STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY
OFFICE OF EDUCATION, OUTREACH, & ENFORCEMENT

September 22, 2026
Docket No. 26-05-10

Re: Docket No. 26-05-10 - Application of CL&P dba Eversource Energy to Amend its Rate Schedules

Enclosed are interrogatories **EOE-268 through EOE-329** directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource," or "Company"). The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement (EOE) in connection with the above referenced docket, and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **4pm on October 6, 2026**. If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another interrogatory response in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

EOE requests that all interrogatory responses be included in the same document, rather than responses to each interrogatory being filed as individual documents.

Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to Electronic Filing on the Authority's website for more information. **Paper filings will be accepted only if a person is unable to file electronically. The Authority reserves the right to request paper copies of certain filings at a later date.** No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by email using the following directions: confidential material must be emailed directly to **Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov** in an email with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed publicly.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. § 1-200 et. seq. In those

exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion, a proposed protective order and nondisclosure agreement must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and give further direction as necessary. Contact the Authority's Executive Secretary at (860) 827-1553 with questions regarding making a confidential filing.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCservlist.html.

Sincerely,

By: /s/*Britany Wyman*
Brittany Wyman
Attorney
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Office of Education, Outreach and Enforcement

Enclosure
cc: Service List

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies thereof were served upon each person designated on the official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

Sincerely,

By: /s/*Britany Wyman*
Brittany Wyman
Attorney
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Office of Education, Outreach and Enforcement

The following Interrogatories, designated as EOE-268 through EOE-329, are directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource," or "Company").

EOE-268. (TRAFFIC TIMESHEET REQUIREMENTS) Explain the specific timesheet reporting requirements for traffic crews during both storm and blue-sky activities, and provide any internal or external policies, procedures, or other guidance. As part of the response, identify any specific requirements for identifying when the crews performed standby in lieu of actual traffic control services.

EOE-269. (VEGETATION CREW TIMESHEET REQUIREMENTS) Refer to Response to EOE-022. The Company's response referenced that "[g]uidance on the handling of tree crew timesheets is included in all executed contracts…" Please provide or cite the specific guidance referenced in the response and identify if there are different expectations for each vendor.

EOE-270. (CANCELLATIONS) Explain the Company's process for identifying and canceling scheduled activity either prior to or during a storm event. As part of the response, please provide a listing of all cancellations for each storm event and the amount associated with each cancellation.

EOE-271. (FUEL PROVIDERS) Identify if the Company pursued competitive bids in support of its engagement of Fuel providers. If pursued, provide documentation demonstrating the Request for Proposal (RFP) and the overall results. If not pursued, explain why.

EOE-272. (MS24F-TIMING) Refer to Exhibit CLP-STORM-IMT-3 (Event 6) and Response to EOE-019, Att.1. Explain how the information provided within Ex. CLP-STORM-IMT-3 supports an initial arrival time of 6am within EOE-019, Att.1. As part of the response, explain why the Company defined an initial arrival time that is 12 hours prior to the declaration of the ERP (i.e., Ex. CLP-STORM-IMT-3, p. 65) and 24 hours before the Emergency Operations Centers (EOCs) were activated (i.e., Ex. CLP-STORM-IMT-3, p. 65).

EOE-273. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-1, pp. 23-62. The Company reported an incremental charge of $377,813 for the Asplundh Construction Corp. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 40, 44, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55-56, and 59, explain why each of the crews were permitted to commence billing on April 3, 2024, at either 0600 or 0630 and solely bill for standby when the ERP declaration did not occur until 1800. As part of the response, please identify the

incremental cost for the standby billing on April 3, 2024 for the hours prior to the 1800 ERP declaration.

b. Referring to p. 43, explain why two individuals were permitted to bill for four hours (each) of travel for demobilization on April 5, 2024, without any details regarding the commute or the documentation to demonstrate that there was a commute (i.e., there was no corresponding mobilization). As part of the response, please provide documentation that was available at the time of the review.

c. Cite the location of the vendor's contractual Pricing Schedule Exhibit (i.e., typically referred to as Exhibit A). The contract provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), starting at p. 180 includes a List of Exhibits at p. 188 which references the Pricing Schedule as Exhibit B but was unable to locate the document. Additionally, EOE observed similar documentation within EOE-024, Att.2 (Confidential). with a similar omission.

d. Referring to pp. 24-34, explain why the vendor billed for equipment during standby. As part of the response, identify the total incremental charge for the equipment billed during standby and separately identify the incremental equipment charge for the hours referenced in subsection b.

