Date Filed: October 06, 2026

Witness: Mark P. Baldwin, Clifford G. Williams, Leanne M. Landry and John G. Griffin
Request from: Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement

Question:

(MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 212-215. The Company reported an incremental charge of $47,615 for the Haugland Energy Group vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Confirm that the difference between the reported recovery amount ($47,615) and the invoice amount ($48,408) is attributed to the "car vs. pole" activity referenced on p. 215. If not confirmed, explain the difference.
b. Referring to p. 215, explain if the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report was fully completed as required by the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report Procedure provided at EOE-022, Att.5. If not fully completed, explain why the Eversource reviewer did not require the information to be completed prior to approval.
c. Provide an unlocked Excel spreadsheet supporting the calculation of the "car vs. pole" and explain how the Company was able to derive the amount based on the missing information (i.e., boxes 24 and 25) contained within the Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report on p. 215.

Response:

Referring to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (MS24F), Outside Contractor-Vendor, line 189, vendor Haugland Energy Group LLC, total invoice amount $47,615.

a. The difference amount between the reported recovery amount ($47,615) and the invoice amount ($48,408) is attributed to the "car vs pole" in the total amount of $793.

b. The Eversource Contractor Storm Daily Time & Work Report is complete as required.

c. Please refer to EOE-301, Attachment 1 CONFIDENTIAL, detailing the "car vs pole" calculations. Invoices are subject to a rigorous review and approval process to ensure that all charges are accurately captured to the appropriate work order. As part of this process, the Company reviews the related event information within the Oracle Utilities Analytics system, including applicable field comments, to confirm that the costs are directly attributable to the event. Each event is assigned a defined start and end date/time within the system. Labor hours are reviewed against these event parameters and separated from

activities associated with other events to ensure costs are accurately captured and charged to the appropriate work order. For example, labor related to a “car vs. pole” incident is identified and assigned to the corresponding "car vs. pole" work order rather than being allocated to an unrelated event. This process helps ensure that costs are properly charged to the correct work orders. Please refer to EOE-301, Attachment 2, for details of the start and end time of the event.

Upon further review in drafting this response, the Company has identified an administrative clerical error in the amount attributed to the “car vs pole”. The Company will supplement ACCT-2 CLP-6 (MS24F) line 189 to be adjusted by $9,368.06, resulting in a revised total amount of $38,246.94.