STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES	: : : :	DOCKET NO. 26-05-10 OCTOBER 8, 2026

INTERROGATORIES OF ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED TO THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY — SET TWELVE

Pursuant to the Authority's August 19, 2026 ruling granting Allco Finance Limited ("Allco") intervenor status, and in accordance with the Authority's Rules of Practice, Regs. Conn. State Agencies § 16-1-1 et seq., Allco propounds the following interrogatories — numbered consecutively with Allco's prior sets (Q-ALLCO-001 through Q-ALLCO-154) — to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource" or the "Company"). They follow up on the Company's September 23 and 24, 2026 responses to ALLCO-024, ALLCO-026, ALLCO-033, ALLCO-048, ALLCO-051, ICC-013, ICC-016, OCC-676, and OCC-677, and on its October 1, 2026 responses to ALLCO-076, ALLCO-077, and ALLCO-078, and on the redacted Exhibit CLP-Additions-6 Supplemental filed October 1, 2026. The definitions and instructions set forth in Allco's Set One apply; "Eversource Legal" has the meaning given in the Company's response to ALLCO-020; and "Eversource Energy" means Eversource Energy, the Company's publicly traded parent. Please answer separately and fully in writing, identify the witness responsible, and provide responsive schedules in native (Excel) format with formulas intact. Q-ALLCO-164 through Q-ALLCO-170 concern the Company's own use of artificial-intelligence tools and their cost in the Test Year and Rate Year, the policies the Company applies to employees, executives, and contractors who handle confidential information, customer information, and critical energy infrastructure information ("CEII"), and the basis for the prohibition on the use of "any AI model" that the Company has described as "non-negotiable" in the non-disclosure agreement it requires of intervenors (Motion No. 10, Att. A ¶ 2; Company correspondence of Sept. 28, Sept. 30 and Oct. 5, 2026). For those interrogatories, "AI Tool" means any software, service, model, or feature that uses machine learning, a large language model, generative artificial intelligence, natural-language processing, or automated decision-making, whether licensed from a third party (including, without limitation, Microsoft Copilot, Microsoft 365 Copilot, Azure OpenAI, ChatGPT or ChatGPT

Enterprise, Google Gemini, Anthropic Claude, Salesforce Einstein, ServiceNow Now Assist, GitHub Copilot, Relativity aiR, or any AI-assisted redaction, e-discovery, document-review, transcription, or meeting-summary feature) or developed internally, and whether used by the Company, Eversource Energy Service Company ("ESC"), or any affiliate on the Company's behalf; and "Contractor" means any consultant, vendor, law firm, or other third party that receives Company confidential information, customer information, or CEII, including Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc., Keegan Werlin LLP, Day Pitney LLP, Technology Concepts & Design, Inc. ("TCDI"), London Economics International LLC, Berkeley Research Group, Alden Systems, and the Company's storm, vegetation-management, and engineering contractors.

INTERROGATORIES

Q-ALLCO-155: (Follow-up to ALLCO-024, ALLCO-026, and ALLCO-033 — Legal Tracker.) ALLCO-024 states that Eversource Legal "uses the Thomson Reuters Legal Tracker ('Tracker') electronic billing system to receive, review and process for payment all outside counsel invoices and budgets for individual matters," and ALLCO-024, Attachment 1 requires every invoice to carry the "Legal Tracker Matter #" and "Eversource Matter Name," a matter budget, and a monthly per-matter estimate of unbilled fees for the unvouchered-liabilities report. (a) Produce from Tracker, for each matter to which any fee or disbursement was charged to the Company (directly or through ESC allocation) in 2024, 2025, and 2026 to date: the Legal Tracker Matter #, Eversource Matter Name, law firm, matter type, opening and closing dates, approved budget, and fees and disbursements invoiced and paid by year, in native format and under the protective order if the Company contends the matter names are confidential. (b) State whether the Company contends that generating the report in (a) from Tracker is not "commercially reasonable" (ALLCO-033), and if so state the hours and cost the Company estimates it would require and the basis for the estimate. (c) Identify each matter in (a) for which a Matter Management Plan was required under Section D of Attachment 1 (matters in excess of $250,000 or otherwise significant) and produce each such Plan and budget. (d) ALLCO-026 states that "the rate case work performed by Keegan Werlin LLP in Connecticut was subject to competitive bidding": identify the solicitation, its date, the firms invited and responding, the evaluation criteria and scoring, the person(s) by title who selected the firm, and the resulting engagement terms and rates, and produce the solicitation, the proposals, and the selection