EOE-274. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibits ACCT-2 CLP-6A-1 through CLP-6A-2. The Company reported 79 incremental charges in the combined amount of $315,461 for the Asplundh Tree Expert Co vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to rows 102-106, explain why the vendor incurred storm related charges for activity that occurred between April 8, 2024, and April 12, 2024, when the storm was demobilized on April 4, 2024. As part of the response, clarify if any of the charges should have been charged to the MN24J minor storm event (i.e., referenced in EOE-013, Att.1) and, if still applicable, provide documentation to support both the necessity and applicability of the remediation to the MS24F event. Finally, explain why some of the activity was performed at OT rates (e.g., pp. 98-100) and why the activity could not be performed by Eversource employees.

b. Referring to rows 79, 80, 103, 105, and 106, the Company included charges for Disc Chippers. Explain why the Disc Chippers were necessary for storm remediation purposes. As part of the response, provide documentation to support the Company's pre-authorization of the additional charges and identify the total amount charged for Disc Chippers.

c. Referring to rows 52-58, 69-77, 92-93, and 95-96, explain why the vendor was not required to bill at ST rates for the hours billed through 1630. Specifically, the Company permitted 20 invoices to be billed at ST rates through 1600, typically for two consecutive days and failed to bill at the ST rate through 1630.

d. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing each invoice referenced above (i.e., the referenced Rows) and identify the total number of hours billed at OT rates through 1630, along with the corresponding incremental charge. Specifically, include the differential between the OT rate and ST rate multiplied by the hours billed at OT rates through 1630.

EOE-275. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-2, pp. 137-142. The Company reported an incremental charge of $500 for the CC Security Corp vendor. The invoice details activity from April 1, 2024, through April 5, 2024, details unrelated workorder numbers, and appears to include costs from April 1, 2024 (i.e., several days prior to the MS24F event) within the recovery request. Explain how the costs were relevant to the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation. To clarify, the invoice included a subsequently added reference to "34X M24F011" for April 1, 2024, but all of the activity from April 1, 2024, through April 3, 2024, was prior to the storm event.

EOE-276. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 1-22. The Company reported an incremental charge of $5,589 for the CC Security Corp vendor. The invoice details 22 individual charges with most line items referencing non-storm workorder numbers or an unrelated minor storm workorder number (i.e., MN24J). Identify the specific charges applicable to the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation. Identify the amount of charges included which are unrelated to the MS24 event.

EOE-277. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 51-77. The Company reported an incremental charge of $186,314 for the Central Connecticut Cable vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 58-59, 64-65, and 70-73, explain why the vendor reported standby hours on April 4, 2024, and billed those hours at DT rates in lieu of the OT rates during those specific hours. Furthermore, please identify the total incremental billing associated with the billing at DT rates during standby on April 4, 2024.

b. Referring to pp. 58-59, 64-65, and 70-73, explain why the vendor reported standby hours on April 4, 2024, and billed for equipment during those specific hours. As part of the response, please identify the total incremental billing associated with the billing of equipment during standby on April 4, 2024.

EOE-278. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 78-102. The Company reported an incremental charge of $4,271 for the Certified Utility Services Inc. vendor. The invoice detailed activity that occurred both prior to and subsequent to the MS24F event but did not include activity between the storm's mobilization (i.e., 1800 on April 3, 2024) and demobilization (i.e., April 4, 2024). Additionally, none of the Job assignment documents referenced the MS24F event. Identify which, if any, of the assignments supported the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation where appropriate. Identify the amount of charges included which are unrelated to the MS24F event.

EOE-279. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 110-131. The Company reported an incremental charge of $4,658 for the Certified Utility Services Inc vendor. The invoice details activity that spanned both before and after the storm event, with no activity on April 4, 2024 (i.e., the primary day of storm recovery). Additionally, none of the Job assignment documents identified the MS24F011 workorder number as being relevant. Please identify the specific assignments that applied to the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation. Identify the amount of charges included which are unrelated to the MS24F event.

EOE-280. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 132-135. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $1,080 for the Certified Utility Services Inc vendor. Explain why both invoices referenced that they only performed standby but were permitted to bill for work zone setup, in addition to the hourly labor rate. Furthermore, cite the contract provisions that permit this billing treatment. If the contract has not yet been provided, please provide. To the extent that the vendor should not have billed for work zone setup during standby, please identify the total impact of this activity throughout all invoices included within Excel Rows 126-142.

EOE-281. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 136-161. The Company reported an incremental charge of $16,693 for the Certified Utility Services Inc vendor. The invoice details activity from April 2, 2024 (i.e., prior to the mobilization at 6pm on April 3, 2024) to April 5, 2024, and references several unrelated workorder numbers. For each Job assignment document that details a different workorder number (i.e., pp. 139-141), please provide documentation to support the applicability of the charges to the MS24F event. Identify the amount of charges included which are unrelated to the MS24F event.

EOE-282. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-3, pp. 218-230. The Company reported an incremental charge of $10,253 for the Certified Utility Services Inc vendor. Explain how the Company verified the alignment of costs to the MS24F event when most of the supporting Job assignment documents referred to different workorder numbers, including MN23AK011 (pp. 221, 224, 228, and 230) and MN23J011 (pp. 222, 223, 226, and 227). As part of the response, identify if these costs were incorrectly charged to MS24F or explain why the Company didn't have the vendor correct the workorder number.

