Date Filed: October 09, 2026

Witness: Christopher E. Colorio, Mark P. Baldwin, John G. Griffin and Leanne M. Landry
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(MS24E) Please refer to Exhibit ACCT-2(CLP-5A-06)(MS24E), p. 56. It appears that someone crossed out "MN24F" from the invoice and instead allocated the storm to "MS24E011." Please explain who did this and how they validated that the work should be attributed to MS24E and not MN24F.

Response:

From March 6, 2024, through March 13, 2024, the Company completed three separate storm restoration events (MN24E, MN24F, and MS24E). The storm code was corrected from MN24F to MS24E after the Company Arborist reviewed the police detail invoices and determined that the work was performed in support of the MS24E, rather than the MN24F. The original entry was a vendor administrative error. The Company Arborist verifies the correction using their personal crew assignment, scheduling, and operational knowledge, which confirmed the work was associated with MS24E. The revised invoice reflects this correction and aligns the record with the appropriate storm event. The Company Arborist's signature is the verification the work was billed correctly.