclassing.” Confirm this is an accounting reclassification and explain why it is being shown as a plant addition.
b. Explain why this reclassification was necessary.
c. Provide the journal entries that were used to book this reclassification. Include the FERC accounts.

RRU-5 (PFAFF-Vegetation Management) Application, Ex. CLP-VMP-1 (Prefiled Testimony of Vegetation Management Panel). Refer to page 11 and 12, including the statement, “Under the Joint Operating Agreement with Frontier, Frontier is required to contribute 15% of the annual vegetation management expense amount.”

a. Provide the Joint Operating Agreement with Frontier, as well as any predecessor Joint Operating Agreements with Frontier that address vegetation management costs.
b. Does the Joint Operating Agreement require Frontier to contribute 15% of the annual vegetation management capitalized amount? If not, explain why there is asymmetric treatment for expensed and capitalized amounts.
c. Quantify the annual contributions from Frontier for 2017 through 2025.
d. Were the quantified amounts in subpart (c) applied against capitalized vegetation management costs?