Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Brendan J. O'Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Customer Advances. Refer to the response to OCC-676. Provide the page numbers of PURA's decision in Docket No. 14-05-06, that stated that customers' advances should not be reflected as a rate base deduction.

Response:

The Company's review of PURA's December 17, 2014, Decision in Docket No. 14-05-06, found no discussion related to customer advances as part of the Decision, and no "Authority Adjustment" in Section V.A.2. on page 234 or Section V.B.2. on page 236, for the "Cust. Advances and Deposits" line item in rate base, which is consistent with the Company's response in OCC-676[i] that in the Final Decision in Docket No. 14-05-06 PURA did not reduce the Company's rate base for these refundable advances and therefore the Company has treated advances consistent with this PURA precedent.

Prior Referenced Response:

---

[i] OCC-676 Question:

Customer Advances. Refer to the response to OCC-270. Has the Company ever included customer advances as a reduction to rate base? If so, identify the PURA precedent relied on for no longer including these as a reduction to rate base.

OCC-676 Response:

The Company's position on not including customer advances as a reduction to rate base has been consistent since at least the 2014 CL&P Rate Case, Docket No. 14-05-06. In that case the Company responded to a similar question:

"The Company has not included customer advances in the test year or rate year as they are refundable and the Company does not have use of these dollars," as stated in the Company's response to discovery question OCC-072, in Docket No. 14-05-06.

In the Final Decision in Docket No. 14-05-06 PURA did not reduce the Company's rate base for these refundable advances and therefore the Company has treated advances consistent with this PURA precedent.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: David L. Gladey, Steven Lamontagne, Leanne M. Landry and Jeffrey D. Turano
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Customer Advances. Refer to the response to OCC-270, Attachment 2.

a. Are the balances shown held in a restricted account? Describe in detail where these customer advances are held until offset to plant in service, forfeited or refunded.
b. Identify the portion of the balances shown that are letters of credit and not actual cash deposits.
c. Provide a copy of or link to the NB-12 policy.

Response:

a. Cash received from customers as part of their customer advances on NB-12 projects are held in a general purpose cash account (131.010) for use by the Company. A specific liability account (252.010) tracks the advance received until it is forfeited or refunded based on specific customer agreement milestones.

b. All amounts presented in OCC-270, Attachment 2, are cash balances.

c. Please refer to Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-13 for copies of CL&P's New Business policies.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Leanne M. Landry and Jeffrey D. Turano
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Customer Advances. Refer to the response to OCC-677. Provide the expected in service dates for each of the five projects and the amount of the advance received for each project.

Response:

While preparing this response it was determined that only four projects with customer advances were received since December of 2025, totaling $11.6M. In OCC-677[i] the Company had inadvertently stated 5 projects for $14.5M. Please refer to the table below for expected in service dates, and advance amounts received for each project.

Project Number	Project Description	Advance Amount	Estimated In Service Date
CD02572	Amazon Distribution Warehouse Wtbry	($8,136,075)	12/31/2026
CD01591	Corbin Drive - Darien - New Service	($584,981)	3/30/2027
CD02462	Tilcon NS on Black Rock 11H Sub	($2,366,288)	3/30/2027
CD02339	CT Transit-26 Elm Ct-New Service	($537,839)	3/30/2027

Prior Referenced Response:

[i] OCC-677 Question:

Customer Advances. Refer to the response to OCC-270, Attachment 2. Explain the large increase in customer advances beginning in December 2025 through June 2026 over the prior years balances.

OCC-677 Response:

Since the beginning of December 2025, five new customer requests needing line extensions per the NB-12 policy were received, totaling $14.5M. These projects are currently in construction.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Brendan J. O'Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Customer Deposits.

a. Are customer deposits refundable?
b. Does the Company have use of these dollars?

Response:

a. Yes, customer deposits are refundable.

b. Yes, the Company has use of the funds received from customer deposits. Please refer to Exhibit CLP-REVERQ-2, Schedule B-9.0 for the Test Year, interim year and Rate Year amounts.

Date Filed: October 20, 2026

Witness: Sasha Lazor
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Non-SERP. Refer to the response to OCC-356.

a. Please describe the benefits provided by the Company's Non-SERP plan.
b. Please identify both the total number of employees (direct and allocated) eligible for Non-SERP and the total number of employees ineligible for Non-SERP for each of the years 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025.
c. Please explain how eligibility for Non-SERP is determined.

Response:

a. The non-SERP account is used to record expenses related to specifically negotiated post-employment benefits, including pension enhancements not covered by the Eversource Retirement Plan or the SERP. Such enhancements are provided in some employment agreements to make up for benefits lost at previous employers by some mid-career hires or as part of a separation agreement with Eversource. As with the SERP, non-SERP benefits help to attract and retain qualified personnel.

b. Active employees are not eligible for non-SERP payments. The number of employees eligible for non-SERP payments are as follows:

Year	CLP (no. of employees eligible)	Eversource Energy Service Company (no. of employees eligible)
2021	6	43
2022	6	42
2023	6	41
2024	6	36
2025	6	36

c. Non-SERP eligibility is limited to some employment agreements to make up for benefits lost at previous employers by some mid-career hires or as part of a separation agreement with Eversource.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Brendan J. O'Brien, Garrett J. Murray, Leanne M. Landry and John G. Griffin
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Pre-staging costs. Refer to the response to OCC-674. Provide a breakdown of the annual expenses by category (i.e., labor, overhead, materials, outside contractors, vehicles, food/lodging, and employee expenses/other.

