From: Lupoli, Laura
To: Lupoli, Laura
Subject: FW: PURA Correspondence
Date: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 1:22:03 PM

From: Cliff Sanden <clifford.sanden@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2026 10:25 AM
To: Smith, Everett <Everett.Smith@ct.gov>; Cheeseman, Holly <Holly.Cheeseman@ct.gov>; Beecher, Janice <Janice.Beecher@ct.gov>; Arconti, David <David.Arconti@ct.gov>; Wiehl, Tom <Tom.Wiehl@ct.gov>
Subject: Fwd: PURA Correspondence

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear PURA Commissioners,

I am writing to follow up on my October 13 message and have bolded the relevant section. PURA plays an important role for electricity costs. Connecticut ratepayers are burdened by high electricity supply and transmission costs. Eversource is incentivized to collect as much as possible from ratepayers and classify as much as possible as recoverable.

Could you please advise how ratepayers can meaningfully participate in the rate change process, with the objective of denying the seemingly absurd CL&P request and halting the onerous all-in electricity rate hike Eversource is proposing? How can submitted comments turn into action? It seems right now that they are received, but subsequent action is not clear.

An informational sheet with neither technical nor manipulative language may be helpful - how is incremental investment for data centers handled? How are other pole tenants responsible for costs and their proportional load on the poles? What incentives align Eversource management with ratepayers (lower rates, high reliability)?

Thank you for your support.

Kind Regards,
Cliff Sanden

203-564-6062

---------- Forwarded message ---------
De : **Cliff Sanden** <clifford.sanden@gmail.com>
Date: mar. 13 oct. 2026 à 09:26
Subject: Re: PURA Correspondence
To: Gaudiosi, Jeff <Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov>

Dear Mr. Gaudiosi,

Thank you for your email, and please relay my thanks to Mr. Wiehl for his thoughtful response.

PURA's statutory mandate is to ensure just and reasonable utility rates through rigorous scrutiny of utility expenditures and management practices. Public participation is an important part of that process. Mr. Wiehl's letter acknowledges that PURA's IT system makes accessing and analyzing the thousands of pages in this rate case cumbersome and that no improvements will be available before the proceeding concludes.

How, then, does PURA expect the public to participate meaningfully? If PURA recognizes that its own system impedes scrutinizing evidence, what practical alternative will it provide to ensure ratepayers can examine Eversource's filings and submit informed objections while the proceeding is still open?

I would rather have this discussion than include his email and our correspondence as official docket materials.

Thank you for your support. As I have indicated, I also have a technical and financial background, utilities experience, and am happy to support PURA say "no" to Eversource's request for an extra $727M from our pockets.

Kind Regards,

Cliff Sanden

203-564-6062

Le mar. 13 oct. 2026 à 08:20, Gaudiosi, Jeff <Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov> a écrit :

> Mr. Sanden,
>
> Your requests and issues have been brought to the attention of the PURA Chair. Please see the attached letter for his response.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Jeff Gaudiosi
>
> Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
> Power Procurement Manager
> Executive Secretary
> Public Utilities Regulatory Authority
> 10 Franklin Avenue, New Britain,CT 06051
> P: 860.827.2679(E: jeff.gaudiosi@ct.gov