From: Flynn, Ermelinda
To: Flynn, Ermelinda
Subject: FW: DOCKET # 26-05-10 PROPOSED Rate Changes PUBLIC Comments
Date: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 11:38:33 AM

From: David Vieira <david@rebelorealty.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 10:54 AM
To: Pura.ExecutiveSecretary <Pura.ExecutiveSecretary@ct.gov>
Subject: DOCKET # 26-05-10 PROPOSED Rate Changes PUBLIC Comments

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello I run a small independent real estate office in Danbury. The proposed rate changes to the Distribution portion, specifically the Demand Charge is too much(84% increase).

The deliver portion of my commercial bills are usually double what the actual supply charges are. For example my last bill was 269.56 (99.06 for supply and 163.37 for Delivery). The largest portion of the Delivery charge was the Transmission Demand Charge(44.94) and Local Delivery Demand Charge(49.77) together(94.71) these 2 charges make up 58% of the delivery charge(163.37). This is at the current $14.22 per KW the proposed rate is 26.13 an 84% increase. That would increase my bill from 269.56 to 349.11 a 77% increase in my overall bill. TOO MUCH

Also WHY are there 2 demand charges? I've called Eversource about this double charge, the representative was not able to tell what the charges where for and had to research and get back to me regarding the charge explanation. Here is the explanation I received; the demand charge is based on the peak demand at any time during the month and the fee is based on that peak demand number. They could not explain why 2 charges for same Demand Charge.

An example if at one point during the month my electrical demand is 3.5KW for a period of time, although 95% of the month my demand is much less, my bill for the entire month is based on the(3.5KW)PEAK demand .

Please do not allow this increase
David Vieira
238 White Street
Danbury, CT 06810