**From:** Zachary Jones
**To:** Pura.ExecutiveSecretary
**Subject:** Eversource
**Date:** Tuesday, November 10, 2026 11:08:42 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

To the Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority,

I am writing to formally express my opposition to Eversource's proposed $727 million annual rate increase and to urge PURA to carefully scrutinize this request before making any decision that would further burden Connecticut ratepayers.

Connecticut residents are already struggling with some of the highest electricity costs in the country. Families, seniors, working individuals, and small businesses are being forced to make difficult financial decisions just to keep their electricity on.

What makes this proposal particularly concerning is Eversource's financial performance. According to the company's 2025 financial results, Eversource reported approximately $1.69 BILLION in net income attributable to common shareholders. That is more than double its reported $811.7 million in 2024.

With those numbers in mind, I have serious questions about why Connecticut families should be expected to absorb another substantial financial burden.

How can a company report nearly $1.7 billion in annual net income while simultaneously requesting another $727 million from ratepayers?

I understand that operating and maintaining electrical infrastructure comes with costs. Storm recovery, grid reliability, maintenance, and necessary infrastructure improvements are legitimate concerns. However, those expenses should be thoroughly examined, justified, and independently scrutinized. Customers should not simply be expected to approve every expense passed along to their monthly bills.

I am asking PURA to:

1. Thoroughly investigate and scrutinize every component of

Eversource's $727 million rate increase request.
2. Demand complete transparency regarding the expenses Eversource claims justify this increase.
3. Examine executive compensation, shareholder returns, operating expenses, and corporate financial performance.
4. Reject any costs that are unnecessary, unreasonable, or improperly shifted onto Connecticut ratepayers.
5. Put Connecticut residents and affordability ahead of corporate financial interests when evaluating this proposal.

Electricity is not a luxury. It is an essential service. Connecticut residents should not have to continually pay more while a utility company reports billions of dollars in annual earnings.

I strongly urge PURA to stand up for Connecticut ratepayers and reject any unjustified increase that would make an already expensive cost of living even more difficult to manage.

I respectfully request that this correspondence be included in the public record for the applicable Eversource rate case.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A Concerned Connecticut Ratepayer