STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES	: : : :	DOCKET NO. 26-05-10 OCTOBER 19, 2026

INTERROGATORIES OF ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED TO THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY — SET SIXTEEN

Pursuant to the Authority's August 19, 2026 ruling granting Allco Finance Limited ("Allco") intervenor status, and in accordance with the Authority's Rules of Practice, Regs. Conn. State Agencies § 16-1-1 et seq., Allco propounds the following interrogatories — numbered consecutively with Allco's prior sets (Q-ALLCO-001 through Q-ALLCO-206) — to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("CL&P" or the "Company"). Each interrogatory follows up a response filed between October 1 and October 16, 2026 that did not answer the question asked, answered by cross-reference to a response that did not answer it, or disclosed facts that require explanation. The definitions and instructions set forth in Allco's prior sets apply. Where a response has objected that an interrogatory "seeks information specific to Allco projects," the Company is requested to answer as to all customers and, if it maintains that objection as to Allco's own contracts or applications, to say so separately rather than withhold the answer as a whole. Please answer separately and fully in writing, identify the witness responsible, produce each document requested, and provide responsive schedules in native (Excel) format.

INTERROGATORIES

Q-ALLCO-207: (Follow-up to OCC-894 — performance assurance "sacrifices" credited to the NBFMCC.) OCC-894 states that "[p]erformance assurance amounts which are forfeited under the terms of customer contracts or tariff agreements are listed as 'sacrifices' within itemized clean energy program costs submitted for recovery through the Non-Bypassable Federally Mandated Congestion Charge," and reports LREC/ZREC sacrifices of $714,166 (2021), $676,023 (2022), $820,564 (2023), $652,334 (2024) and $68,156 (2025), SCEF sacrifices of $40,000 (2023), $374,750 (2024) and $424,975 (2025), and NRES sacrifices of $3,400, $239,793 and $412,918 for 2023–2025. For each calendar year 2021 through 2026 (year to date) and each program, state in native format for every amount treated as a sacrifice: (a) the

contract or tariff agreement identifier, the counterparty (which may be anonymized other than Allco and its affiliates), the date the amount was received, the date and amount of the forfeiture, the contract or tariff provision invoked, and the Company officer who approved the forfeiture; (b) whether the counterparty had, before the forfeiture, declared force majeure, requested an extension, invoked a dispute-resolution provision, or otherwise disputed the Company's right to the funds, and whether any forfeited amount has since been returned, credited, or is the subject of a pending claim; (c) the RAM docket, exhibit, and line in which the sacrifice was submitted for recovery and the amount by which it reduced the NBFMCC revenue requirement; (d) the FERC account in which the funds were held before forfeiture and the account to which they were transferred, and whether interest was accrued or credited at any point; (e) specifically, whether any amount received from Allco Finance Limited, Ecos Energy LLC, PLH Vineyard Sky LLC f/k/a PLH LLC, Windham Solar LLC, or any project-level affiliate — including the Performance Assurance of $367,169.88 posted under the October 21, 2020 REC contracts and the equal amount posted with the March 31, 2023 extension requests, the Development Period Security under the April 12, 2021 power purchase agreements, the Operating Period Security under the three agreements terminated March 31, 2023, the Development Period Security under the four agreements the Sellers terminated effective July 23, 2024, and the $225,000 of SCEF Year 6 bid deposits wired March 5, 2025 — has been treated as a sacrifice, recorded as program revenue, or submitted for recovery in any docket, and if so the year, docket, exhibit, and amount; (f) with respect to the statement that "[n]o amounts were returned to SCEF program customers" because Development Period Security "was applied toward and converted into the Operating Period Security," state how bid deposits for bids that were not selected — which Section 3.5 of the SCEF Program Manual requires the EDC to return — are recorded, how many such deposits were received and returned in each SCEF solicitation year, the aggregate amount of unselected-bid deposits held at year-end 2025 and at the most recent date available, and the reason any such deposit has been held more than 60 days after selection results; and (g) whether the Company contends that a forfeiture it has credited to ratepayers through the NBFMCC must be reversed through the same mechanism if the counterparty prevails in a dispute over it, and how that contingency is reflected in the Company's accounts.

