Date Filed: November 19, 2026

Witness: Craig Dikeman and Matthew J. Correia
Request from: Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement

Question:

(MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Response to EOE-314, Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 24-38. The Company's response to EOE-314 identified that "the Company identified a revised pricing schedule submitted by MTV to correct what the vendor identified as a clerical error in its original pricing sheet." Explain how the revised pricing schedule was approved as part of the contract negotiations and, if so, provide documentation to support this position. If not approved as part of contract negotiations, explain why the Company would allow for a subsequent revision (i.e., increase) to a pricing schedule after contracting and provide the incremental impact of this error.

Response:

The Company reviewed MTV's revised pricing schedule and determined that the correction was consistent with the contractor's previously established equipment rates. At this time, the Company was undertaking an initiative to simplify contractor equipment billing by consolidating separate travel and work equipment rates into a single hourly equipment rate. As part of this process, contractors were requested to submit revised equipment schedules reflecting consolidated rates for evaluation and incorporation into the applicable agreement documentation.

MTV submitted a revised pricing schedule reflecting this consolidation effort. Upon review, the Company determined that the revised equipment rate structure was reasonable, aligned with the Company's simplification initiative, and was consistent with MTV's historical equipment pricing. The revised pricing schedule was subsequently retained as part of the Company's contract documentation supporting the agreement.

The adjustment in question related solely to equipment charges associated with the Digger Derrick classification.

As demonstrated in OCC-044 Attachment 2, page 4501, MTV's 2022 pricing schedule identified separate rates for the Derrick Digger of $126 per hour for travel and $101 per hour for work.

Upon review, the Company determined that MTV's revised Derrick Digger rate of $111 per hour was reasonable and consistent with its historical pricing. Specifically, the revised rate fell between the previously established travel and work rates and represented a reasonable consolidation of those rates, rather than an unsupported increase in equipment pricing.

Based on this comparison, the Company determined that MTV's explanation of a clerical error was credible and accepted the revised rate as a correction to the pricing schedule. The Company's determination was supported by the historical pricing documentation provided in OCC-044, Attachment 2, at page 4501.