Date Filed: October 27, 2026

Witness: Edward A. Davis and Kimberly R. Parsons
Request from: Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers

Question:

Comparing the count of Rate 39 customers specified in (i) Exhibit CLP-ACOS-2, pages 133 and 134, filed in this proceeding, to (ii) Exhibit TRP-17 filed in Case 17-10-46, it appears that the number of Rate 39 customers declined from 7 to 3. Does the Company know why the customer count in its Rate 39 class decreased over that period? Please explain in detail the utility's understanding of the factors that led to this decline.

Response:

In comparing the count of Rate 39 customers the Company notes that there are different counts of customers applied in the cost studies, and the specific difference referenced appears to relate to the total number of customers compared with the number who are served at Distribution service level (at the time, there were 4 customers who received transmission level service; since then service to one of those customers has discontinued.)