memorandum. (e) ALLCO-026 states that "strategic legal services" are not subject to competitive bidding or sole-source justification under the Procurement Manual: quote the provision, define "strategic legal services," identify who determines whether an engagement qualifies, and state whether the engagements of Day Pitney LLP, Cowdery Murphy & Healy LLC, Steptoe LLP, and the Law Offices of Alexander G. Snyder reflected in ALLCO-033 were treated as strategic legal services and, for each, the reason.

Q-ALLCO-156: (Follow-up to ALLCO-051 — capital structure, double leverage, and the earnings sharing mechanism.) (a) ALLCO-051(a) states that the Company maintained "a higher percentage of equity in its capital structure" to "preserve its credit ratings" while funding storm and RAM deferrals, and reports a five-quarter-average common equity ratio of 54.56% at December 31, 2025 and 55.26% at June 30, 2026 against a requested 53.86%. State the Company's per-books common equity ratio at each quarter-end from December 31, 2020 through June 30, 2026; identify each decision, memorandum, rating-agency communication, or board or finance-committee material in which the decision to maintain a higher equity ratio to preserve ratings was made or reported, and produce it; and state whether any rating agency has stated, in writing, the equity ratio or FFO/debt or CFO pre-WC/debt level it requires for the Company's current ratings. (b) ALLCO-051(b) did not state the amount of long-term debt outstanding at Eversource Energy (parent only) at December 31, 2025, which the interrogatory asked for and which the parent reports in its Form 10-K. State that amount, the amount of parent-only long-term debt at each year-end 2020 through 2025, the amount of equity contributions made by the parent to the Company in each year 2020 through 2026 to date, and the amount of dividends paid by the Company to the parent in each such year. (c) State whether Moody's or S&P, in any published credit opinion or rating action on the Company or Eversource Energy since January 1, 2023, has referred to holding-company debt, "double leverage," structural subordination, or parent-level leverage as a factor in the Company's ratings, and produce each such document. (d) ALLCO-051(c) states that the Company "does not anticipate that it will over-earn during the term of the PBMRP." State the Company's earned return on common equity, per its annual reports to the Authority and per FERC Form 1, for each year 2018 through 2025, and the authorized return in effect in each year; identify every analysis, model, or scenario the Company or its ROE Witness prepared of the expected value to shareholders of the proposed earnings sharing mechanism, and produce it; and if none exists, so state and explain how the

Company concluded that a mechanism with 50/50 sharing above the authorized return and no downside adjustment has no value that should be reflected in the authorized return.

Q-ALLCO-157: (Follow-up to OCC-676 and ALLCO-059 — customer advances and interconnection deposits.) OCC-676 states that the Company has excluded customer advances from rate base since Docket No. 14-05-06 because "they are refundable and the Company does not have use of these dollars." ALLCO-059 states that Account 252500 held ($28,277,769) of interconnection customers' payments at June 30, 2026. (a) State whether the funds recorded in Account 252500 and in any other customer-advance or CIAC-deposit account are held in a segregated or escrow account or are commingled with the Company's general funds, and identify the account(s) and institution(s). (b) If commingled, state whether those funds are available to and used by the Company for working capital, construction, or any other purpose pending final accounting, and reconcile that use with the statement that the Company "does not have use of these dollars" and with OCC-677, which attributes the increase in customer advances since December 2025 to $14.5 million received from five NB-12 line-extension customers for projects that "are currently in construction." (c) State whether any interest is paid or credited to interconnection customers on advances held pending final accounting or refund, and the tariff, agreement, or policy provision governing it. (d) State whether customer advances and CIAC deposits are reflected in the Company's cash working capital or lead-lag analysis in this Application and, if so, where; if not, explain why customer funds held for months or years are excluded from the working-capital determination. (e) Produce the Company's response to OCC-072 in Docket No. 14-05-06 and identify the page of the Final Decision in that docket on which the Company relies.

