STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

October 30, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-05-10

Vincent Pace, Esq.
Assistant General Counsel
Eversource Energy Service Company
P.O. Box 270
Hartford, CT 06141

Re: Docket No. 26-05-10 – Application of The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy to Amend its Rate Schedules

Dear Attorney Pace:

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **RSR-67 through RSR-82** directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Company) and refer to the Company's request to amend its rate schedules.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **November 13, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

DOCKET NO. 26-05-10
APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES

Interrogatories RSR-067 through RSR-082 are directed to the Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource or Company) and refer to the Company's Rate Case Application (Application).

RSR-067 (Non-Catastrophic Storms) Application, Sch. WP C-3.28. Provide an unlocked Excel worksheet, with formulas intact, with a list of all field work orders associated with minor storms during the test year. For each field work order, identify the:

a. Field work order number;
b. Applicable storm date(s); and
c. Total costs recorded to the field work order during the test year.

RSR-068 (Non-Catastrophic Storms) Application, Sch. WP C-3.28. Provide an unlocked Excel worksheet, with formulas intact, containing, for each of the field work orders identified in response to RSR-67, a breakdown of the total costs recorded separately by cost category for each of the below categories. Ensure that the cost category totals reconcile with the total cost reported for each field work order in response to RSR-67. If the costs do not reconcile, provide an explanation.

a. Employee Labor;
b. Contractor Expenses;
c. Materials;
d. Equipment;
e. Lodging;
f. Meals; and
g. Other Applicable Categories.

RSR-069 (Non-Catastrophic Storms) Application, Sch. WP C-3.28; Audit-PURA-1 Supplement.

a. Explain how charges for non-deferrable storm work are assigned both to field work orders and accounting work orders; and
b. Describe the process by which the Company allocates storm costs to minor/non-deferrable storm work orders and to deferrable work orders.

RSR-070 (Storms) Explain whether state officials, including lawmakers and PURA commissioners, are prioritized for service restoration in any way (for reasons other than medical hardship, etc), including at personal residences. If so explain why. Further, state whether any state officials have a designation in the Company's customer information or outage management system which the Company uses to determine service restoration priority (i.e., similar to medical hardship customers or municipal critical facilities).

RSR-071 (Storms) Provide the following regarding storm communications:

a. A description of, and all documentation regarding, the Company's internal policies for communications with state and elected officials during emergency events; and
b. Records of all communications to regulatory and state officials regarding the September 25, 2026 Nor'easter weather event.

RSR-072 (Storms) Explain whether the Company establishes goals or targets around estimated times of restoration (ETRs) for customers. If so, provide any internal metrics the Company uses to evaluate its goals for ETRs.

RSR-073 (Storms) Does the Company track the accuracy of ETRs provided to customers during storm events? If so, provide any internal data comparing reported ETRs to actual restoration times.

RSR-074 (Targeted System Performance Plan) Application, Ex. CLP-TSP-3, pp. 101–106; Compliance, May 8, 2026, Docket No. 26-08-08, Non-Wires Solutions Process Cycle 2, App. 1 (NWS BCA Tool). Regarding the Company's proposed non-wires alternatives battery storage projects:

a. Explain whether these projects were filed in the non-wires solutions process (i.e., either Docket No. 25-08-08, Non-Wires Solutions Process Cycle 1 or Docket No. 26-08-08 Non-Wires Solutions Process Cycle 2). If not, explain why they were excluded.
b. Provide an unlocked Excel worksheet, with formulas intact, containing the benefit-cost analysis for the Campville 14R and Frybrook 13B NWS projects using the NWS BCA Tool. Use reasonable assumptions (such as industry literature or the Company's previous battery storage vendor quotes) for any inputs which would require "a detailed engineering study" or vendor bid to populate.
c. Provide a narrative description of how the Company calculated the deferral values for these projects including the assumed discount rate.
d. Separately for each project, provide the net-present value (NPV) of the cost of the NWS solution and the NPV of the cost of the deferred traditional solution using reasonable timeline assumptions based on how the Company is proposing to implement these projects.
e. Separately, for each project, explain whether the NPV of the cost of the NWS solution plus the NPV of the avoided cost of the deferred traditional solution is less than the NPV of the cost of the traditional solution alone.

RSR-075 (Plant Additions) Application, CLP-Additions-4. Provide the following regarding the consoles for the Windsor System Operations Center (Project Nos. CD21730 or CTDSOCIT):

a. The project name and number under which the consoles were purchased;
b. The total amount included as plant additions related to the consoles; and
c. Whether the procurement of the Mauell consoles was conducted under a competitive bidding process. If yes, provide all documentation of the competitive bidding process, including all request for proposals (RFP), a list of all invited vendors, copies of all bids or proposals received, the bid evaluation criteria, the sole source justification, and documentation of the final vendor selection decision. If not, explain the process for selecting the vendor.

RSR-076 (Plant Additions) Application, CLP-Additions-4. Regarding Project Nos. CD21730 and CTDSOCIT related to the Windsor System Operations Center, provide the following about the prior system operations center in Berlin:

a. The original in-service date and associated plant additions, for the facility and associated equipment, including IT equipment;
b. All plant additions made from 2017 through 2026 year-to-date, including an itemized list; and
c. All plant retirements from 2017 to 2026 year-to-date, by month, including a description of the retirements.

RSR-077 (Plant Additions) Provide a copy of the presentation given at the physical inspection of the Windsor System Operations Center.

RSR-078 (Plant Additions) Application, CLP-Additions-4. Regarding Project Nos. CD21730 and CTDSOCIT related to the Windsor System Operations Center, state whether the projects are in compliance with NERC CIP requirements. If so provide:

a. The specific NERC CIP requirements with which the projects comply;
b. The reasons justifying the need to comply NERC CIP requirements; and
c. A list of all distribution plant additions by item category (e.g., physical security, SCADA changes) for each project that was done to ensure compliance with NERC CIP requirements.

RSR-079 (Plant Additions) Provide the following regarding the purchase of the property at 185 Blue Hills Avenue Ext., Windsor, CT:

a. Whether the purchase of the property is included in new plant additions in the Application;
b. If so, with which project number(s) the plant additions are associated;
c. A copy of the facility's lease agreement that was in effect immediately prior to the sale;
d. A description of the current uses of the facility and all planned future uses, including a planned timeline for the uses; and
e. Whether any portion of the facility is used for shared services, and, if so, which portion.

RSR-080 (Audit) Audit-PURA-1 Supplement. Provide a copy of the Company's response to Audit-PURA-1 Supplement, including the attachment.

RSR-081 (Audit) Audit-PURA-8 Supplement. Provide a copy of the Company's response to Audit-PURA-8 Supplement, including the attachment.

RSR-082 (Audit) Audit-PURA-9 Supplement. Provide a copy of the Company's response to Audit-PURA-9 Supplement, including the attachment, showing plant retirements. If any new plant addition projects were related to the retirements, include in the attachment a column referencing any related plant additions project numbers from CLP-ADDITIONS-4.