Date Filed: October 06, 2026

Witness: Mark P. Baldwin, Clifford G. Williams, Leanne M. Landry and John G. Griffin
Request from: Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement

Question:

(MS24F-VENDOR-LINE) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-9, pp. 24-38. The Company reported two incremental charges in the combined amount of $142,456 for the MTV Solutions Inc. vendor. Respond to the following:

a. Referring to pp. 25-26, 29, 32, and 35, explain why the vendor was permitted a higher rate for the Digger Derrick than permitted within the pricing schedule provided at OCC-044, Att.2 (Confidential). As part of the response, identify the incremental impact of the higher rate.
b. Referring to pp. 24-38, confirm that the vendor was used in a Dock crew capacity and explain why the vendor was utilized to perform services on April 8, 2024 (several days after demobilization) in lieu of Company personnel.

Response:

a. Upon further review of the contract attachments, the Company identified a revised pricing schedule submitted by MTV to correct what the vendor identified as a clerical error in its original pricing sheet. The Digger Derrick rate reflected on pp. 25–26, 29, 32, and 35 is consistent with the corrected rate included in that revised pricing schedule.

   The revised pricing schedule was inadvertently omitted from the Company's response to OCC-044, Attachment 2 CONFIDENTIAL. The applicable revised pricing schedule has now been provided as EOE-314, Attachment 1 CONFIDENTIAL.

b. The MTV crew referenced was a Dock crew at that time. The Stamford work center that the contractor was working for covers the towns of Greenwich, Stamford, and Darien. These towns are very busy with customer work, which often exceeds that which the Company's internal resources have the capacity to complete on their own without incurring significant drive time to arrive in the area from other work centers. As such, the Company supplements their workforce as needed with contractors to handle additional work.