Q-ALLCO-158: (Follow-up to ALLCO-048 and ALLCO-051 — affiliate returns and earnings sharing.) ALLCO-048, Attachment 1 shows authorized returns of 9.50% on a 50.00% equity ratio for PSNH (DE 24-070, July 25, 2025) and 9.80% on a 53.21% equity ratio for NSTAR Electric (D.P.U. 22-22, November 30, 2022), each with an asymmetric earnings sharing mechanism, and ALLCO-048 states that the Company did not conduct any analysis comparing its business risk to those affiliates. (a) State each respect in which the Company contends that its business or regulatory risk exceeds that of NSTAR Electric and of PSNH, and identify the evidence for each. (b) State the earned return on common equity of NSTAR Electric and of PSNH for each year 2022 through 2025 and the amounts, if any, shared with customers under

each affiliate's earnings sharing mechanism. (c) ALLCO-048 states that in the Company's experience earnings sharing mechanisms are "implemented in a one-sided manner – to the benefit of customers," while ALLCO-051(c) states that the Company "does not anticipate that it will over-earn during the term of the PBMRP." State whether the Company contends that the proposed earnings sharing mechanism has any expected value to customers and, if so, quantify it and produce the analysis; if the Company contends it has none, state why it is proposed. (d) State whether Ms. Bulkley or any other ROE Witness was asked to consider, or did consider, the authorized returns of the Company's affiliates in forming her recommendation, and produce any workpaper or correspondence in which the affiliates' returns are discussed.

Q-ALLCO-159: (Follow-up to ICC-013 and ICC-016 — application-to-agreement timing by category.) ICC-013, Attachment 1 reports the business days from application receipt to issuance of an Interconnection Agreement for all applications (78,740 since January 2020; mean 17.7 business days), and ICC-016 reports agreement-to-Permission-to-Operate timing separately for four categories. (a) Provide the ICC-013 schedule (projects, mean, and median business days by month) separately for the same four categories used in ICC-016 — residential inverter-based generators of 50 kW or less; Fast Track residential above 50 kW; commercial and industrial of 2 MW or less; and study-process projects above 2 MW — and, for the last two categories, separately for applications that required electric power system modifications or upgrades. (b) For each category, state the number of applications received since January 1, 2020 for which no Interconnection Agreement has been issued as of the date of the response, the number of those that the Company has tendered but not countersigned, and the mean and maximum elapsed business days for each group. (c) Explain why ICC-013, Attachment 1 reports a monthly median that exceeds the monthly mean in numerous months (e.g., January 2020: mean 5.98, median 22.5), identify any negative or zero durations in the underlying data and their cause, and state whether the "Mean" and "Average" columns are computed differently.

