DAY PITNEY LLP

Boston | Connecticut | Florida | New Jersey | New York | Providence | Washington, DC

DAVID W. BOGAN
Attorney at Law

Goodwin Square
225 Asylum Street
Hartford, CT 06103
T: (860) 275-0187 F: (617) 326-3035
dbogan@daypitney.com

September 21, 2026

VIA E-FILING[1]

Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary
Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Docket No. 26-05-10: Application of The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy to Amend its Rate Schedules

Dear Secretary Gaudiosi:

Enclosed please find the Third Set of Interrogatories of the New England Connectivity and Telecommunications Association ("NECTA") directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource") in the above-captioned docket.

Please feel free to contact the undersigned should you have any questions.

I certify that a copy hereof has been sent to all participants of record as reflected on the Authority's service list as of this date. A copy has also been filed with the Authority as an electronic web filing and is complete.

Very truly yours,



David W. Bogan

Enclosure
CC: Service List

[1] Pursuant to the Undocketed Motion to Temporarily Suspend Filing Paper Copies (Jun. 19, 2020), this filing is being submitted by electronic copy only, with a paper copy to delivered or mailed according to a subsequent determination by the Authority.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES	:	Docket No. 26-05-10
	:	September 21, 2026

NEW ENGLAND CONNECTIVITY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES REGARDING MAKE-READY COSTS AND COST ALLOCATION

The New England Connectivity and Telecommunications Association ("NECTA") hereby requests responses from The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource" or the "Company") to the following follow-up interrogatories regarding make-ready costs and non-billable cost allocations in the above-captioned proceeding by **October 5, 2026**. These interrogatories are directed to specific data and information contained in the Company's response to OCC-150 and OCC-190 and its Attachment 1, which identify attachments associated with NESC non-compliant poles.

INSTRUCTIONS

The responses to these interrogatories and production requests must be submitted in the following manner:

A. Documents provided shall be complete and, unless privileged, unredacted, submitted as found in the responding party's files (*e.g.*, documents that in their original condition were stapled, clipped or otherwise fastened together or maintained in separate file folders shall be produced in such form). The responding party may submit photocopies (with color photocopies where necessary to accurately reproduce the document), in lieu of original documents, provided that such copies are true, correct and complete copies of the original documents.

B. Documents submitted shall be produced in the order in which they appear in the responding party's files and shall not be shuffled or otherwise rearranged. Mark each page with identification and consecutive document control numbers.

C. Data must be produced in the data format in which it is typically used and maintained. Moreover, to the extent a responsive document has been electronically scanned (for any purpose), that document must be produced in a readable and accessible electronic format, with the opportunity provided to review the original document.

D. Provide English translations of all responsive documents that exist in a language other than English.

E. Documents to be produced include all documents in the possession, custody or control of the responding party, wherever located, including documents in the possession, custody or control of the responding party's directors, officers, employees, representatives or agents. Without limitation on the term "control," a document is deemed to be in a responding party's control if it has the right to secure that document or a copy thereof from another person.

CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE

If you contend that any answer to any interrogatory or any document demanded by these interrogatories is privileged in whole or in part, file with your response to these Interrogatories a statement in writing and under oath and in such statement: (1) identify each such interrogatory answer or document; (2) state its general subject matter; and (3) state in detail the basis for each claim of privilege made with respect to it. If a claim of privilege is made to only a part of a document, then in addition to the statement required herein, produce a public copy of such document from which the alleged privileged portion has been redacted, noting where in the document such redactions have been made.

SCOPE

If subsequent to your initial compliance with these interrogatories and production request you discover additional or new material or information responsive to any interrogatory or request for production, or you discover that any response you provide herein was totally or partially incorrect or, though correction was made, is no longer true, you must promptly (a) file any additional responsive documents that come into your possession, custody or control or (b) file supplemented, corrected, and sworn responses. You are specifically directed to supplement, modify, or correct your responses to each of these interrogatories and production throughout until a final decision in adopted by the Authority in this Docket.

INTERROGATORIES

NESC Non-Compliance

NECTA-104. (NESC Non-Compliance – Clarification of "Attacher Name") Referring to OCC-190, Attachment 1, which identifies communications attachers associated with NESC non-compliant poles, the town where the pole is located, relevant work order, the specific non-compliant issue, and the issue's resolution: clarify whether the "Attacher Name" column identifies in every instance (a) the existing attacher whose facilities caused or contributed to the NESC non-compliance condition (*i.e.*, the attacher responsible for the violation), or (b) the attacher that submitted the pole

attachment application that led to discovery of the non-compliance, regardless of which attacher's facilities caused the non-compliance. If the answer differs for different entries, identify which entries fall into each category.

NECTA-105. Referring to OCC-150 and Eversource's responses OCC-150 attachments 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8, confirm that Eversource's responses reflect historical snapshots of amounts that were due and outstanding as of the end of the applicable year, and do not reflect current paid status for each NECTA member.

Respectfully submitted,

THE NEW ENGLAND CONNECTIVITY AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION




By: _____

David W. Bogan
Goodwin Square
225 Asylum Street
Hartford, CT 06103
(860) 275-0187

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that on this day the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies thereof were served upon each person designated on the official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

Dated at Hartford, Connecticut this 21st day of September, 2026.



By: _____
Colleen Olsen
Commissioner of the Superior Court