Date Filed: September 24, 2026

Witness: Christopher E. Colorio, Mark P. Baldwin, John G. Griffin and Leanne M. Landry
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(Storm MN25K - Civil Crews) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 (CLP-13A-8) (MN25K). The following pertains to invoices from Henkels & McCoy Inc.

a. Per review of invoice #402951, the scope of work section of the Excavation Job Form notes '2/14/25 callout for hand digging crew to expose secondary fault 2/15/25 backfill and clean up site after completed splice' indicating that the work performed per this form was on 2/14/25 and 2/15/25 prior to the start of the storm. Why was the work documented on this form considered as part of storm MN25K if the work was performed on 2/14/25 and 2/15/25?
b. Per review of invoice #414259, the scope of work section of the Excavation Job Form notes '5/6/25 loam and hydroseed restoration from callout to expose secondary fault on 2/24'. Explain how this work is related to MN25K and if not, why was this considered part of storm MN25K?

Response:

Please refer to Exhibit CLP-13 (MN25K), Outside Contractors-Vendors, lines 30 and 32, vendor Henkels & McCoy Inc, two invoices totaling in the amount of $2,757. Upon further review in drafting this response for subsection a and b, the Company determined that these charges are not related to MN25K. The Company will supplement Exhibit CLP-13 (MN25K) to remove lines 30 and 32 in the amount of $2,757.