Q-ALLCO-160: (Follow-up to ALLCO-076, ALLCO-077, and ALLCO-028 — outside counsel matters in the revenue requirement.) ALLCO-076, Attachment 1 reports outside counsel expense charged to the Company of $3,834,493 (2021), $2,287,868 (2022), $4,257,622 (2023), $2,678,448 (2024), and $5,185,192 (2025), and a Rate Year amount of $5,121,457, and lists, among others, Day Pitney LLP ("Regulatory / Litigation," direct charge: $79,724 in 2023, $204,831 in 2024, $164,213 in 2025, $174,796 in the Rate Year), Cowdery Murphy & Healy

LLC ("Regulatory / Litigation," direct charge: $147,160 in 2024, $511,973 in 2025, $544,967 in the Rate Year), and Keegan Werlin LLP ("Regulatory Matters," direct charge: $1,210,144 in 2025, $1,288,132 in the Rate Year). ALLCO-077 states that the Rate Year legal costs "include litigation or other proceedings in which the Company is a plaintiff or defendant" and that the Company "does not track legal costs that would allow the Company to identify the portion of costs that relate to litigation or other proceedings and then separate that portion by specific matters." (a) For each of Day Pitney LLP, Cowdery Murphy & Healy LLC, and Keegan Werlin LLP, and for each year 2023 through 2025 and the Rate Year, identify each matter (by Legal Tracker Matter # and Eversource Matter Name, or, if privilege is asserted as to a name, by category and forum) to which the amounts in ALLCO-076, Attachment 1 were charged, and the amount charged to each matter. (b) State whether any portion of the amounts in subpart (a) was incurred in connection with (i) Allco Finance Limited or any of its affiliates, including the matters settled in Docket No. 16-03-08RE01, the February 2025 settlement filed in Docket No. 25-03-12, the Company's disqualification of SCEF Year 7 bids, the retention of SCEF Year 6 bid deposits, or the interconnection, LREC/ZREC, or power purchase agreements of Allco's affiliates; (ii) Windham Solar LLC or any affiliate; (iii) any other interconnection, net-metering, SCEF, or renewable-energy-contract dispute with a customer or developer; or (iv) any proceeding in which the Company defended the prudence or lawfulness of its own conduct — and state the amount for each. (c) State the basis on which the Company contends that the Rate Year amount of $5,121,457 is a reasonable and prudent level of outside counsel expense to be recovered from ratepayers when, by its own account in ALLCO-077, it cannot identify what portion of that amount was incurred to litigate against its own customers or to defend its own conduct, and identify every adjustment the Company made to remove such costs from the Rate Year, with the amount and workpaper reference, or state that no adjustment was made. (d) State whether any fee or disbursement of Day Pitney LLP incurred in Allco Finance Limited Inc. v. The Connecticut Light and Power Company, et al., No. 3:26-cv-01277 (D. Conn.), or in the Rule 11 and related correspondence preceding it, has been or will be charged to the Company, recorded above the line, included in any deferral, or sought in this or any future rate proceeding, and identify the account(s) used. (e) Produce the Legal Tracker matter list and budget-versus-actual report for each matter identified in subpart (a) in native format.

Q-ALLCO-161: (Follow-up to ALLCO-078 and ALLCO-034 — rate-proceeding costs outside Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-9.) ALLCO-078 states that the $3.1 million of rate case expense in Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-9 consists solely of external vendor charges; that the Company "does not incur incremental payroll, labor or benefits costs when preparing, filing or adjudicating a rate case" because the work is performed by permanent employees of the Connecticut revenue requirements group, the Connecticut rates group, and the internal legal department; that internal rate-case work is "exclusively contained in fiscal year 2026"; and that, applying the OCC-332 payroll methodology, "there are no payroll, labor or benefit costs pertaining to the rate case in the proposed revenue requirement." The response did not identify the positions, amounts, or accounts the interrogatory asked for. (a) Identify by title each position in the Connecticut revenue requirements group, the Connecticut rates group, the internal legal department, and any other Company or ESC group whose incumbent has recorded or is expected to record time on this proceeding in 2026, and state for each the Test Year Pro Forma and Rate Year salary, incentive compensation, and benefits included in the revenue requirement (Exhibit CLP-REVREQ-2, WP C-3.5 and related), and the percentage of 2026 working time the Company estimates each will devote to this proceeding. (b) Confirm that the Rate Year payroll, incentive compensation, and benefits of the positions identified in subpart (a) are included in the proposed revenue requirement without any adjustment to exclude time devoted to this or any other rate proceeding; if the Company contends an adjustment was made, identify it by amount and workpaper. (c) State whether the Company contends that Conn. Gen. Stat. § 16-243p(b) permits recovery through rates of the salaries, incentive compensation, and benefits of employees for the time they devote to attendance in, participation in, or preparation for a rate proceeding, and state the basis for that contention. (d) Identify every cost associated with any rate proceeding initiated on or after January 1, 2024 — including Docket Nos. 26-05-10, 24-12-01, 25-01-03, and 26-01-03, any RAM, storm-cost, or reconciliation proceeding, and any appeal — that is recorded in Test Year or Rate Year O&M, A&G, service-company charges, regulatory assets, or deferrals, stating the amount, account, and schedule or workpaper for each, and the total. (e) Produce the Company's 2026 time records, project codes, or other records (or state that none exist) showing employee time charged to this proceeding, and identify the project or work-order code(s), if any, under which such time is recorded. (f) State whether the principle stated in ALLCO-078 — that the Company "does not incur incremental payroll, labor or benefits costs" when work is

