Date Filed: September 15, 2026

Witness: Edward A. Davis and Kimberly R. Parsons
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(Economic Development Rate Rider) Please provide the tariff for the Company's prior EDR. Highlight any differences between the prior EDR and the EDR proposed in this rate case and provide the rationale for each difference.

Response:

Please refer to OCC-463, Attachment 1, which provides a version of the filed EDR marked "preliminary", with tracked changes showing detailed changes relative to the prior EDR that was withdrawn.

The following provides a highlight of differences reflected in the attachment. The proposed tariff maintains the same form of tariff (a rider applicable to service under a general service rate, with comparable provisions regarding availability, qualification, rate provisions and other terms and conditions of service). The rate section maintains the same overall 5-year duration but allows for discounts to be specified for specific charges (e.g., demand and/or energy charges for distribution service) in a service agreement, rather than at prescribed levels applicable to total, bundled demand and energy charges. The references to restructuring legislation and timing impacts, along with link to company owned generation capacity, relevant to unbundling and timing of restructuring impacts have been removed. A provision for PURA approval pursuant to a service agreement has been added. References and terminology have been updated in sections 1.-7., while submetering provisions have been removed under the Conditions section, and the threshold load requirement has been increased under the Qualifications provisions. Availability has been updated to focus on larger customers (e.g., those on Rate 57 and 58), while the issues of flex rider bundled rates and implicit in bundled rates upon which flex rate rider discounts were determined have been resolved, eliminating the need for third party supply provisions.