STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES	:	**DOCKET NO. 26-05-10**
	:	**SEPTEMBER 8, 2026**

INTERROGATORIES OF ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED TO THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY — SET FIVE

Pursuant to the Authority's August 19, 2026 ruling granting Allco Finance Limited ("Allco") intervenor status, and in accordance with the Authority's Rules of Practice, Regs. Conn. State Agencies § 16-1-1 et seq., Allco propounds the following interrogatories — numbered consecutively with Allco's Sets One through Four (Q-ALLCO-001 through Q-ALLCO-053) — to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource" or the "Company").

Q-ALLCO-054 concerns the incremental revenue effect and the claimed justification of applying the requested 10.25% return on common equity to rate base already in service, rather than to incremental investment only, and the Company's differing treatment of debt (recovered at embedded historical cost) and common equity (repriced in full to a claimed current market rate); it does not repeat Q-ALLCO-046 through Q-ALLCO-052, and the Company may answer any genuinely duplicative subpart by specific cross-reference.

Q-ALLCO-055 through Q-ALLCO-058 are discrete follow-up interrogatories, each limited to a single subject on which the Company's September 4, 2026 responses to Allco's Set One ***were incomplete or unresponsive***. Q-ALLCO-059 seeks project-level detail of contributions in aid of construction, which no prior interrogatory of any party requests. The definitions and instructions set forth in Allco's Set One apply. Please answer separately and fully in writing, identify the witness responsible, and provide responsive workpapers in native (Excel) format with formulas intact.

I. THE RETURN INCREASE ON PLANT ALREADY IN SERVICE.

Q-ALLCO-054: With respect to the requested 10.25% return on common equity and the Company's currently authorized distribution return on common equity of 9.25% established in Docket No. 17-10-46 (or such other return as the Company contends is currently authorized):

(a) quantify, for the Rate Year and each subsequent year of the proposed PBMRP term, the portion of the proposed revenue requirement increase that is attributable solely to applying the requested return on common equity, rather than the currently authorized return, to rate base in service as of the date certain (December 31, 2025) — stating separately the return component and the associated income tax gross-up, and producing the calculation in native (Excel) format;

(b) state whether the Company contends that the currently authorized return has failed to attract capital to the Company, and, if so, identify each specific instance — each debt or equity offering, credit rating action, capital program deferral, or financing the Company contends was impaired, cancelled, downsized, or made more expensive because of the currently authorized return — and produce every analysis or document supporting each such contention;

(c) state whether any incremental capital the Company plans to invest during the proposed PBMRP term would not be invested if the currently authorized return on common equity remained in effect, identifying each affected project or program and producing every analysis supporting that identification;

(d) explain why the Company's proposed cost of long-term debt reflects the embedded (historical) cost of debt issued in prior years (4.81%, Schedule D-1.0) while its proposed return on common equity reprices the entire common equity balance — including equity supporting plant placed in service years or decades ago — to a claimed current market rate, and state whether the Company performed or considered any analysis in which only incremental common equity is priced at the requested return while previously committed equity continues at the currently authorized return, producing any such analysis; and

(e) if the Company contends that investors' opportunity cost requires repricing all equity to a current market rate, reconcile that contention with the Company's use of embedded cost for debt investors whose opportunity cost has likewise changed, and with the market yields on the Company's own outstanding securities addressed in Q-ALLCO-052.

II. FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES TO THE COMPANY'S SEPTEMBER 4, 2026 SET ONE RESPONSES.

Q-ALLCO-055: *Follow-up to the Company's response to Q-ALLCO-010 (third-party and affiliate interconnection administration costs).* The Company's response quantified the labor

($616,047 test year; $660,100 rate year) and outside-services ($640,960 test year; $682,263 rate year) components of its Connecticut DG interconnection program administration costs, but did not respond to the portion of Q-ALLCO-010 concerning amounts paid to, or allocated from, Burns & McDonnell and Eversource Energy Service Company. For the test year and each rate year:

(a) state the total amount paid to Burns & McDonnell (or any successor or affiliated engineering contractor) for services relating to the interconnection of distributed generation in Connecticut, and state whether that amount is included within the $640,960/$682,263 outside-services figures identified in the Company's response;
(b) state the total amount of Eversource Energy Service Company costs allocated to CL&P for services relating to the interconnection of distributed generation in Connecticut, identify the allocation method, and state whether that amount is included within the figures identified in the Company's response; and
(c) if any amount identified in (a) or (b) is not included in those figures, identify the schedule, account, and cost-of-service line item in which it is recovered.