Q-ALLCO-208: (Follow-up to ALLCO-114 — completed projects without a final accounting.) The response restates the Company's obligation under Section 1.2 of the Guidelines

and Section 5.3.2 of the form Interconnection Agreement and answers none of subparts (a) through (d). Answer them: (a) for each calendar year 2017 through 2025, the number of interconnection projects first connected to the electric power system in that year for which no final accounting report had been issued as of September 30, 2026, and the aggregate amounts collected from the interconnecting customers for those projects, stated separately for construction deposits and for percentage overhead or CIAC components; (b) the aggregate amount of those collections the Company still holds and the account(s) in which it is recorded; (c) whether the Company contends that no refunds would be due on those projects if final accountings were performed, and the basis; and (d) each reason final accountings have not been performed, the person by title responsible for deciding whether and when they are performed, and the Company's plan and schedule for clearing the backlog. If the Company contends that it cannot answer subpart (a) because, as stated in ALLCO-103, final accounting "is not tracked or maintained in PowerClerk," identify the systems in which project completion dates and final accounting dates are recorded, and state the number of completed projects (from PowerClerk permission-to-operate records) and the number of final accounting reports issued (from the system in which they are prepared) for each year, which together answer the question.

Q-ALLCO-209: (Follow-up to ALLCO-131 — substations at or above the 20 MW DER threshold and rate base treatment of customer-funded upgrades.) Subpart (a) asked the Company to identify each substation at which DER online plus DER in queue equals or exceeds 20 MW; the response refers to the public Hosting Capacity Map and queue reports. Subpart (c) asked whether study fees, deposits, or upgrade payments collected from interconnection customers are credited against the cost of related investments before those investments are added to rate base; the response states that developers bear interconnection costs, which is not an answer. State: (a) in native format, from the Company's own records rather than by reference to a map, each bulk and distribution substation at which DER online plus DER in queue equaled or exceeded 20 MW as of December 31, 2025 and as of the most recent date available, with DER online (MW), DER in queue (MW), the number and aggregate MW of pending applications, and the number of pending applications over 1 MW; (b) for each such substation, each project in the Application, the Targeted System Performance plan, the SHARE proposal, or the 2026 capital plan (CLP-ADDITIONS-4 Supplemental) that would increase hosting capacity, relieve a thermal or voltage constraint, or replace a transformer at that substation, with its estimated cost and proposed rate

treatment; (c) yes or no, whether amounts collected from interconnection customers for upgrades at any such substation are credited against the gross cost of the related plant before it is included in rate base, and if yes, identify the CIAC-funded plant placed in service in 2023, 2024, 2025 and 2026 at each such substation and the CIAC offset recorded for each; and (d) whether any plant whose cost was recovered in whole or part from an interconnection customer is included in the Test Year, Test Year Pro Forma, or Rate Year rate base at gross cost pending "final project billing and reconciliation" (ALLCO-002), and the amount.

Q-ALLCO-210: (Follow-up to ALLCO-123 — the § 16-243p(b) advice.) The response states that the legal advice on which ALLCO-034(d) relies "was given during the process of responding to this request" and that "[n]o separate legal document was prepared." State: (a) the firm and title of the attorney who gave the advice, the date, and the Company personnel to whom it was given; (b) whether the Company intends to rely on that advice, in whole or in part, to support recovery of confidentiality-designation and redaction costs, and if so whether it will produce it; (c) in the Company's own words and without reference to counsel — the question ALLCO-123(d) asked and the response did not address — each reason the Company contends that redaction and confidentiality-designation work performed for filings and discovery responses in this proceeding is not a "direct or indirect cost[] associated with its … participation in" the proceeding under § 16-243p(b); whether fees paid or payable to Keegan Werlin LLP or TCDI for that work are "attorneys' fees" or "fees to engage … consultants"; and whether the time of internal counsel and project-management staff devoted to it is "the portion of employee salaries associated with such … participation"; (d) the amount of redaction and confidentiality-designation cost included in the Test Year, Test Year Pro Forma, or Rate Year cost of service or in any deferral, with the schedule and line; and (e) each other category of cost of this proceeding the Company contends is recoverable because it is "incurred to comply with legal obligations," with the amount and schedule reference (ALLCO-123(e), unanswered).

Q-ALLCO-211: (Follow-up to ALLCO-124 — the Keegan Werlin redaction engagement.) The response answers subpart (a) by reference to ALLCO-027, which concerns the rate case engagement, not the "high-volume redaction and confidentiality-designation services" for which the Company said in ALLCO-034(b) that Keegan Werlin "was only recently engaged." State: (a) the date of that engagement, whether it is a separate engagement or an expansion of the rate case engagement, the hourly rates or other basis of compensation, any

budget, estimate, or not-to-exceed amount, and the Company personnel who approved it, and produce the engagement letter, statement of work, or e-mail by which it was made; (b) the hours recorded by Keegan Werlin on redaction and confidentiality-designation work through September 30, 2026 and through the most recent date available, whether or not invoiced; (c) TCDI's total billings through the most recent date available and the Company's current estimate of total TCDI cost for the proceeding; (d) for each month since the Application was filed, by title, the hours and loaded cost of internal legal, project-management, and regulatory personnel devoted to redaction and confidentiality-designation work, using time records where they exist and a documented estimate stating its basis where they do not; and (e) the account(s) to which each amount has been charged and whether any amount has been deferred.