EOE-283. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 39-49. The Company reported an incremental charge of $5,715 for the Certified Utility Services Inc vendor. The incremental cost included a Job assignment document on p. 49 that identified the activity as "Storm cancel". Identify the nature of the services provided on April 5, 2024 (p. 49) and explain what "Storm cancel" was in relation to. As part of the response, identify if these costs were related to the cancellation of previously scheduled activity and if the hours represented a minimum number due to cancellation. Cite the contract provisions that permit the billing of hours for the cancellation. If the contract has not yet been provided, please provide.

EOE-284. (MS24F-VENDOR-OTHER) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 56-59. The Company reported four incremental charges in the combined amount of $1,263 for the Charter Oak Building Maintenance Inc. vendor. The invoices provided descriptions of "storm related extra work" (p. 56), "coverage for building mechanic" (p. 57), and "storm related work" (pp. 58-59). Identify the specific services performed and explain how the services were both necessary and incremental for storm remediation purposes.

EOE-285. (MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 86-87. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $14,535 for the Coleman Drilling & Blasting Inc vendor. Both of the invoices were billed for hourly activity without signed timesheets or equivalent documents. Provide the relevant signed timesheets supporting the individuals and hours worked. If timesheets were not obtained, explain how the Company was able to validate the accuracy and applicability of the charges.

EOE-286. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 88-107. The Company reported six incremental charges in the combined amount of $1,121,268 for the Conexus Energy Group LLC vendor. The Company provided invoices for five of the six charges but failed to provide signed Eversource Contractor Storm Daily

Time & Work Reports or Eversource Contractor Storm Mobilization & Demobilization Time reports (collectively timesheets). Respond to the following:

a. Provide the omitted signed timesheets for the charges included in Rows 154-158. If the documents cannot be provided, explain how the Company validated the accuracy of the billed activity.
b. Referring to pp. 98-99 (i.e., supporting Row 159), explain why the vendor was permitted to bill for lodging when they were mobilized out of Beacon Falls, CT. As part of the response, identify if the lodging expense was due to contractual obligations and specify if the vendor was lodged at a hotel. If lodged at a hotel, identify the location.
c. Referring to pp. 100-102, explain why the vendor was permitted to bill for 2 hours of travel time between Beacon Falls, CT and Milford, CT, a distance of approximately 20 miles.
d. Referring to pp. 103-107, the timesheets provided identify the first assignment dispatch time of 8:34, approximately 2.5 hours after the vendor's start time (i.e., box 6). Explain why the vendor did not record activity as standby until the initial assignment. As part of response, please identify the incremental impact of the vendor's billing of equipment for 2.5 hours.

EOE-287. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 108-126. The Company reported an incremental charge of $1,475 for the D&D Power LLC vendor. The supporting timesheet provided at p. 121 reported 2 hours for MS24F for several crew members and 2 hours for WO 81725834 for other crew members. Respond to the following:

a. Explain what specific services were provided in support of MS24A and provide the referenced "Storm Sheet".
b. Explain what specific services were performed in support of WO 81725834. Include in the response an explanation of what the timesheet's reference to "Rain Day" represents. If applicable, identify if the vendor's contract permits it to bill for a minimum number of hours when services cannot be performed due to rain. If applicable, cite the contract provisions.

EOE-288. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 127-192. The Company reported an incremental charge of $493,876 for the D&D Power LLC vendor. Referring to p. 147, explain what "Cleanup Work" and "Storm Duty" represents within the referenced Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report (timesheet) and explain why these resources were not released at 3:00pm in lieu of billing for an additional six hours. Additionally, discuss if the information contained within the timesheet identifies the individual crew members responsible for each work assignment.

EOE-289. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 193-194. The Company reported an incremental charge of $11,903 for the Distinctive Gardens & Tree Care LLC vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Cite the applicable contract and pricing schedule, inclusive of the provision that permits the Per Diem charge.
b. Identify if the vendor had access to Company provided meals on April 4, 2024, at the Torrington AWC. If the vendor had access to meals, explain why they were permitted to bill a Per Diem charge.
c. Provide the omitted Eversource Connecticut Emergency Restoration Tree Contractor Work Location report and explain if the crew performed remediation activities or were held on standby.
d. Explain why the vendor was permitted to bill for two mini excavators. As part of the response, provide documentation demonstrating the Company's prior approval of this equipment.
e. Referring to p. 194, explain why the vendor was permitted to only bill for 6 hours at an ST rate when they commenced billing at 9AM, resulting in 7.5 hours at an ST rate. (i.e., response to EOE-128) As part of the response, please identify the incremental impact of vendor's billing for 6 hours at an ST rate versus 7.5 hours at an ST rate.

