Date Filed: September 09, 2026

Witness: Douglas P. Horton and Jared A. Lawrence
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Please identify all ways in which the Connecticut AMI proposal depends on, reuses, assumes, or allocates costs from Eversource's Massachusetts AMI deployment, including meters, communications network, head-end system, MDMS, CIS, load settlement, customer portal, Green Button Connect, vendor contracts, system integrations, data models, and implementation work products. Provide all Massachusetts AMI lessons-learned documents, issue logs, risk registers, defect logs, vendor performance materials, and internal presentations concerning data latency, MDMS/CIS integration, customer data access, third-party access, supplier access, demand response, or ISO-NE settlement readiness.

Response:

The Connecticut AMI proposal relies on the Massachusetts AMI program in the following ways:

1. **Cost allocation and cost estimates.** The CT BCA uses Massachusetts actual and forecast cost data, vendor pricing and implementation experience to estimate Connecticut costs. This includes meters, communications and head-end systems, MDMS, CIS, Load Settlement, OMS integration, the Customer Experience Portal, Analytics, Green Button Connect, and related implementation and support activities. The BCA also includes specified allocations for IT systems (i.e. head-end system, MDMS, CIS, Load Settlement, OMS integration, the Customer Experience Portal, Analytics, Green Button connect, etc.) between Massachusetts and Connecticut to reflect an assumption that there exists the potential to share some but not all of the system costs for these items. For the estimated shared components, the shared-cost methodology allocates approximately 47% to Connecticut based on 1.4 million Connecticut meters divided by approximately 2.9 million total Connecticut and Massachusetts meters, with the remaining 53% allocated to Massachusetts.

2. **Vendor contracts and pricing.** The CT BCA uses Massachusetts contract data for meter, communications, head-end, MDMS, CIS, Customer Experience Portal, Analytics, and Green Button Connect costs. The CT AMI Implementation Plan also assumes that current Massachusetts vendor pricing and contractual terms will inform Connecticut estimates. Certain Massachusetts pricing protections expire before Connecticut deployment and are therefore adjusted in the BCA. Eversource plans to work with its vendors to determine

whether current vendors are able to honor Massachusetts pricing for Connecticut once approval for implementation is obtained for CL&P.

3. **Systems, integrations, and implementation.** The CT AMI Implementation Plan assumes that Connecticut can leverage the suite of systems and architecture implemented in Massachusetts. It also assumes efficiencies from using common enterprise systems and leveraging personnel experienced with the Massachusetts deployment.

Eversource is compiling copies of Massachusetts documents responsive to this request. Eversource has not experienced any latency concerns or issues in Massachusetts to date. Eversource will supplement this response following completion of that review.

Please refer to the following attachments for copies of all Massachusetts AMI executive steerco meetings for calendar years 2023, 2024, 2025, and 2026. The purpose of these meetings is for the review of the following: lessons learned documents, issue logs, risk registers, defect logs, vendor performance materials, along with program status updates within the AMI implementation.

- 2023 Meetings – Please refer to OCC-418, Attachment 1 CONFIDENTIAL
- 2024 Meetings – Please refer to OCC-418, Attachment 2.1 CONFIDENTIAL, and OCC-418, Attachment 2.2 CONFIDENTIAL (split up into two parts due to file size)
- 2025 Meetings – Please refer to OCC-418, Attachment 3.1 CONFIDENTIAL, and OCC-418, Attachment 3.2 CONFIDENTIAL (split up into two parts due to file size)
- 2026 Meetings – Please refer to OCC-418, Attachment 4 CONFIDENTIAL.