Date Filed: November 03, 2026

Witness: Warren R. Boutin and Andrew C. Belden
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-119 and ALLCO-120 ― pending and withdrawn applications.) State: (a) the precise definition of each row in the ALLCO-120 tables ("Pending >5yrs," "Pending 5 yrs," "Pending 3 yrs," "Pending 1 yr," "Pending <1yr"), including the lower and upper bound of each interval and the date as of which pendency was measured; (b) for each of the 23 Level 2 applications pending more than five years and the 56 pending in the "5 yrs" category, the application number (or an anonymized identifier), the nameplate capacity, the year filed, the substation (which may be anonymized), the current PowerClerk status, the date of the most recent Company action, and the reason the application remains pending; (c) for each of the 94 applications over 2 MW withdrawn between 2020 and 2025, the year filed, the year withdrawn, the stated reason if any, and whether the withdrawal followed the Company's issuance of an upgrade-cost estimate, a system-impact study, or notice of an ISO-New England requirement; (d) given the Company's statement that it "does not deny, reject or cancel projects," the number of applications in each year 2020 through 2025 that were deemed withdrawn, closed, or removed from the queue by the Company for non-payment, non-response, expiration, or any other reason, and the Guideline provision relied on; and (e) the system, other than PowerClerk, in which the Company records whether an application requires electric-power-system modifications or upgrades (ALLCO-119, subpart (f)), and the counts requested in ALLCO-119 subparts (f) and (g) derived from that system.

Response:

CL&P objects to this request on the ground that it is an improper effort by ALLCO to use this rate case proceeding to obtain discovery for purposes of a lawsuit that it filed against the Company on August 10, 2026, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Civil Action No. 3:26-cv-1277), outside of and in contravention of the rules of procedure that govern discovery in that lawsuit.

CL&P further objects to this request on the ground that it is not within the scope of the proceeding to review the Company's application to amend its existing rates schedules because the interrogatory either seeks information specific to Allco projects or seeks information that Allco views as relevant to its specific projects.

Without waiving said objections,

a. The information provided in ALLCO-120[i] was referenced from the data originally provided in ALLCO-007[ii]. The interrogatory requested information for pending projects since the application-received date. As an example, pending > 5yrs are projects that are still pending more than 5 years after the application was received.

b-d. CL&P objects to these subparts on the ground that they is not within the scope of the proceeding to review the Company's application to amend its existing rates schedules because the interrogatory seeks information that Allco views as relevant to its specific projects.

e. The name of the system is Maximo. The data that is included in ALLCO-119[iii] subparts (f) and (g) is not found in Maximo.

Prior Referenced Responses:

---

[i] ALLCO-120 Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-057 and ALLCO-007 — pendency.) For all applications pending at December 31, 2025, separately for Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3: (a) state the number pending less than one year, one to three years, three to five years, and more than five years after the application received date recorded in PowerClerk; and (b) for each Level 2 or Level 3 application pending more than three years, identify the application number, the MW AC, the circuit and substation, the current PowerClerk status, the date of the most recent Company action, and the reason the application remains pending. Applicant identities other than Allco and its affiliates may be anonymized.

ALLCO-120 Response:

CL&P objects to this request on the ground that it is an improper effort by Allco to use this rate case proceeding to obtain discovery for purposes of a lawsuit that it filed against the Company on August 10, 2026, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Civil Action No. 3:26-cv-1277), outside of and in contravention of the rules of procedure that govern discovery in that lawsuit.

CL&P further objects to this request on the ground that it is not within the scope of the proceeding to review the Company's application to amend its existing rates schedules because the interrogatory either seeks information specific to Allco projects or seeks information that Allco views as relevant to its specific projects.

Without waiving said objections, the Company states as follows:

a. Please refer to the tables below. Please also refer to the Company's response to ALLCO-119i . There are no level 3 projects.

b. Refer to the Company's response to ALLCO-007[ii].

