Date Filed: September 25, 2026

Witness: Christopher E. Colorio, Mark P. Baldwin, John G. Griffin and Leanne M. Landry
Request from: Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement

Question:

(MS24A-VENDOR-TRAFFIC) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-1 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-1A-20, pp. 35-40. The Company reported two incremental charges for a total of $1,400 for the Town of Ellington. The first charge was referenced to project/work order number 13667145 supporting MJ Electric starting at 8am on January 9, 2024 (i.e., pp. 35-36). The second charge did not identify a project/work order number but related to activity starting at 8am on January 9, 2024 (i.e., p. 39). Both invoices applied to activity that occurred prior to the onset of the MS24A event as referenced in CLP-STORM-IMT-3. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 35-36, explain why services were billed for nine hours when the documentation details services for the hours of 0800 and 1400, or a total of eight hours.
b. Referring to pp. 35-36, explain why charges applicable to the work order number 13667145 and for hours that preceded the MS24A event were allocated to the event.
c. Comparing pp. 35-36 with the MJ Electric documentation at pp. 193-212, identify where the corresponding line crew costs are located.
d. Referring to p. 38-39, explain why services were billed for five hours when the documentation details services for the hours of 0800-1000, or a total of two hours.
e. Referring to p. 38-39, explain why services that preceded the MS24A event were allocated to the event.
f. If the responses to subsections (a)-(e) support the continued inclusion of the two charges, provide documentation demonstrating the applicability of the two charges to the MS24A event.

Response:

Please refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-1 (MS24A), Outside Contractors-Vendors, line 530 through line 531, vendor Town of Ellington, invoices totaling in the amount of $1,400.

a. Referring to Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-1A-20, page 35 through 36, the Company determined that these costs are not associated with the event. The Company will supplement ACCT-2 CLP-1 (MS24A), to remove line 530 in the amount of $900.

b. Please refer to subpart a.

c. Please refer to subpart a.

d. Referring to Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-1A-20, pages 38-39, for vendor Town of Ellington, please refer to page 40, bullet point three. The documentation identified therein provides support for the additional hour charged beyond the initial four (4) hours billed. Specifically, the referenced supporting records substantiate that the additional hour was incurred in connection with the hours charged and supports the total time charged by the vendor. Accordingly, the supporting documentation demonstrates that the full five (5) hours billed were appropriate and attributable to the services rendered.

e. The Company directly charges incremental storm costs to the specific storm event based on the dates during which the event occurred. The costs associated with this invoice were charged directly to the January 9, 2024 storm event because the scheduled services were procured and maintained in anticipation of the forecasted storm conditions beginning on that date.

   At the time the scheduling and resource decisions were made, the Company reasonably anticipated the need for storm response and restoration activities and therefore retained the requested services to support its emergency preparedness efforts. Although the officers were ultimately canceled, the cancellation occurred as a direct result of the storm event.

f. Please refer to subparts (a) through(e).