Date Filed: September 21, 2026

Witness: Warren R. Boutin, Leanne M. Landry and Jeffrey D. Turano
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

Describe the methodology by which the Company sets the percentage "CIAC" or "overhead" rate applied to interconnection upgrade costs (including rates of 9.97%, 10.19%, 11.00%, 12.00%, and 13.00% applied to projects between 2018 and 2024), produce the workpapers deriving each such percentage, and state the actual project management and administration cost component, as a percentage of construction cost, realized on distributed generation interconnection projects completed in each year 2020 through 2025.

Response:

CL&P objects to this request on the ground that it is an improper effort by ALLCO to use this rate case proceeding to obtain discovery for purposes of a lawsuit that it filed against the Company on August 10, 2026, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (Civil Action No. 3:26-cv-1277), outside of and in contravention of the rules of procedure that govern discovery in that lawsuit.

Without waiving said objection, the Company uses a "gross up" method when calculating the CIAC "tax adder" percentage. For an interconnection project, the Company requires each developer to advance adequate funds for the Company to maintain the full amount of funds, after paying the applicable State and Federal Income Tax, necessary to complete the construction. Furthermore, a discount rate is applied for the present value of the tax benefits associated with future tax depreciation. The Company applies the current prime rate as the discount rate pursuant to Order No. 2 of PURA's January 20, 1987, Decision in Docket No. 86-11-07. Below are the assumptions that the Company uses in the calculation:

Tax Rate	
Federal Tax Rate	0.21
State Tax Rate	0.0825
Prime Rate (Varies by year)	0.07
Tax Life	20 Years
Tax Depreciation Method	150% DB