Level 1	
Pending >5yrs	4
Pending 5 yrs	29
Pending 3 yrs	4275
Pending 1 yr	1223
Pending <1yr	1366

Level 2	
Pending >5yr	23
Pending 5 yrs	56
Pending 3 yrs	67
Pending 1yr	134
Pending <1yr	221

[ii] ALLCO-007 Question:

State the number of pending distribution interconnection applications in Connecticut that have been pending for more than one year, more than three years, and more than five years, respectively, as of the most recent date available, and for each application pending more than five years, identify the application number, application date, requested capacity (MW), substation and circuit, and current status.

ALLCO-007 Response:

CL&P objects to this request on the ground that it is an improper effort by Allco to use this rate case proceeding to obtain discovery for purposes of a lawsuit that it filed against the Company on August 10, 2026, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Civil Action No. 3:26-cv-1277), outside of and in contravention of the rules of procedure that govern discovery in that lawsuit.

Without waiving said objection, the Company maintains and publishes an active interconnection queue for generators greater than 25 kW. The published queue identifies, among other information, the applicable substation, circuit, queue date, and project capacity. The Company also publishes hosting capacity maps that provide location-specific information, including hosting capacity values and DER currently online or in the queue. The information requested regarding individual projects and the applicable substations and circuits is available through the Company's publicly available interconnection resources.1

[iii] ALLCO-119 Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-057 — Level 2 and Level 3 applications.) The Company's response to ALLCO-057 confined its figures to Level 1 applications and defined "completed or otherwise closed" as applications that received Permission to Operate. ALLCO-057 asked for all Connecticut DG interconnection applications. For each calendar year 2020 through 2025, separately for Level 2 applications, Level 3 applications, and any other category of

application that is not Level 1, state: (a) the number and aggregate MW AC of applications received; (b) the number that received Permission to Operate; (c) the number withdrawn; (d) the number denied, rejected, or cancelled by the Company; (e) the number pending at December 31 of that year; (f) the number and aggregate MW AC that required electric power system modifications or upgrades; and (g) item (f) as a percentage of items (a), (b), and (e). Provide the schedule in native Excel format derived from PowerClerk, and state why the Company's response to ALLCO-057 omitted Level 2 and Level 3 applications.

ALLCO-119 Response:

Eversource has only Level 1 and Level 2 applications. The reporting included in this response is for Level 2. The Level 1 applications were previously reported in the Company's response to ALLCO-057[i].

a.

Level 2 (≤2 MW)		*Level Type = Level 2 and System Size ≤ 2 MW*			
Calendar Year	(a) # Applications Received	(a) Aggregate MW AC Received	(b) # Received Permission to Operate	(c) # Withdrawn	(e) # Pending at Dec 31
2020	574	207.3	273	121	581
2021	626	117.8	398	150	659
2022	314	110.0	309	96	568
2023	401	92.4	195	84	693
2024	479	138.9	279	165	725
2025	436	91.3	395	72	694
2020–2025	**2,830**	**757.8**	**1,849**	**688**	

b.

Over 2 MW		*Level Type = Level 2 and System Size > 2 MW*			
Calendar Year	(a) # Applications Received	(a) Aggregate MW AC Received	(b) # Received Permission to Operate	(c) # Withdrawn	(e) # Pending at Dec 31
2020	17	140.0	2	7	36
2021	31	146.0	2	12	53
2022	37	276.4	2	19	69
2023	46	281.2	1	27	87
2024	36	184.9	7	24	92
2025	35	158.7	6	5	116
2020–2025	**202**	**1,187.3**	**20**	**94**	

c. Please refer to the Company's responses to subparts (a) and (b) above.

d. The Company does not deny, reject or cancel projects. The Company notifies the customer/developer of action needed, including investments, for the project to move forward. The customer/developer decides if the actions are a viable option for the project.

e. Please refer to the Company's responses to subparts (a) and (b) above.

f. This data is not tracked in Power Clerk. g. The percentages cannot be calculated.

The Company notes that the Company's response to ALLCO-057, which was a follow-up to the Company's response to ALLCO-016ii regarding the proposed Level 1 DER Interconnection Processing metric, requested additional information to what the Company provided in ALLCO016, Attachment 1. ALLCO-057 did not request that Eversource provide information on Level 2 applications, which is irrelevant to the proposed metric. There are no Level 3 applications.