Q-ALLCO-212: (Follow-up to ALLCO-109 — Burns & McDonnell charges to interconnection work orders.) The response states that Burns & McDonnell "employees charge their time to individual work orders" and that "[t]he charges are applied against the customer payments," and that its costs are not recovered through the $1.257 million program administration cost identified in ALLCO-010. State: (a) for each calendar year 2020 through 2025, the total Burns & McDonnell charges applied to distributed-generation interconnection work orders, the number of work orders so charged, the hourly rates charged by labor category, and any markup, fee, or multiplier applied; (b) whether any portion of Burns & McDonnell's cost is also included in the pools from which the ZGS, ZAS/AS&E, ZNP, or any other loader described in ALLCO-102, Attachment 1 is derived, and if so how double recovery from a customer-funded work order is prevented; (c) whether the customer is informed, in the estimate, the Interconnection Agreement, or the final accounting report, that contractor project-management time will be charged to the work order in addition to the percentage overhead, and where; (d) the agreement(s) under which Burns & McDonnell performs the functions described, including statements of work and rate schedules, which ALLCO-109 asked the Company to produce and which it did not; and (e) the Burns & McDonnell charges applied to each work order associated with the Fisk, Plainfield, Voluntown 1240, and Bilton clusters and to INT-60394, INT-90809 and INT-90811, by year. If the Company maintains its objection to subpart (e), answer subparts (a) through (d) as to all customers.

Q-ALLCO-213: (Follow-up to ALLCO-115(c) — uniformity of overhead percentages.) Subpart (c) asked whether estimated overhead percentages were applied uniformly to all

applicants in each year, and if not, to describe each circumstance in which a different percentage or a waiver was applied and who approved it; the response refers to ALLCO-102 and ALLCO-003, neither of which answers it. State, for each calendar year 2017 through 2026: (a) the percentage overhead or CIAC rate stated in the Interconnection Agreements and cost estimates issued that year (if more than one, each rate and the number of agreements at each); (b) each instance in which a rate other than the standard rate, a waiver, or a cap was applied, the applicant (anonymized), the rate applied, the reason, and the approver by title; and (c) the basis on which the Company's December 2024 Final Accounting Reports for the Fisk, Plainfield, Voluntown 1240, and Bilton clusters reported CIAC of 12.00, 9.97, 10.19 and 11.00 percent and the Interconnection Agreement for INT-60394 states "CIAC - 16%," and whether those rates are the rates in (a) for the years in which those agreements and estimates issued.

Q-ALLCO-214: (Follow-up to ALLCO-100 — the Company's own traded securities.) Subpart (c) asked the Company to confirm that it does not dispute the accuracy of publicly available market prices and yields for its traded preferred series; the response states that the Company "will review … and if it can, will confirm the accuracy of that data at the appropriate time." The appropriate time is now. State: (a) whether the Company disputes that the $3.24 Series G preferred (CNLPL) closed at $51.82 on October 16, 2026, for a current yield of 6.25 percent, and if it does, the price and yield it contends are correct and the source; (b) whether Ms. Bulkley or the Company contends that the investor-required return on the Company's common equity exceeds the yield at which investors hold the Company's own cumulative preferred stock by approximately 400 basis points, and each reason the difference is justified by the difference in risk between those two securities of the same issuer; and (c) the yield to maturity on each series of the Company's outstanding first mortgage bonds as of the date of Ms. Bulkley's analysis and as of the date of the response, and the source.

Q-ALLCO-215: (Follow-up to ALLCO-099 — capital plans for 2027 and 2028.) The response states that the Company "is currently engaged in its 2027 Annual Planning Process" and produces no project-level plan beyond 2026. State: (a) the multi-year capital expenditure forecast for the Company that Eversource Energy has disclosed to investors in its most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and in investor presentations since January 1, 2026, by year and by category (distribution, transmission, AMI, other), and produce the internal schedule from which those disclosed figures were drawn; (b) a reconciliation of that forecast, for 2026 through 2028,

to the forecasted plant additions on Schedule B-2.2 and to the Rate Year and subsequent-year additions underlying the proposed multi-year rate plan; (c) the date on which the 2027 Annual Planning Process will produce a project-level plan and the Company's commitment to produce it in this docket upon completion; and (d) the five largest projects by projected spend in each of 2027 and 2028 as currently identified in that process, with projected spend and status.

Please serve responses on the undersigned by electronic mail by November 2, 2026.

ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone
Juris No. 438879
Allco Finance Limited
777 West Putnam Avenue, Suite 300
Greenwich, CT 06830
Phone: (212) 681-1120
Email: Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that on this day, the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies were served upon each person designated on the Authority's official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone