STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

October 20, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-05-10

Vincent Pace, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Eversource Energy Service Company
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141

Re: Docket No. 26-05-10 – Application of The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy to Amend its Rate Schedules

Dear Attorney Pace:

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **UPA-81 through UPA-82** directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Company) and refer to the Company's request to amend its rate schedules.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **November 3, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

DOCKET NO. 26-05-10
APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES

Interrogatories UPA-081 through UPA-082 are directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company (CL&P or Company) and refer to the Company's request to amend its rate schedules (Application).

UPA-081 (F-FACTOR) Exhibit CLP-TSP-1; Exhibit CLP-TSP-3; Interrog. Resp. EOE-156. Identify, for each program or sub-program in Exhibit CLP-TSP-3, whether the Company proposes to recover associated capital investments through: (1) base rates, (2) the F-factor mechanism described in the response to Interrogatory EOE-156 and its attachments, or (3) another cost-recovery mechanism. For each program identified as F-factor eligible, provide annual capital additions, expected plant-in-service dates, and the corresponding annual F-factor revenue requirement reflected in the Company's filing.

UPA-082 (TFP Study) Exhibit CLP-PBR-2; Exhibit CLP-ELP-1, p. 65; Interrog. Resp. OCC-560; Interrog. Resp. EOE-156, Att. 1 and Att. 2. Provide a complete, unlocked Excel model, mirroring the structure, transparency, and level of detail provided in the response to Interrogatory EOE-156 and its Attachments 1 and 2, that evaluates the longer term revenue requirement and rate impacts of the Company's proposed capital recovery under three (3) scenarios, each carried through the end of the PBMRP term *and through the subsequent proceeding in which capital is incorporated into the Company's rate base*.

For each scenario, provide all supporting calculations, formulas, and monthly and annual outputs, and clearly identify all assumptions with citations to the Company's filing.

Scenario 1 — F-Factor Scenario with 5% Over-Forecast Capital Additions
Using the Company's F-Factor methodology described in its response to Interrogatory EOE-156:

a. Apply a 5% increase to the capital investment forecast underlying the TSP and Figure 7 of Exhibit CLP-ELP-1.

b. Recalculate the monthly and annual F-Factor revenue requirements under the Company's proposed mechanism, including the downward-only reconciliation.

c. Extend the analysis through the subsequent rate case (which the Company assumes to take effect July 1, 2031 in its response to Interrogatory EOE-156), and show:

    i. the rollover of accumulated unrecovered plant into new base rates,

    ii. the rate-year capital included at that point, and

    iii. the resulting revenue requirement in the first-rate year of the subsequent case.

d. Provide the long-run cumulative revenue requirement customers would pay under the F-Factor scenario.

Scenario 2 — Traditional Cost-of-Service (COS) Treatment of the Same Capital Profile
Using the *same* capital additions (including the 5% overage):

a. Model recovery under a traditional COS framework with no F-Factor.

b. Assume capital is added to rate base at the next feasible rate-effective date(s) consistent with previous COS practices.

c. Extend the model through the next rate case and show:

   i. rate-base additions and retirements,

   ii. depreciation, ADIT, property taxes, and cost-of-capital components,

   iii. the revenue requirement in the first rate year of the subsequent rate case, and

   iv. the cumulative long-run cost to customers.

Scenario 3 — CT-Bar Scenario Using the Same Capital Inputs
Applying the CT-Bar mechanism as reflected in the July 15, 2025 Proposed Final Decision in Docket No. 21-05-15RE01, PURA Investigation into Revenue Adjustment Mechanisms for a Performance-Based Regulation Framework:

a. Use the same 5% over-forecast capital additions;

b. Calculate the CT-Bar capital revenue requirement (monthly and annual);

c. Model the transition into the subsequent rate case, including how CT-Bar balances are incorporated into new base rates; and

d. Provide the resulting longer term revenue requirement and customer cost trajectory.

For all three scenarios, please also:

a. Provide a side-by-side comparison table showing:

   i. annual and cumulative revenue requirements;

   ii. ROE impacts;

   iii. customer bill impacts; and

   iv. total revenue requirement in the subsequent rate case's first rate year.

b. Identify and explain any assumptions that differ across the scenarios.

c. Provide all linkages, formulas, and internal references in the Excel model, consistent with Attachment 1 of the Company's response to Interrogatory EOE-156.

d. Confirm whether any scenario requires adjustments to the forecasted capital funding requirements (Exhibit CLP-ELP-1, Figure 7) or the TSP, and explain the basis for any adjustment.