Response:

For the information referred to in the Company's response to OCC-674[i], please refer to OCC-939, Attachment 1, which details the breakdown of the annual expense by category.

Prior Referenced Response:

[i] OCC-674 Question:

Prestaging Costs. Refer to WP C-3-27. Provide the unescalated actual amounts for each of the years 2021-2025.

OCC-674 Response:

Please refer to the table below for the Company's unescalated pre-staging event costs for each year 2021 through 2025. The amounts reflected are in thousands of dollars. As shown in the table below, the unescalated amounts support additional base rate funding in excess of the currently approved $3 million annually and supports the Company's recommendation of a new base rate pre-staging reserve annual funding amount of $4 million.

Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Avg.
Pre-Staging Cost	$3,230	$5,071	$5,289	$0	$3,385	$3,395

Year 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 5-Year Avg. PreStaging Cost $3,230 $5,071 $5,289 $0 $3,385 $3,395. Please refer to OCC-674, Attachment 1, Page 1, for the calculation showing how the uninflated amounts were inflated to the Rate Year. Page 2 provides the raw data and information used to derive the applicable inflation factors on OCC-674, Attachment 1, Page 1, line 15.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Brendan J. O'Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Pre-staging Costs. Refer to Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-1, page 108. Explain how the pre-staging storm costs included in Schedule C-3.28, are incremental to the pre-staging costs in Schedule C-3.27.

Response:

The Company did not include pre-staging storm costs in Schedule C-3.28. Schedule C-3.28 only includes incremental storm expenses from storms that do not qualify as catastrophic or pre-staging.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Douglas P. Horton, Brendan J. O' Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Non-catastrophic storm costs. Refer to the response to OCC-701. Provide the inflated and non-inflated annual amounts broken out by non-catastrophic and incremental pre-staging.

Response:

As explained in the Company's response in OCC-940[i], the Company did not include pre-staging storm costs in Schedule C-3.28. Schedule C-3.28 only includes incremental storm expenses from storms that do not qualify as catastrophic or pre-staging.

Please refer to OCC-701, Attachment 1, for inflated and non-inflated non-catastrophic storm costs. Please refer to the Company's response in OCC-674[ii] for the inflated and non-inflated annual pre-staging amounts.

Prior Referenced Response:

[i] OCC-940 Question:

Pre-staging Costs. Refer to Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-1 page 108. Explain how the pre-staging storm costs included in Schedule C-3.28 are incremental to the pre-staging costs in Schedule C-3.27.

OCC-940 Response:

The Company did not include pre-staging storm costs in Schedule C-3.28. Schedule C-3.28 only includes incremental storm expenses from storms that do not qualify as catastrophic or pre-staging.

[ii] OCC-674 Question:

Prestaging Costs. Refer to WP C-3-27. Provide the unescalated actual amounts for each of the years 2021-2025.

OCC-674 Response:

Please refer to the table below for the Company's unescalated pre-staging event costs for each year 2021 through 2025. The amounts reflected are in thousands of dollars. As shown in the table below, the unescalated amounts support additional base rate funding in excess of the currently approved $3 million annually and supports the Company's recommendation of a new base rate pre-staging reserve annual funding amount of $4 million.

Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	5-Year Avg.
Pre-Staging Cost	$3,230	$5,071	$5,289	$0	$3,385	$3,395

Please refer to OCC-674, Attachment 1, Page 1 for the calculation showing how the uninflated amounts were inflated to the Rate Year. Page 2 provides the raw data and information used to derive the applicable inflation factors on OCC-674, Attachment 1, Page 1, line 15.

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Douglas P. Horton, Brendan J. O' Brien and Garrett J. Murray
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Catastrophic Storm Threshold. Refer to Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-1, page 109. Provide the current catastrophic storm threshold.

Response:

The catastrophic storm threshold was set at $4 million in Docket No. 17-10-46, as part of the Settlement Agreement (See Docket No. 17-10-46, Final Decision dated April 18, 2018, Appendix A – Settlement Agreement, p. 11).

Date Filed: October 19, 2026

Witness: Jared A. Lawrence, Edward A. Davis and Kimberly R. Parsons
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(AMI – BRIDGE METERS) Please refer to Exhibit CLP-AMI-2, p. 10-11.

a. Please clarify the exact number of bridge meters currently installed.
b. Are bridge meters able to facilitate a time-varying/time of use rate?
c. Must a customer have either a bridge meter or AMI meter to be served by a time-varying or time of use rate?

Response:

a. Eversource has 467,145 bridge meters currently installed in Connecticut as of this response.

b. Bridge meters can facilitate Time of Use (TOU) rates, but the supporting billing system and interval data management system cannot support billing TOU customers using a bridge meter. Instead, the Company uses a different meter type to collect interval data and pass it along to the billing system for customers on TOU rates. If any customer with a bridge meter request to go on a TOU rate, a meter exchange is performed to support the different rate.

c. Currently, a customer must have a dedicated interval-capable meter to support current forms of TOU rates. If AMI were approved in Connecticut, the company plans to set up appropriate system configurations (billing and meter data management) to allow the AMI meters to support TOU rates. The end goal would be to transition all existing TOU customers over to AMI meters once the system configurations are ready. In this AMI future, any customer that chooses to adopt a TOU rate will be able to do so without the need for a meter exchange.