Date Filed: October 09, 2026

Witness: Warren R. Boutin and Andrew C. Belden
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

(ISO-NE Determinations — fees and study costs charged to customers.) For January 1, 2023 to the present: (a) identify every fee, study deposit, or cost (including system impact study fees and PSCAD or other modeling costs, and including the approximately $85,000 system impact study fee demanded in connection with the Spencer Hill Projects) that the Company has demanded, collected, or passed through to any Connecticut DG interconnection customer in connection with an ISO-NE Determination, review, or study, stating the application, amount, date, and current status; (b) identify the account(s) in which each such amount is recorded and state whether any such amount, or any Company cost of performing or supporting such studies, is included in rate base, the Test Year or Rate Year revenue requirement, or any tracker or deferral in this proceeding; and (c) state whether any such amount has been refunded or credited to the customer, and if so when.

Response:

CL&P objects to this request on the ground that it is an improper effort by ALLCO to use this rate case proceeding to obtain discovery for purposes of a lawsuit that it filed against the Company on August 10, 2026, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Civil Action No. 3:26-cv-1277), outside of and in contravention of the rules of procedure that govern discovery in that lawsuit.

CL&P further objects to this request on the ground that it is not within the scope of the proceeding to review the Company's application to amend its existing rates schedules because the interrogatory either seeks information specific to Allco projects or seeks information that Allco views as relevant to its specific projects.

Without waiving said objection, please refer to the Company's response to ALLCO-129[i].

Prior Referenced Response:

[i] ALLCO-129 Question:

(ISO-NE Determinations — practice and timing.) The Company's response to ICC-008 states that "ISO-NE review required for projects greater than 1 MW is outside the state Guideline clocks and can extend the overall." For each calendar year 2023 through 2025 and 2026 to date: (a) state the number of Connecticut DG interconnection

applications the Company referred to ISO-NE for a Determination, by Level and by size band; (b) state the criterion or criteria the Company applied in deciding to refer each application (including any practice that a generator greater than 1 MW connected to a substation with 20 MW of DER online and in queue requires a Level III transmission study), identify who formulated each criterion and when, and identify every communication with ISO-NE concerning it; (c) state the average, median, and maximum elapsed time from referral to Determination; (d) state whether the time an application spends awaiting a Determination is excluded from the interconnection-timeline metrics proposed in Exhibit CLP-CUSTOMER-1, and if so under what authority; and (e) produce, in native format, a schedule listing each referred application, the date of referral, the date of Determination, the outcome, and any study or fee required.

ALLCO-129 Response:

The Company's referral criterion is based on ISO-NE requirements applicable to DER projects with incremental aggregate on-site nameplate capacity exceeding 1 MW. This criterion is independent of how much generation is on the substation.

The Company will notify ISO-NE of such projects, and ISO-NE will determine the level of review required. This may include:

I. PP5 notification - estimated cost of $5,000;
II. Determination Study- estimated cost of $15,000 (This could lead to a Transmission Level III Study); and
III. Transmission Level III Study - estimated cost of $65,000.

Actual costs are subject to change and may increase over time.

In addition to the foregoing, the Company states as follows:

(a) The number of projects greater than 1MW can be found on the Company’s publicly-available Hosting Capacity Maps site.

(b) The criteria the Company applied to each application greater than 1MW comes directly from ISO-NE. Please refer to the Company’s response to ALLCO-132

(c) Because this determination is made by a third party (ISO-NE), Eversource does not track the referral time.

(d) The interconnection timeline metrics proposed are for the level 1 residential projects. Residential projects are all less than 1MW.

(e) Please refer to the Company’s response to subpart (a).