From: Ted Genard
To: Pura.ExecutiveSecretary
Subject: Docket No. 26-05-10. Eversource rate hike.
Date: Friday, November 6, 2026 1:27:55 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear PURA,

Since the (portal.ct.gov/PURA) web page for comment provided on the Eversource info sheet enclosed with our bills apparently no longer exists I am submitting comment via email.

There is no doubt Eversource has been very busy improving the infrastructure of the local grid and deserves compensation. However an increase of 50% of the **Local Delivery** component is excessive. This will according to them represent an 18% overall increase in the total bill.

Seems we are caught between a rock and a hard place here in CT. At first several years ago Eversource wanted to bring in cheap hydro from Canada to reduce the Supply cost of our bill. New Hampshire fought the permitting of the transmission lines tooth and nail till Eversource eventually gave up. Then today Kathy Hocul governor of New York fights tooth and nail to prevent natural gas to be piped to Connecticut that would make it cheaper for us to generate here in CT. Again affecting the Supply side of our bill. It would seem our esteemed Attorney General Tong could perhaps fight more FOR Connecticut rather than just fighting against DC. Though these are pass through costs for Eversource, this still affects the rate payers heavily.

I feel the 50% increase in **Local Delivery** (which represents the second highest component of the bill below Supply) could be tempered in this inflationary climate thus bringing down the 18% total increase in the overall bill to something a bit more palatable to the rate payers.

Thank you for your attention to this matter,

Laurent T Genard

34 Blais Rd
Uncasville, CT 06382

860 848 2878 h
ktgenard@gmail.com