Date Filed: October 02, 2026

Witness: Amparo Nieto, Edward A. Davis and Kimberly Parsons
Request from: UCONN

Question:

(Marginal Cost of Service Study) Refer to Exhibit CLP-MCOS-1 at p. 3.

a. Explain how the foregoing ratemaking objective applies to the University of Connecticut and UConn Health.
b. Identify the rate schedules serving their separately metered accounts and the price signals under those rates that reward changes in the timing or magnitude of grid usage.
c. State whether Eversource evaluated the proposed rates' effects on universities, hospitals, research facilities, laboratories, or other mission-critical customers. If so, provide the analysis and workpapers. If not, explain why not.

Response:

a. Across the board, the Company's rates are designed to charge customers for the service they take from the system on the basis of cost causation principles, while also meeting three core rate-design objectives, which are to: (1) foster economically-efficient energy usage decisions; (2) ensure equitable rates or mitigate intra-class cross-subsidies; and (3) preserve customer affordability in the transition to a decarbonized sector. It is the Company's expectation that all customers, including large commercial customers benefit from the application of reasonable ratemaking objectives, reasonably and fairly applied. For example, the Company expects that UCONN's participation in this proceeding is undertaken because it has a genuine and valid interest in taking service pursuant to a rate set by PURA that promotes decisions for economic efficiency; that is equitable given the costs that UCONN imposes on the system; and that is affordable in relation to the service amount and quality that UCONN is seeking to obtain from the Company.

b. The rate schedules serving UCONN's separately metered accounts are: Rate 1, Rate 30, Rate 35, Rate 37, Rate 56, Rate 58, Rate 115, and Rate 116. The price signals under these rates that reward changes in the timing or magnitude of grid usage are:

Rate Component	Rate 30	Rate 35	Rate 37	Rate 56	Rate 58	Rate 115
Distribution Demand Charge	X	X	X	X	X	X
P/T Demand Charge		X	X	X	X	
Trans. TOU			X			
NBFMCC TOU			X	X	X	
GSC TOU			X	X	X	

c. The Company did not evaluate the proposed rate effects on "universities, hospitals, research facilities, laboratories, or other mission-critical customers" as a sub-group of customers because, from the Company's perspective, there is no individual customer segment that is entitled to special treatment based on the "mission" that those entities have, although the Company certainly agrees the mission is vital. The Company is required by law to propose to set rates that are non-discriminatory across the customer base, so that rates and charges are fairly balanced for customers at similar usage levels. In that regard, the Company routinely reviews the "typical bills" of each rate class when designing rates to ensure the rate-design objectives of continuity and gradualism are achieved, along with equitable results across all customer classes.