Date Filed: November 13, 2026

Witness: Jared A. Lawrence, Douglas P. Horton, Edward A. Davis, Kimberly R. Parsons and Amparo Nieto
Request from: Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers

Question:

Please refer to the AMI Panel Testimony at page 20, lines 9-21.

a. Please confirm that, as stated at lines 9-11, the Company must be able to accurately and timely bill customers for service, and metering infrastructure is necessary to that end.

b. Please confirm that, as stated at lines 12-15, the Company expects to migrate to AMI as its existing AMR meters reach the end of their useful lives, regardless of the BCA results.

c. Please explain the statement at lines 18-20, that "optionality in taking advantage of many AMI benefits will be within the customer's control," and identify the AMI benefits in Exhibit CLP-AMI-3, to which that statement applies.

d. When AMI meter costs are reflected in base distribution rates, does the Company intend to continue classifying AMI meter costs as customer-related, consistent with its treatment of meter costs in the ACOS Direct Testimony at page 4, lines 5-7? If not, explain why not.

Response:

a. Yes, the Company must always be in a position to bill customers for service on a timely and accurate basis and metering infrastructure is necessary to that end. However, the manner in which the Company achieves this objective can take several forms.

b. Based on the information available to the Company today, and the expectation that PURA and stakeholders' consideration of the AMI Implementation Plan would benefit from a comparison with an AMR lifecycle alternative approach, the Company presented an analysis of a hypothetical AMR end-of-life scenario in its BCA. Should PURA not grant approval of the Company's proposed AMI Plan, the Company would develop and consider alternative scenarios using the best information at that time, including an AMR end-of-life approach, in view of any additional guidance PURA provides in its order.

c. The quantified AMI benefits largely within customer control are associated with their choice of participation in Time-of-use/Time-varying Rates. The non-quantified benefits largely within customer control arise in relation to their election to receive outage

restoration notifications; their receptiveness to detailed billing data and notifications; and their receptiveness to load disaggregation insights.

d. Yes, the Company expects that AMI meter costs will be properly classified as customer-related costs, consistent with treatment of meter costs in the allocated cost-of-service study conducted and submitted in this docket as part of the Company's Rate Application. The Company's further expectation is that capital costs and expenses associated with AMI implementation would be collected from customers consistent with allocation of costs allowed in this proceeding for distribution rates or another applicable rate mechanism.