Date Filed: October 05, 2026

Witness: David L. Gladey, Leanne M. Landry and Jeffrey D. Turano
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-12, shows Regulatory Commitments actual expenditures of $116 million in 2017 (p. 28) against $5.0 million planned for 2025 in the renamed Regulatory category (p. 167). Explain the decline, and identify each regulatory commitment satisfied between 2017 and 2025 with its year of completion, and each commitment outstanding at December 31, 2025. Also, state when and why the category was renamed.

Response:

The main reason for the decline is the shift in System Resiliency/Expanded Reliability from the Regulatory Commitments category to the Reliability category. System Resiliency was approved in Docket No. 17-10-46, for the years 2018, 2019, and 2020 with recovery through the capital tracker (ESI). Since recovery of System Resiliency ended until potential recovery in the next distribution rate case, it was removed from the Regulatory Commitments category.

Another reason for the decline is completion of work for certain Regulatory Commitment categories. Hi Over Lo Voltage Removal work was completed in 2023 and Network 500 kcmil Cable Replacement Program work was completed in 2024.

4.8kv Grounding and Transmission Distribution Reliability Performance (TDRP) are ongoing programs.

There is no real significance to the category being renamed from Regulatory Commitments to Regulatory in the annual capital plan. It is still called “Regulatory Commitments” in the Company’s financial systems.