EOE-290. (MS24F-VENDOR-FUEL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, p. 215. The Company reported an incremental charge of $37,930 for the East River Energy vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Explain why the consolidated invoice does not agree with the amount requested for recovery. Specifically, the invoice identifies a charge of $36,687 (p.215) versus the requested amount of $37,930.
b. Cite the applicable contract and pricing schedule, inclusive of the billed hourly labor charge.
c. Provide documentation to support the of the per gallon charge for diesel fuel, including any industry standards. Furthermore, cite to the applicable contract provision which permits the vendor to charge for diesel at that cost. If the contract has not yet been provided, please provide.

d. Provide the relevant signed timesheets or other documents supporting the validity of the hours billed. If timesheets were not obtained, explain how the Company was able to validate the validity of the hours charged.
e. The Invoice included a reference to "Detailed spreadsheet provided". Please provide the referenced spreadsheet.

EOE-291. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 216-233. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $259,193 for the E-J Electric T&D LLC vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Cite the location of the vendor's contractual Pricing Schedule Exhibit (i.e., typically referred to as Exhibit A). The contract provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), starting at p. 1147 includes a List of Exhibits at p. 1153 which references the Pricing Schedule as Exhibit B, which EOE was unable to locate in the Company's response. If it was not provided, please provide.
b. Reference the billed rates on pp. 217 and 223 and the rates provided within OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), p. 1277. Explain why the rates charged by the vendor exceed the rates included within the contractual rate table provided. To the extent that the vendor billed higher rates than referenced within the contract, provide an Excel spreadsheet demonstrating the amounts overbilled.
c. Referring to p. 223, explain why the cost of equipment was charged during standby when the Company's Master Service Agreement omits billing of equipment during standby. Additionally, identify the amount that was charged for equipment during standby.
d. Referring to pp. 218-221, explain why the Company permitted the vendor to exclude information within boxes 24 (Event Start Time) and 25 (Event End Time). As part of the response, identify when the crews received their first assignment.

EOE-292. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-4, pp. 234-247. The Company reported an incremental charge of $205,216 for the Elecnor Hawkeye LLC vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Cite the location of the vendor's contractual Pricing Schedule Exhibit (i.e., typically referred to as Exhibit A). The contract provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), starting at p. 1492 includes a List of Exhibits at p. 1498 which references the Pricing Schedule as Exhibit B, which EOE was unable to locate in the Company's response. If it was not provided, please provide.
b. Referring to pp. 238, 240, 242, 244-245, explain why the equipment was charged during standby (i.e., at the "1/2 rate" referenced) when the

Company's Master Service Agreement omits billing of equipment during standby. Additionally, identify the amount that was charged for equipment during standby.

EOE-293. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-5, pp. 1-25. The Company reported an incremental charge of $3,825 for the Elite Flaggers LLC vendor. The invoice included several references to "Rain Day" where each of the crews billed for four hours on April 5, 2024 (p. 2). Explain if the Rain Day charges involved storm remediation or if these were the result of job cancellations. If applicable to a job cancellation, identify if the vendor's contract permits it to bill for a minimum number of hours when services cannot be performed due to rain and provide the relevant citation. If applicable to storm remediation, provide documentation demonstrating the assignments performed on April 5, 2024.

EOE-294. (ECI COMPETITIVE BID ASSESSMENT) Explain the specific services performed by the Environmental Consultants Inc. vendor. Additionally, identify if the Company pursued competitive bids for the services performed by ECI prior to engagement. If pursued, provide documentation demonstrating the Request for Proposal (RFP) and the overall results. If not pursued, explain why.

EOE-295. (MS24F-VENDOR-ENVIRONMENTAL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 1-149. The Company reported an incremental charge of $6,403 for the Environmental Consultants vendor. The invoice (pp. 1-2) appears to include approximately one month of billing for the vendor and is supported by individuals' weekly timesheets. Explain how the Company determined the amount applicable to the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation. Additionally, cite to the vendor's contract and corresponding pricing schedule. If the contract or corresponding pricing schedule was not provided, please provide.

EOE-296. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 150-170. The Company reported an incremental charge of $16,352 for the Extra Duty Solutions vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Identify the specific charges (i.e., line items) within the invoice that were allocated to the MS24F storm event.
b. Explain why the invoice includes multiple line items referenced as Storm Standby for police officers. As part of the response, explain if the Company is required to stage police officers for storm events. If required, provide or cite the applicable requirements.

c. The invoice includes multiple references to "Job Cancelled." Identify the total charges for cancellations applicable to the MS24F event, as well as the charges applicable to other activities. Explain why the Company was required to incur charges for cancellations and identify the minimum amount of time that would have prevented charges for cancellations.

EOE-297. (MS24F-VENDOR-FOOD/LODGING) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 173-175. The Company reported an incremental charge of $5,707 for the Graduate Hotels Storrs vendor. Explain why the invoice differs from the amount requested for recovery.

