Date Filed: September 28, 2026

Witness: John P. DiPaola-Tromba, Sean D. Redding and Jenna Turner
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(MS24E) Please refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 (CLP-5A-03) (MS24E), p. 47-49. Page 47 attributes the work to MN24F and it is unclear if page 48 attributes the work to MN24F or MN24E. Please explain how the Company verified that the work should have been associated with MS24E and provide all supporting substantiation.

Response:

From March 6 through March 13, 2024, the Company completed three separate storm restoration events (MN24E, MN24F, and MS24E). The vendor originally included two separate storm events on the timesheet (MN24F and MS24E), but the vendor employee mis-wrote MS24E as MN24E, due to human error and close proximity of the storm events. The work associated with MN24F was removed from the timesheet and invoice and billed under a separate invoice; however, the note indicated in Exhibit ACCT-2 (CLP-5A-03) (MS24E), page 47 (i.e. 204889 MURRALES MN24F011), remained and was not removed. The Arborist approved the timesheet after the corrections and correctly charged it to the MS24E event.