Date Filed: October 02, 2026

Witness: Edward A. Davis and Kimberly R. Parsons
Request from: UCONN

Question:

(Rate Design & Tariff Structure) Refer to Exhibit CLP-MCOS-1, pp. 17-18 which states "[t]here is a significantly lower probability of peak from noon to 3 P.M. relative to hours 3 P.M. to 8 P.M., which recognizes the impact of solar PV penetration in early to mid-afternoon. This suggests that a modification to introduce a shorter peak period that starts at 4 P.M. and ends at 9 P.M. would increase cost-reflectiveness of the distribution rate."

a. Please explain why the finding that the current on-peak period does not align with the hours of highest on-peak probability is not reflected in the on-peak hours proposed for Rates 55, 56, 57, and 58.
b. If the on-peak billing window used to determine demand distribution and transmission charges does not correspond to the hours in which Eversource's monthly coincident peak is most likely to occur, please explain how the proposed rate design is consistent with the principles of fairness and cost causation.

Response:

a. The on-peak period does not apply to Distribution rates for these rate classes. Furthermore, the current on-peak period that applies to other rate components is established in accordance with rates proposed and approved by PURA. The Company did not propose a change to the on-peak hours for these rate classes.

b. The on-peak period is irrelevant for distribution rates of these rate classes. For transmission rates, the allocation of costs and design of rates for these rate classes corresponds with the monthly coincident peak of these classes.