EOE-298. (MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 178-179. The Company reported an incremental charge of $14,174 for the H I Stone & Son Trucking Inc. vendor. Explain what specific services were performed and how those services were necessitated by the MS24F event.

EOE-299. (MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 186-191. The Company reported three incremental charges in the combined amount of $16,459 for the H I Stone & Son Trucking Inc. vendor. Explain what specific services were performed and how those services were necessitated by the MS24F event. Additionally, explain why the Scope of Work (pp. 187, 189, 191) references different work order numbers.

EOE-300. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 202-211. The Company reported an incremental charge of $100,209 for the Harlan Electric Construction vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Provide a brief description of the timing of events for this vendor and reconcile those activities with the documentation provided. As part of the description, explain how the vendor would have incurred four hours of billing from 6am to 10am on April 3, 2024 (to "Pick up Eversource Buckets" as referenced on pp. 204-207) and then resumed billing on April 4, 2024, at 6am with no Travel or hotel costs. Additionally, explain why the vendor finished its last assignment at 3:30pm on April 4, 2024, but was permitted to continue billing until 10:00pm.

b. Referring to pp. 204-207, explain why the vendor was permitted to bill each employee for four hours on April 3, 2024, to "Pick up Eversource Buckets." As part of the response, identify where the vendor was mobilized from.

c. Cite the location of the vendor's contractual Pricing Schedule Exhibit (i.e., typically referred to as Exhibit A). The contract provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), starting at p. 2286 includes a List of Exhibits at

p. 2292 which references the Pricing Schedule as Exhibit B, which EOE was unable to locate in the Company's response. If it was not provided, please provide.

d. Referring to pp. 208-211, explain why the equipment was charged during standby when the Company's Master Service Agreement omits billing of equipment during standby. Additionally, identify the amount that was charged for equipment during standby.

EOE-301. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 212-215. The Company reported an incremental charge of $47,615 for the Haugland Energy Group vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Confirm that the difference between the reported recovery amount ($47,615) and the invoice amount ($48,408) is attributed to the "car vs. pole" activity referenced on p. 215. If not confirmed, explain the difference.

b. Referring to p. 215, explain if the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report was fully completed as required by the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report Procedure provided at EOE-022, Att.5. If not fully completed, explain why the Eversource reviewer did not require the information to be completed prior to approval.

c. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet supporting the calculation of the "car vs. pole" and explain how the Company was able to derive the amount based on the missing information (i.e., boxes 24 and 25) contained within the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report on p. 215.

EOE-302. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 216-227. The Company reported an incremental charge of $312,425 for the Haugland Energy Group vendor. Referring to pp. 219-221, explain how each signed Eversource Contractor Storm Mobilization & Demobilization Time Report identified the vendor's start time of 1600 and end time of 1800 but included a total billing of nine hours for April 3, 2024. As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet supporting the total amount of overbilling that resulted from the overstatement.

EOE-303. (MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 228-229. The Company reported an incremental charge of $5,968 for the Henkels & McCoy vendor. The charge related to repairs resulting from "truck ruts" resulting from Eversource Storm Work that appears to be at a residential address (p. 229). Explain how Eversource vehicles could have incurred damage to the referenced

property requiring the $5,968 of repairs (i.e., over 1600 square feet of loam/seed). Furthermore, explain why the Eversource vehicles needed to drive on the property and identify the proximity of the damage to the public road.

EOE-304. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 246-259. The Company reported an incremental charge of $231,039 for the IB Abel Inc. vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to p. 250, confirm that the Company included a charge applicable to "car vs pole". If confirmed, identify the total impact of this activity and explain why this amount was not eliminated from the storm recovery request. As part of the response, please provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet supporting applicable calculations.
b. Referring to OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), pp. 2725-2752, confirm that the vendor's Exhibit A Pricing Schedule contains the Company's standard provisions, including "Standby Rates apply to labor resources only" (i.e., p. 2732). If not confirmed, cite the revised Exhibit A Pricing Schedule that was executed (signed) for 2024 and 2025 that revised the standard provisions.
c. Referring to pp. 249-251, 253, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet calculating the billed equipment costs applicable to the reported standby activity.
d. Referring to p. 254, explain why the vendor identified approximately four hours of standby (i.e., boxes 23-25: 1635-2030) but billed that time as Work (box 12). As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing the difference in pricing (i.e., labor and equipment) had these hours been reported as standby.
e. Referring to p. 254, explain why the vendor was permitted to bill for two hours to "Eat and bed down" (i.e., boxes 23-25). As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing the incremental amount incurred for the vendor for the two hours (i.e., labor and equipment).

