Date Filed: October 01, 2026

Witness: Andrew C. Belden and Justin Pelkowski
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-042.) Identify each resource constituting an exception to "substantially all" — that is, each eligible resource under five megawatts that was registered in ISO-New England rather than treated as an unregistered load reducer at any time during 2020 through 2025 — stating for each its nameplate capacity, program or contract, the period of registration, and the reason; and state whether the Company has ever evaluated, or been requested by any customer, developer, or agency to evaluate, changing the registration status of any category of such resources, identifying each evaluation or request and its disposition.

Response:

PURA's June 2, 2026, Notice of Proceeding in Docket No. 26-05-10 states that, upon a receipt of a completed application from Eversource to amend the Company's existing rate schedules, PURA will conduct a contested proceeding to review Eversource's application. Eversource objects to Allco's effort to litigate an issue that is outside the scope of this rate case proceeding. Considering the statutory 350-day timeframe to issue a final decision on the Company's application and the number of interrogatories issued in this proceeding to-date, the litigation of issues irrelevant to the Company's application threatens to adversely impact the orderly conduct of this proceeding.[1]

Without waiving said objection, 750 kW solar photovoltaic (PV) facility enrolled in the Virtual Net Metering Rider is registered as a settlement only generator at the request of the customer. The Company receives 100% of the energy revenue from the facility through the ISO-NE Market Settlement System, and these proceeds are credited to customers through the Rate Adjustment Mechanism.

[1] Allco previously litigated renewable energy projects as load reducers in the Company's Docket No. 24-01 03 Revenue Adjustment Mechanism proceeding. See, Docket No. 24-01-03, Eversource responses to ALLCO-04 and ALLCO-027. Eversource objected to both of these questions.