performed by permanent employees whose salaries are in the payroll base — applies to the time of permanent Company and ESC employees, and to the pooled supervisory, engineering, administrative, fleet, stores, and other overhead costs, that the Company charges to interconnecting customers through percentage loaders on interconnection work orders; if the Company contends it does not, explain why the same cost is not incremental when recovered from ratepayers but is incremental when recovered from an interconnecting customer, and identify every document on which that contention rests.

Q-ALLCO-162: (Exhibit CLP-Additions-6 Supplemental — distributed-generation interconnection projects.) The redacted project documentation filed on October 1, 2026 as Exhibit CLP-Additions-6 Supplemental includes CS & Closing Reports and Project Approval Forms for the following projects, each of which the documentation describes as a distributed-generation interconnection or identifies by the name of a solar developer: CD01162, CD01163, CD01242, CD01244, CD01412, CD01470, CD01594, CD01682, CD01705, CD01776, CD01938, CD02028, CD02124, CD02136, CD02239, CD02244, and CD02247. The Project Approval Form for CD02239 (Verogy, Upper Road, Stafford Springs) states a Total Capital Request of $414.7K "(100% Reimbursable)," "Less Total Customer Contribution" of $414.7K and "Project Costs (Net of Reimbursement)" of $0.0, while the CS & Closing Report for the same project reports Project Actuals of $299,031 direct, $317,847 indirect and $616,877 total with no customer contribution shown. For each project listed above: (a) state the amount paid or payable by the interconnecting customer for the work, the date(s) of payment, and the account(s) in which each payment was recorded; (b) state the gross cost of the project (direct, indirect and AFUDC), the amount of the customer contribution credited against that cost, and the net amount, if any, recorded in plant in service and included in the plant additions supporting the proposed revenue requirement, with the schedule and line reference; (c) state whether any part of the Indirect/Overheads amount shown in the CS & Closing Report was recovered from the customer and, if so, how much; (d) for each project whose CS & Closing Report shows no customer contribution in the "Other" cost element, explain where the customer's payment was recorded and why it does not appear in the closing report; and (e) state whether any project listed above, or any other project in Exhibit CLP-Additions-6 or CLP-Additions-6 Supplemental, was funded in whole or in part by an interconnecting customer and nonetheless included at its gross cost in the plant additions supporting the Application, and identify each such project and amount.

Q-ALLCO-163: (Exhibit CLP-Additions-6 Supplemental — overhead loading on customer-funded work.) For each project identified in Q-ALLCO-162, and for the 2026 Customer blanket (CSTMER / CDCDANNL), the 2026 Third Party Attachment Make Ready program (495802), CD02458 (New Service Amazon Plainfield) and CD02497 (New Service Uline Plainfield): (a) identify each overhead, loader, or indirect-cost rate applied to the project (by name, rate, and the base to which it was applied) and the Company policy, procedure, or accounting instruction under which it was applied; (b) state the amount of the Indirect/Overheads total in the CS & Closing Report attributable to each rate; (c) state whether the rates in subpart (a) are the same rates applied to the interconnection work orders of Allco's affiliates for the Fisk, Plainfield, Voluntown and Bilton project clusters, and if not, identify each difference; (d) state whether the salaries and benefits recovered through those rates are also included in the Test Year Pro Forma and Rate Year payroll and benefits in the proposed revenue requirement, and if so, identify the adjustment, if any, that removes amounts capitalized to customer-funded projects, by amount and workpaper; and (e) reconcile subpart (d) with the statement in ALLCO-078 that the Company "does not incur incremental payroll, labor or benefits costs" when work is performed by permanent employees.

Q-ALLCO-164: (AI Tools in use.) Identify each AI Tool that the Company, ESC, or any affiliate acting for the Company has licensed, deployed, piloted, or used at any time since January 1, 2024, stating for each: (a) the vendor and product; (b) whether it is licensed under enterprise, commercial, or consumer terms, and the date first used; (c) the business functions and departments that use it (including, without limitation, legal, regulatory, rates and revenue requirements, customer service, engineering, distribution planning, grid operations, storm response, procurement, information technology, and human resources); (d) whether Company confidential information, customer information, or CEII is or may be entered into it; (e) the contractual terms governing the vendor's retention of inputs and outputs, use of inputs to train or improve models, ownership of inputs and outputs, and access by the vendor or any other third party, producing the governing agreement or terms; (f) whether it is hosted on Company premises, in a Company-controlled cloud tenancy, or in the vendor's environment, and in what country; and (g) the annual cost, the account(s) in which that cost is recorded, and the amount, if any, included in the Test Year, Test Year Pro Forma, and Rate Year cost of service, with the schedule and workpaper reference.

Q-ALLCO-165: (AI in the Application and in this proceeding.) State whether any AI Tool was used in the preparation of any part of the Application, its exhibits, workpapers, or testimony; of any response to an interrogatory in this docket; of the redactions applied to any confidential exhibit or attachment, including the redactions to Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-6 and CLP-ADDITIONS-6 Supplemental and the "redaction and e-discovery services" billed by TCDI (ALLCO-034, Attachment 1); or of the forecast of the Rate Year revenue requirement. For each such use identify the AI Tool, the task, the department or Contractor that performed it, whether confidential information, customer information, or CEII was entered into the tool, and the cost.

Q-ALLCO-166: (Policies governing employees and executives.) Produce each policy, standard, guideline, directive, or training material in force at any time since January 1, 2024 that governs the use of AI Tools by Company or ESC employees, officers, or executives, with its effective date and the person by title who approved it, and state: (a) whether employees, officers, or executives who have access to confidential information, customer information, or CEII are prohibited from using any AI Tool; (b) if they are not prohibited, what categories of information may and may not be entered into which AI Tools, and the safeguards (enterprise tenancy, no-retention and no-training terms, data-loss-prevention controls, access logging, or otherwise) the Company relies upon; (c) whether any officer or executive of the Company or of Eversource Energy — including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the General Counsel, and the witnesses sponsoring testimony in this docket — uses any AI Tool in the course of his or her duties, and which; (d) whether the Company has ever determined that an employee, officer, or executive entered confidential information, customer information, or CEII into an AI Tool, and what action was taken; and (e) whether the Company contends that State of Connecticut Policy AI-01 (AI Responsible Use Framework, Feb. 1, 2024) applies to the Company, its employees, or its Contractors, and the basis for that contention.

