Date Filed: August 10, 2026

Witness: John P. DiPaola-Tromba, Sean D. Redding and Jenna Turner
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(VMP/Cost Effective) The company asserts on page 29 of Exhibit CLP-VMP-1 that, by moving from a SMT to a four year cycle trimming program, that money would be saved. On line 19 of the same page, the company notes "[a] summary of the Company's analysis is presented..."; please provide the full analysis.

Response:

The Company's VMP currently implements Scheduled Maintenance Trimming ("SMT") on a four-year cycle, however, as discussed on page 27 of Exhibit CLP-VMP-1, through the SMT program, the Company currently inspects the overhead circuit system on a four-year cycle, while implementing trimming on a prioritized basis along system circuits, focusing on the circuit backbones, large laterals (high customer count laterals), and any circuit zones that had reliability issues over the prior four years. The Company's assessment is that there are cost efficiencies that could be achieved by transitioning from the aforementioned prioritized approach to trimming circuits on an end-to-end uniform basis, regardless of whether individual circuits are in direct need at the time the trim is performed, keeping the entire circuit at the same stage.

Please refer to page 34 of Exhibit CLP-VMP-2 for an analysis of condition-based and cycle trimming.