EOE-305. (MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 280-281. The Company reported an incremental charge of $35,967 for the KTI Utility Construction & Maintenance LLC vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Identify the total amount of charges applicable to standby activity.
b. Explain the process for when the vendor would be mobilized to perform pole replacements or repairs. As part of the response, explain why the vendor would be mobilized prior to the worksite being properly secured.
c. For each standby charge, explain the circumstances for which the vendor was engaged prior to worksite being secured.

d. Referring to the applicable Event Level Matrix (ELM) within EOE-010, Att.5, pp. 17-19, identify where the civil crews are identified for staging purposes. If not included in referenced pages, cite the applicable pages.

EOE-306. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (Outside Contractors tab) and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6 through CLP-6A-8. The Company reported 126 incremental charges (Excel Rows 198-323) for the combined amount of $495,799 for the Lewis Tree Service Inc. vendor. Explain why every Weekly Operations Summary report (e.g., pp. 283, 287, 291, etc.) included a 'Time Start' and a 'Time Finish' of 7:00AM and 4:00PM, respectively, which does not agree with the hours billed. As part of the response, explain how the Company was able to validate the accuracy of the hours billed and provide supporting documentation available to the reviewer at the time verifying that the hours were correctly billed for each invoice (i.e., crew). Additionally, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet listing each invoice, detailing the crew's start and end times, identifying the billable amounts, and identifying if supporting documentation (i.e., available to the arborist at the time of the review) is being provided.

EOE-307. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE-EQUIPMENT) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (Outside Contractors tab) and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6 through CLP-6A-8. The Company reported 126 incremental charges (Excel Rows 198-323) for the combined amount of $495,799 for the Lewis Tree Service Inc. vendor. The Company was billed for atypical equipment including extra chainsaws, chipper disks, chip trucks, log trucks, and a backyard lift. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet listing each applicable invoice, the referenced equipment, the amounts billed for the applicable equipment, and the justification for why the equipment was necessary for storm remediation purposes. Additionally, please provide documentation of prior authorization for each charge.

EOE-308. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE-EXPENSE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (Outside Contractors tab) and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6 through CLP-6A-8. The Company reported 126 incremental charges (Excel Rows 198-323) for the combined amount of $495,799 for the Lewis Tree Service Inc. vendor. Referring to Excel Row 290, explain how the "Billable Expense" referenced in the invoice is applicable to the MS24F event when each of the supporting invoices (i.e., CLP-6A-7. pp. 181-186) were dated as of March 2024, prior to the event.

EOE-309. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (Outside Contractors tab) and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6 through CLP-6A-8. The Company reported 126 incremental charges (Excel Rows 198-323) for the combined amount of $495,799 for the Lewis Tree Service Inc. vendor. Referring to Excel Row 307, explain how the referenced activity, which occurred on April 9, 2024 (several days after demobilization), related to the MS24F event. As part of the response, explain why a 2023 Tree

Removals report was provided in lieu of the Emergency Restoration Tree Contractor Work Location report and explain why that report listed activity that appears to be routine vegetation removals. If applicable, please provide additional documentation demonstrating the applicability of the activity to the MS24F event.

EOE-310. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE-DELAYED REMEDIATION) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (Outside Contractors tab) and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6 through CLP-6A-8. The Company reported 126 incremental charges (Excel Rows 198-323) for the combined amount of $495,799 for the Lewis Tree Service Inc. vendor. The Company was billed for remediation between the dates of April 10, 2024, and August 13, 2024, when the storm event was demobilized on April 4, 2024. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet listing each applicable invoice, the referenced dates, the amounts billed for each date, and, as applicable, provide documentation demonstrating that the remediation was both necessary and directly applicable to the MS24F event. To the extent that errors are identified, please reference each error in the Excel spreadsheet.

EOE-311. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-8, pp. 68-136. The Company reported three incremental charges in the combined amount of $137,422 for the Lucas Tree Experts vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 73, 75, 77, and 79, explain if the referenced Eversource Connecticut Emergency Restoration Tree Contractor Work Location reports were completed in accordance with the Company's requirements. As part of the response, identify if generic responses are indicative of standby activity.

b. Referring to pp. 76, 82, 88, 90, 100, 106, 108, 112, 116, 123, 127, 129, 131, 133, and 135, explain why the vendor was not required to bill at ST rates through 1630. Additionally, please provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing each timesheet referenced above and identify the total number of hours billed at OT rates through 1630, along with the corresponding incremental charges.

EOE-312. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-8, pp. 194-229. The Company reported an incremental charge of $271,950 for the McPhee Electric LTD vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to p. 205, explain why each of the crews were permitted to bill for four hours of demobilization travel time without any documentation to support applicable travel. As part of the response, identify the beginning and ending locations, distance between the two locations, original estimated travel time, modified estimated travel time applying

1.3 factor, and the difference. Additionally, identify the incremental cost associated with the derived difference.

b. Referring to p. 205, explain why the vendor billed equipment during standby on April 5, 2024. As part of the response, identify the cost of equipment billed during the standby hours.

c. Referring to pp. 195, identify if the vendor had access to Company provided meals for any period during their assignment. If the vendor had access, explain why they were paid for meals for the entire duration of their support.