Q-ALLCO-056: *Follow-up to the Company's response to Q-ALLCO-011 (basis for SHARE program fees).* The Company's response states that the allocation of substation project costs to distributed energy resources for purposes of the SHARE fee is based on a "preliminary technical review," and the Company's prefiled testimony references a preliminary analysis (Exhibit CLP-TSP-3 at 144). Produce the preliminary technical review and any preliminary analysis — including all workpapers, models, and calculations in native (Excel) format with formulas intact — supporting the allocation of $85.5 million of the Tracy substation project, $16.3 million of the Frybrook substation project, and $36.1 million of the Campville substation project to distributed energy resources. If no such review or analysis exists in documentary form, so state, and identify each person who performed the review, when it was performed, and the methodology and inputs used.

Q-ALLCO-057: *Follow-up to the Company's response to Q-ALLCO-016 (applications excluded from interconnection performance metrics).* The Company's response and Attachment 1 provided annual counts of interconnection applications excluded from the Company's interconnection-timeline performance metrics because they require transformer upgrades (187 in 2020; 142 in 2021; 122 in 2022; 406 in 2023; 534 in 2024; 671 in 2025), but did not provide the percentage figures and total-application denominators requested. For each calendar year 2020 through 2025:

(a) state the total number of Connecticut DG interconnection applications received;

(b) state the total number of applications completed or otherwise closed; and

(c) state the excluded applications identified in the Company's response as a percentage of each of (a) and (b).

(d) If the Company contends it cannot derive these figures from PowerClerk or any other system, describe each system of record for interconnection applications and state which data fields it maintains.

Q-ALLCO-058: *Follow-up to the Company's response to Q-ALLCO-019 (recovery of DG dispute obligations through rates).* The Company's response did not answer the final portion of Q-ALLCO-019. State whether the Company proposes, in this proceeding, to recover through rates — whether in base rates, through any rate adjustment mechanism, or through any deferral or regulatory asset — any judgment, settlement payment, refund, or interest obligation arising from any dispute with a distributed generation or qualifying facility customer, including without limitation any refund or interest obligation to Allco or its affiliates arising from overcharges for interconnection-related costs. If so, identify each such amount, the schedule and line item in which it appears, and the witness sponsoring it. If not, state the accounting treatment the Company proposes for any such judgment, settlement, refund, or interest obligation that becomes payable during the rate plan term, including the account to be charged and whether shareholders or ratepayers would bear the cost.

III. PROJECT-LEVEL DETAIL OF CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION.

Q-ALLCO-059: For each project or interconnection for which the Company collected, billed, or recorded contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC") — including any percentage overhead or "CIAC" charge applied to interconnection study, upgrade, or construction costs, and any SHARE or other prepaid capital contribution treated as CIAC — in each year 2018 through 2025 and in the test year, provide a schedule, in native (Excel) format, identifying:

(a) the project or interconnection name and work-order number;

(b) the customer or counterparty type (distributed generation, qualifying facility, third-party attacher, municipal, or other);

(c) the construction or upgrade cost of the project;

(d) the CIAC amount collected or billed, stating separately the base construction component, each overhead or loader component and the percentage applied, and any tax gross-up;

(e) the FERC account(s) in which each amount was recorded and the amount, if any, netted against or offsetting plant in service; and

(f) whether the amount has been the subject of any final accounting, true-up, reconciliation, or refund, and if so the amount and date of any refund and any interest paid. Reconcile the annual totals in this schedule to (i) the annual CIAC totals provided in response to Q-ALLCO-002, and (ii) the "Deferred Taxes on CIAC, Net of Gross-up" amounts included in rate base on Schedule B-1.0 ($35,961,000 for the test year), identifying the CIAC balances from which those deferred tax amounts are derived.

Please serve responses on the undersigned by electronic mail by September 22, 2026.

ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone
Juris No. 438879
Allco Finance Limited
777 West Putnam Avenue, Suite 300
Greenwich, CT 06830
Phone: (212) 681-1120
Email: Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that on this day, the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies were served upon each person designated on the Authority's official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone