Date Filed: October 08, 2026

Witness: Warren R. Boutin and Andrew C. Belden
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-057 — Level 2 and Level 3 applications.) The Company's response to ALLCO-057 confined its figures to Level 1 applications and defined "completed or otherwise closed" as applications that received Permission to Operate. ALLCO-057 asked for all Connecticut DG interconnection applications. For each calendar year 2020 through 2025, separately for Level 2 applications, Level 3 applications, and any other category of application that is not Level 1, state: (a) the number and aggregate MW AC of applications received; (b) the number that received Permission to Operate; (c) the number withdrawn; (d) the number denied, rejected, or cancelled by the Company; (e) the number pending at December 31 of that year; (f) the number and aggregate MW AC that required electric power system modifications or upgrades; and (g) item (f) as a percentage of items (a), (b), and (e). Provide the schedule in native Excel format derived from PowerClerk, and state why the Company's response to ALLCO-057 omitted Level 2 and Level 3 applications.

Response:

Eversource has only Level 1 and Level 2 applications. The reporting included in this response is for Level 2. The Level 1 applications were previously reported in the Company's response to ALLCO-057[i].

a.

Level 2 (≤2 MW)	*Level Type = Level 2 and System Size ≤ 2 MW*				
Calendar Year	(a) # Applications Received	(a) Aggregate MW AC Received	(b) # Received Permission to Operate	(c) # Withdrawn	(e) # Pending at Dec 31
2020	574	207.3	273	121	581
2021	626	117.8	398	150	659
2022	314	110.0	309	96	568
2023	401	92.4	195	84	693
2024	479	138.9	279	165	725
2025	436	91.3	395	72	694
2020–2025	**2,830**	**757.8**	**1,849**	**688**	

b.

Over 2 MW		*Level Type = Level 2 and System Size > 2 MW*			
Calendar Year	**(a) # Applications Received**	**(a) Aggregate MW AC Received**	**(b) # Received Permission to Operate**	**(c) # Withdrawn**	**(e) # Pending at Dec 31**
2020	17	140.0	2	7	36
2021	31	146.0	2	12	53
2022	37	276.4	2	19	69
2023	46	281.2	1	27	87
2024	36	184.9	7	24	92
2025	35	158.7	6	5	116
2020–2025	**202**	**1,187.3**	**20**	**94**	

c. Please refer to the Company's responses to subparts (a) and (b) above.

d. The Company does not deny, reject or cancel projects. The Company notifies the customer/developer of action needed, including investments, for the project to move forward. The customer/developer decides if the actions are a viable option for the project.

e. Please refer to the Company's responses to subparts (a) and (b) above.

f. This data is not tracked in Power Clerk.

g. The percentages cannot be calculated.

The Company notes that the Company's response to ALLCO-057, which was a follow-up to the Company's response to ALLCO-016[ii] regarding the proposed Level 1 DER Interconnection Processing metric, requested additional information to what the Company provided in ALLCO-016, Attachment 1. ALLCO-057 did not request that Eversource provide information on Level 2 applications, which is irrelevant to the proposed metric. There are no Level 3 applications.

Prior Referenced Response:

---

[i] ALLCO-057 Question:

Follow-up to the Company's response to Q-ALLCO-016 (applications excluded from interconnection performance metrics). The Company's response and Attachment 1 provided annual counts of interconnection applications excluded from the Company's interconnection-timeline performance metrics because they require transformer upgrades (187 in 2020; 142 in 2021; 122 in 2022; 406 in 2023; 534 in 2024; 671 in 2025), but did not provide the percentage figures and total-application denominators requested. For each calendar year 2020 through 2025:

(a) state the total number of Connecticut DG interconnection applications received;
(b) state the total number of applications completed or otherwise closed; and

(c) state the excluded applications identified in the Company's response as a percentage of each of (a) and (b).

(d) If the Company contends it cannot derive these figures from PowerClerk or any other system, describe each system of record for interconnection applications and state which data fields it maintains.

ALLCO-057 Response:

Please refer to ALLCO-057, Attachment 1, which includes the previously provided count and percentage of Level 1 projects requiring an upgrade as requested in the Company's response to ALLCO-016i, with the addition of three newly requested columns as detailed above in bullets a-c. The figures are for Level 1 Connecticut DG interconnection applications as referenced in ALLCO-016. For the purposes of this filing the Company is considering "completed or otherwise closed" applications as those that received Permission to Operate. The Company has derived these figures from PowerClerk, so no alternative description of additional data sources is applicable.

[ii] ALLCO-016 Question:

State, for each year 2020 through 2025, the number and aggregate MW of DER interconnection applications that would be excluded from the proposed Level 1 DER Interconnection Processing metric because they "require electric power system modifications" (Exhibit CLP-CUSTOMER-1 at 34 n.22), as a count and as a percentage of all applications and of all applied-for MW, and explain the basis for excluding such projects from a metric intended to measure interconnection performance.

ALLCO-016 Response:

Please refer to ALLCO-016, Attachment 1, which specifies the number, percentage, and MW of Level 1 DER interconnection applications that required electric power system modifications. These projects are proposed to be excluded from the Level 1 DER Interconnection Processing PIM since they represent time periods outside the scope of a standard project. In addition, the system modification process is not tracked in PowerClerk to the level of detail the PIM requires, which is designed to only track the time requiring Company action.