EOE-313. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 11-23. The Company reported an incremental charge of $756,614 for the Michels Power vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 13-14, explain why the billed equipment rates exceed the contractual rates included within OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential), pp. 4391-4395. As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing the equipment billed via the invoice to equipment rates provided within the contract and identify the amount of overbill.

b. Referring to pp. 17, 19, 21, and 23, explain if the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report was completed in accordance with the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report Procedure provided at EOE-022, Att.5. As part of the response, explain why the vendor was not required to enter information within boxes 24 (Event Start Time) and 25 (Event End Time) prior to the Company's approval.

EOE-314. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 24-38. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $142,456 for the MTV Solutions Inc. vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 25-26, 29, 32, and 35, explain why the vendor was permitted a higher rate for the Digger Derrick than permitted within the pricing schedule provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential). As part of the response, identify the incremental impact of the higher rate.

b. Referring to pp. 24-38, confirm that the vendor was used in a Dock crew capacity and explain why the vendor was utilized to perform services on April 8, 2024 (several days after demobilization) in lieu of Company personnel.

EOE-315. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 39-44. The Company reported an incremental charge of $3,413 for the New England Flagger Services vendor. Certain supporting documents (i.e., pp. 41, 43) referenced "Rain Day". Explain if any of the charges related to cancellations of previously scheduled assignments in lieu of actual flagging services. If applicable to cancellations, identify the specific activity and total incremental amount.

EOE-316. (MS24F-VENDOR-SERVICE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 132-169. The Company reported an incremental charge of $96,464 for the Pasquariello Electric Corp vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Comparing p. 132 with pp. 133-142, explain why the vendor billed all activity at DT rates when each of the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Reports reported both standby and travel time. As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet calculating the excess amount billed using the Travel/Standby response rate included in the pricing schedule provided at Confidential EOE-014, Att.2, p. 2094.

b. Comparing p. 132 with pp. 133-142, explain why the vendor billed for equipment during standby. As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet calculating the total incremental charge for the equipment billed during standby.

c. Referring to pp. 133-142, explain if the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Reports were completed in accordance with the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report Procedure provided at EOE-022, Att.5. As part of the response, explain why the vendor was not required to enter the required information prior to the Company's approval.

EOE-317. (MS24F-VENDOR-FOOD) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 170-174. The Company reported three incremental charges in the combined amount of $43,802 for the Paul Gregorys LLC and Perk on Main LLC vendors. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet detailing the total all-in costs for each meal (i.e., breakfast, lunch, and dinner) and provide a comparison of the cost to the maximum meal caps outlined within Eversource's restaurant meal policy.

EOE-318. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 187-201. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $45,869 for the Riggs Distler & Company Inc vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to p. 189, confirm that the vendor was used in a Dock crew capacity and explain why the vendor was utilized to perform services on May 29, 2024, in lieu of Company personnel. Additionally, provide

contemporaneous documentation supporting that the crossarm on pole 2264 was damaged by the MS24F event.

b. Comparing p. 199 with p. 200, explain why the vendor billed for equipment during standby. As part of the response, provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet calculating the total incremental charge for the equipment billed during standby.

c. Referring to pp. 200-201, explain if the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Reports were completed in accordance with the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report Procedure provided at EOE-022, Att.5. As part of the response, explain why the vendor was not required to enter the required information prior to the Company's approval.

EOE-319. (MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-10, pp. 1-6. The Company reported an incremental charge of $58,786 for the South Norwalk Electric and Water vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Confirm that the vendor is a mutual aid provider who is not subject to a contract or pricing schedule. Additionally, confirm that the OH&B charge refers to a grandfathered overhead charge. If not confirmed, provide details regarding the vendor, the contract, and the OH&B charge. Please note any changes in process since the Company's response to EOE-615 in Docket No. 25-12-13.

b. Identify if the Company has provided mutual aid support for the South Norwalk Electric and Water vendor since the last rate case. If support has been provided, provide a detailed listing of support provided, include the dates of such support, along with a copy of the most recent invoice.

EOE-320. (MS24F-VENDOR-EQUIPMENT) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-10, pp. 21-35. The Company reported 14 incremental charges in the combined amount of $144,942 for the Sunbelt Rentals Inc. vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Provide copies of any service level agreements (SLAs) between the Company and the vendor detailing the amount of time permitted for the vendor to provide a generator upon request. If SLAs do not exist, explain why.

b. Provide a detailed listing of each generator rented, along with the corresponding costs (i.e., rental, labor, setup, etc.) and identify generators that were not utilized in support of storm response. For any generators not used, explain why the Company rented the generators and identify if there were any specific outages that warranted the rental of the generators that were resolved before usage.

c. Referring to pp. 31-32, the two invoices detailed outages from April 6, 2024, through April 8, 2024. Provide documentation to support that the underlying outages were the result of the MS24F storm event.

EOE-321. (MS24F-VENDOR-TREE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-10 through Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-11. The Company reported 17 incremental charges in the combined amount of $56,705 for the Tennett Tree Service Inc. vendor. Respond to the following:

a. The Company was billed for atypical equipment including extra chainsaws, chippers, chip trucks, and cranes (refer to the 15 invoices referenced in Rows 373-378, 380-387, and 389). Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet listing each applicable invoice, the referenced equipment, the amounts billed for the applicable equipment, and the justification for why the equipment was necessary for storm remediation purposes. Additionally, please provide evidence of prior authorization for each charge. If prior authorization was not required, explain why.

b. Explain how the Company was able to validate the accuracy of the hours billed when most of the timesheets were missing all of the hours worked (refer to the nine invoices referenced in Rows 373-377, 379-380, 382, and 389).

EOE-322. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-11, pp. 83-95. The Company reported an incremental charge of $7,027 for the Town of Greenwich for activity on April 3, 2024 (prior to the storm onset). The incremental cost was supported by an invoice (p. 83) and job forms that identified unrelated work order numbers (i.e., WO# 81849159), several charges for standby, and multiple cancellations. Explain how each of the charges were applicable to the MS24F event. As part of the response, identify if the cancellation charges were related to the cancellation of previously scheduled activity and if the hours represented a minimum number due to cancellation. If applicable, cite the contract provisions that permit the billing of hours for the cancellations.

EOE-323. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-11, pp. 105-111. The Company reported an incremental charge of $2,827 for the Town of Lebanon for activity that spanned April 5, 2024 through April 12, 2024, with several charges applying to cancellations and no references to the MS24F011 work order number. Explain how each of the charges were applicable to the MS24F event, provide contemporaneous supporting documentation, and explain why each of the cancellations were referenced as “w/o 24 hr notice”.

EOE-324. (MS24F-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-11, p. 113. The Company reported an incremental charge of $1,520 for the Town of Portland for cancellation

activity on April 11, 2024, approximately one week after the MS24F event. Explain how the cancellation charges were applicable to the MS24F event and provide supporting documentation.

EOE-325. (MS24F-VENDOR-ACCRUAL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6. The Company reported an incremental charge of $13,914 for a Tree Accrual (Row 408). Explain why the Company is reporting an accrual for Tree costs that occurred over two years ago and provide documentation supporting the amount.

EOE-326. (MS24F-LABOR/MEALS) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, Labor by Empl. Title tab. Provide documentation to support the validity of the following Meal reimbursements (stipends). As part of the response, please provide relevant calculations, union agreement citations, invoices, or other supporting documents and whether the employee received a Company provided meal or not.

a. Row 437, Chief/Top Line Mechanic, $100
b. Row 1065, Lead Line Mechanic, $100
c. Row 1661, Line Helper, $150
d. Row 2189, Line Helper, $100
e. Row 3040, Splicer/Cable Splicer Helper, $100

EOE-327. (MS24F-P-CARDS) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, P-Card tab. Provide documentation to support the validity of the following sampled P-Card expenses. As part of the response, please provide a description of the purchase, relevant invoices, applicable calculations, and other supporting documents demonstrating the necessity and applicability of each charge to the MS2FA event.

a. Row 21, Aziagos Restaurant, Meals, $9,230
b. Row 44, Coffees Country Mar, Meals, $15,273
c. Row 191, Nicks Pizza, Meals, $2,195
d. Row 193, Outback, Meals, $500
e. Row 211, Red Fox Restaurant, Meals, $5,833
f. Row 339, Vernon Pizza, Meals, $465

EOE-328. (MS24F-EMPLOYEE EXPENSE REIMB) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, Empl. Expense&Other tab. Provide documentation to support the validity of the following sampled employee expenses. As part of the response, please provide a description of the purchase, relevant invoices, applicable calculations, and other supporting documents demonstrating the necessity and applicability of each cost to the MS24F event.

a. Row 184, Employee Reimbursements, $775
b. Row 213, Employee Reimbursements, $475

c. Row 229, Employee Reimbursements, $536
d. Row 247, Employee Reimbursements, $886
e. Row 268, Employee Reimbursements, $259

EOE-329. (MS24F-EMPLOYEE EXPENSE MILEAGE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, Empl. Expense&Other tab. Provide documentation to support the validity of the following sampled mileage expenses. As part of the response, please provide the mileage logs and other supporting documents that were available to the Eversource reviewer at the time of approval demonstrating the applicability of each cost to the MS24F event, as well as the netting of the employee's normal commute.

a. Row 28, $126
b. Row 47, $110
c. Row 104, $189
d. Row 105, $63
e. Row 127, $169
f. Row 137, $136
g. Row 167, $110
h. Row 171, $173