From: Rep. Allie-Brennan, Raghib
Sent: Wednesday, November 11, 2026 11:09 AM
To: O'Connor, Taren
Cc: Pitcher, Kaleigh
Subject: Re: REMINDER - Eversource Rate Case Public Comment Hearing #2

Good morning Taren,

Thank you for reaching out and for sharing the information regarding Eversource's proposed rate increase and the upcoming public hearings.

As a member of the Energy and Technology Committee, I've been following this proposal closely. Given the significant financial impact it could have on Connecticut households and businesses, I want to ensure that my constituents understand exactly what Eversource is requesting, how PURA's review process works, and how they can meaningfully participate.

I've reviewed the Rate Case Information webpage and the electronic filing resources you provided, which are helpful. I did have a few additional questions as I prepare to communicate with constituents and potentially participate in the November 23 hearing in Danbury.

1. Public participation: Is advance registration required to provide comments at the Danbury hearing? Are there time limits or specific procedures for elected officials? Additionally, is there a simplified process for residents to submit written comments without registering for an electronic filing account?

2. Review of the proposed increase: Eversource is requesting approximately $727 million in additional annual distribution revenue. Can you provide some insight into how PURA will evaluate the necessity and reasonableness of these costs, particularly proposed infrastructure investments, operating expenses, storm-related costs, and the company's requested return on equity?

3. Four-year rate structure: I'm particularly interested in the proposed performance-based multi-year rate plan. How would the annual Rate Adjustment Mechanism work, and what safeguards would ensure that future increases remain subject to appropriate regulatory oversight and opportunities for public input?

4. Affordability and accountability: How does PURA consider the cumulative financial burden on ratepayers when evaluating a proposal of this magnitude? Are there specific performance standards or consumer protections that would be incorporated into the proposed multi-year structure?

5. Transparency and public information: Beyond the educational materials already available, does PURA anticipate publishing a plain-language breakdown of the proposed

increase, including the major cost drivers and the potential impact on residential and small-business customers?

I also noticed that the opening paragraph of your email references Docket No. 26-06-10, while the background section references 26-05-10. I believe the latter is correct, but wanted to confirm before sharing information publicly.

I recognize and respect PURA's role as an independent regulatory authority, and I understand that the merits of the application must be evaluated through the formal proceeding. My goal is to help residents understand the process, encourage meaningful public participation, and ensure that affordability and accountability remain central considerations.

I am particularly interested in attending the November 23 hearing in Danbury and would appreciate any additional information regarding participation.

Thank you again for your assistance and for keeping us informed. I look forward to staying engaged as the proceeding moves forward.

Best,
Raghib Allie-Brennan
State Representative, 2nd District
Connecticut General Assembly