Q-ALLCO-167: (Contractors.) For each Contractor, and specifically for Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc., Keegan Werlin LLP, Day Pitney LLP, TCDI, London Economics International LLC, Berkeley Research Group, and Alden Systems: (a) state whether the Contractor's agreement with the Company or ESC, or any non-disclosure or data-security agreement it has executed, prohibits the Contractor and its personnel from using AI Tools in connection with Company confidential information, customer information, or CEII, and produce each such provision; (b) if no such prohibition exists, state what restrictions, if any, apply and

how the Company verifies compliance; (c) state whether the Contractor's agreement contains a liquidated-damages provision for unauthorized disclosure and, if so, its amount, and whether it contains the $50,000-per-document liquidated-damages term, the $10 million cyber-liability insurance requirement, or the prohibition on use of information "in any AI model" that the Company has required of intervenors in this docket; (d) state whether the Company knows or has inquired whether the Contractor uses AI Tools in performing work for the Company that involves confidential information or CEII, and the result of any inquiry; and (e) identify each Contractor that has received CEII of the Company since January 1, 2024, the CEII-handling requirements imposed on it, and whether those requirements include any restriction on AI Tools.

Q-ALLCO-168: (Storage and hosting of confidential information and CEII.) State whether the Company's confidential information, customer information, and CEII are stored or processed in any third-party cloud or hosted environment (including, without limitation, Microsoft 365, Microsoft Azure, Amazon Web Services, Google Cloud, Salesforce, ServiceNow, Oracle, SAP, PowerPlan, or any e-discovery or document-hosting platform), identifying each environment, the vendor, the country of hosting, and whether the vendor's terms permit it to use, retain, or train on Company data; and state whether any such environment includes AI Tool features (such as Microsoft 365 Copilot or Azure OpenAI) that are enabled for Company users, and if so which.

Q-ALLCO-169: (The intervenor non-disclosure agreement.) With respect to the form of "Non-Disclosure Agreement with Data Security Requirements" the Company has required of intervenors in this docket: (a) identify each party, intervenor, consultant, or other person that has executed it, with the date; (b) identify each person who has received confidential information or CEII in this docket without executing it, and the instrument under which that person received it; (c) state whether the Office of Consumer Counsel, the Office of the Attorney General, the Authority's staff, or their consultants are subject to any restriction on the use of AI Tools with Company confidential information in this docket and, if so, identify it; (d) identify by title the "I.T. professionals" referred to in Motion No. 10, Attachment A ¶ 2, and state the criteria the Company proposed to apply in determining whether "appropriate safeguards" exist for the use of an AI Tool with confidential information, and whether the Company has applied those criteria to its own use of AI Tools; (e) identify each concrete risk to confidential information or CEII that the Company contends is created by an intervenor's use of an AI Tool licensed under terms that

prohibit retention of and training on inputs and that is not created by the same intervenor's use of a word processor, e-mail system, or document-hosting platform, and each document on which that contention rests; and (f) identify each ruling of the Authority in any docket that has imposed a restriction on the use of AI Tools with protected material.

Q-ALLCO-170: (AI-related costs, savings, and positions in the revenue requirement.) Identify every cost associated with AI Tools — licenses, subscriptions, implementation, consulting, and the "Data Innovation," "Robotic Process Automation," and Grid Modernization positions referred to in OCC-819, OCC-830, and OCC-333 — included in the Test Year, Test Year Pro Forma, or Rate Year cost of service or in any capital project in Exhibits CLP-ADDITIONS-1 through -6, stating the amount, account, and schedule or workpaper for each; identify every productivity, labor, or outside-services saving the Company attributes to AI Tools or automation in the Rate Year and where it is reflected; and state whether the Company contends that ratepayers should bear the cost of AI Tools whose use the Company simultaneously contends is incompatible with the protection of its confidential information.

Please serve responses on the undersigned by electronic mail by October 22, 2026.

ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone
Juris No. 438879
Allco Finance Limited
777 West Putnam Avenue, Suite 300
Greenwich, CT 06830
Phone: (212) 681-1120
Email: Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that on this day, the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies were served upon each person designated on the Authority's official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone