September 4, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

RE: Docket No. 26-05-10 – Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy To Amend Its Rate Schedules

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed please find the corrected first set of information requests from Conservation Law Foundation and Sierra Club in the above-referenced matter, issued to Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy. This version has been corrected to remove CLF-SC-21 through -23, as those information requests were filed separately in CLF and Sierra Club's second set of information requests. If there are any questions about this correction please contact the undersigned counsel.

Sincerely,

Shannon Laun
Senior Attorney
Conservation Law Foundation
195 Church Street
Mezzanine Level, Suite B
New Haven, CT 06510
Phone: 475-261-9538
Email: slaun@clf.org

Sarah Krame
Staff Attorney
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
50 F St. NW, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20001
Phone: (202) 548-4597
Email: Sarah.krame@sierraclub.org

Enclosures
cc: Service List

INSTRUCTIONS

The responses to these interrogatories and production requests must be submitted in the following manner:

A. Documents provided shall be complete and, unless privileged, unredacted, submitted as found in the responding party's files (*e.g.*, documents that in their original condition were stapled, clipped or otherwise fastened together or maintained in separate file folders shall be produced in such form). The responding party may submit photocopies (with color photocopies where necessary to accurately reproduce the document), in lieu of original documents, provided that such copies are true, correct, and complete copies of the original document.

B. Documents submitted shall be produced in the order in which they appear in the responding party's files and shall not be shuffled or otherwise rearranged. Mark each page with identification and consecutive document control numbers.

C. Data must be produced in the data format in which it is typically used and maintained. Moreover, to the extent a responsive document has been electronically scanned (for any purpose), that document must be produced in a readable and accessible electronic format, with the opportunity provided to review the original document.

D. Provide English translations of all responsive documents that exist in a language other than English.

E. Documents to be produced include all documents in the possession, custody or control of the responding party, wherever located, including documents in the possession, custody, or control of the responding party's directors, officers, employees, representatives, or agents. Without limitation on the term "control," a document is deemed to be in a responding party's control if it has the right to secure that document or a copy thereof from another person.

F. Where an Interrogatory asks to provide all documents please provide all data, assumptions, schedules, and calculations relied upon. Provide the source of and basis for all data and assumptions employed. Include all studies, reports and planning documents from which data, estimates, or assumptions were drawn and support for how the data or assumptions were used in developing the projections or estimates. Provide and explain all supporting workpapers. Where applicable, provide supporting workpapers and calculations in the form of live unlocked Microsoft Excel spreadsheet files with all cell references/links and formulae intact.

G. Each Interrogatory to provide all documents or similar phrases includes a request to "identify" all such documents. "Identify" means to state the nature of the

document, the date on which it was prepared, the subject matter and the titles and the names and positions of each person who participated in the preparation of the document, the addressee and the custodian of the documents. To the extent that a document is self-identifying, it need not be separately identified.

H. If the Company finds any request to be ambiguous, please notify Conservation Law Foundation and Sierra Club through their counsel so that the request can be clarified prior to the preparation of a written response.

I. If information requested is not available and cannot be made available in the exact form requested, provide such information or documents as can be made available that best respond to the request.

CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE

If you contend that any answer to any interrogatory or any document demanded by these interrogatories is privileged in whole or in part, file with your response to these interrogatories a statement in writing and under oath and in such statement: (1) identify each such interrogatory answer or document; (2) state its general subject matter; and (3) state in detail the basis for each claim of privilege made with respect to it. If a claim of privilege is made to only a part of a document, then in addition to the statement required herein, produce a public copy of such document from which the alleged privileged portion has been redacted, noting where in the document such redactions have been made.

SCOPE

If subsequent to your initial compliance with these interrogatories and production requests you discover additional or new material or information responsive to any interrogatory or request for production, or you discover that any response you provide herein was totally or partially incorrect or, though correction was made, is no longer true, you must promptly (a) file any additional responsive documents that come into your possession, custody, or control, or (b) file supplemented, corrected, and sworn responses. You are specifically directed to supplement, modify, or correct your responses to each of these interrogatories and production throughout until a final decision is adopted by the Authority in this Docket.

DEFINITIONS

1. The "Company" or "Eversource" refers to the Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy. Unless the request specifically provides otherwise, the term Company or Eversource includes all witnesses, representatives, employees, and legal counsel.

2. "Document," "documentation," or "workpaper" is used in its broadest sense and includes, without limitation, writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, phono-records, microfilm, microfiche, computer printouts, correspondence, handwritten notes, records or

reports, bills, checks, articles from journals or other sources, other data compilations from which information can be obtained, and all copies of such documents that bear notations or other markings that differentiate such copies from the original.

INTERROGATORIES

CLF-SC-1. Please provide any quantitative heat pump adoption forecast(s) for the Company's service territory prepared by the Company, or by a third party on behalf of the Company, in CSV format or in live Microsoft Excel format with all formulae intact. For each forecast, please provide results by year including, as available:

a. the number of customers with heat pumps that year;
b. the percentage of customers with heat pumps in that year;
c. the additions to and/or reductions from summer and winter peak demand (in MW) caused by heat pump adoption in that year.

If a forecast distinguishes among heat pump types (e.g., standard air-source, cold-climate air-source, geothermal/ground-source, etc.), please provide results broken out by heat pump type. If a forecast includes multiple scenarios, please provide results for each scenario separately. If the Company does not possess any such forecast, please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-2. In reference to each heat pump adoption forecast provided in response to the preceding request, please provide a detailed description of the methodology used to develop the forecast, including but not limited to:

a. the heat pump technologies and/or equipment models assumed;
b. how each scenario was defined and the assumptions distinguishing one scenario from another;
c. the data sources and key assumptions (e.g., baseline adoption rates, building stock characteristics, retrofit versus new construction, incentive programs) underlying the forecast.

If the Company is unable to provide any of the foregoing methodology details, please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-3. For the test year in this proceeding, please provide:

a. the number of residential electric customers with a heat pump as their primary heating source;
b. the total electricity consumption (kWh) of these customers;
c. the total electric delivery revenue attributable to these customers, in the aggregate.

The Company may provide this information either as a summary table or as the underlying billing and customer data necessary to derive it (e.g., anonymized billing-quality data identifying each customer's heating source, together with that customer's kWh consumption and delivery revenue for the period). Please provide this information in CSV format or in live Microsoft Excel format (with all formulae intact). If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce any of the three items requested (heat pump customer count, consumption, or delivery revenue), please state specifically which item(s) the Company is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not for each. If the Company is not able to produce all three items requested for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please provide these figures for the most recent time period available.

CLF-SC-4. For the same test year specified in the preceding request, please provide the number of residential electric customers, total electricity consumption (kWh), and total electric delivery revenue, broken out separately for the residential customer groups whose primary heating is:

a. electric resistance
b. natural gas
c. delivered fuels (e.g., fuel oil, propane)
d. other/unknown heating sources

Please provide this information in the same format requested in the preceding request. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce any of the three items requested (customer count, consumption, or delivery revenue) for any of the residential customer categories listed above, please state specifically which items and/or categories the Company is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not for each item and category identified. If the Company is not able to produce all three items requested for each category for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please provide these figures for the same time period as is used in CLF-SC-3.

CLF-SC-5. For the test year provided by the Company in this rate case, please provide (a.) the Company's calculation of the cost of service (i.e., cost to serve) attributable to residential electric customers with a heat pump as their primary heating source, in the aggregate, together with (b.) a detailed description of the methodology used to develop this cost of service figure, as well as (c.) data sources, allocators, and assumptions used, in live Microsoft Excel format with all formulae unlocked. If the Company's cost of service calculations use a different period than the one specified above, please identify the period actually used. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to calculate the cost of service for heat pump customers, please explain in detail why not. If the Company is unable to produce this figure for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please use the same time period

used to satisfy CLF-SC-3 and CLF-SC-4.

CLF-SC-6. For the test year provided by the Company in this rate case, please provide (a.) the Company's calculation of the cost of service (i.e., cost to serve) for each of the following residential customers groups: residential customers whose primary heating is 1. electric resistance, 2. natural gas, 3. delivered fuels (e.g., fuel oil, propane), and 4. other/unknown. Please also provide (b.) a detailed description of the methodology used to develop these cost of service figures, as well as all (c.) data sources, allocators, and assumptions used, in live Microsoft Excel format with all formulae unlocked. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to calculate the cost of service for any of the four customer groups above, please explain in detail why not for each such group. If the Company is unable to produce these figures for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please use the same time period used to satisfy CLF-SC-3 and CLF-SC-4.

CLF-SC-7. To the extent the Company is unable to provide the specific cost of service data requested in CLF-SC-5 and/or CLF-SC-6 above for any heating source category, please provide a detailed technical description of the methodology the Company would use to calculate cost of service by heating source category, if the Company were asked to do so. This description should be in sufficient technical detail that a third party, given access to the underlying source data, could independently replicate the analysis. Please identify:

a. what data sources would be needed (e.g., the Company's ECOSS functionalized/classified costs and allocators, customer billing records, AMI interval data, HVAC equipment or permit records, customer surveys, appliance saturation studies, or similar);

b. whether the Company possesses that source data, and if not, who would possess the data;

c. the specific analytical steps that would be required to convert that source data into a cost of service figure for each heating source category.

CLF-SC-8. Please provide the aggregate hourly (or, if hourly is not available, the finest available sub-hourly interval, e.g., 15-minute or 30-minute) electric energy usage (in kWh per interval), summed across all residential electric customers with a heat pump as their primary heating source, for each hour (or interval) of the test year in this proceeding. If interval or AMI data are not available for that exact period, please provide data for the same twelve-month period used to satisfy CLF-SC-3 through CLF-SC-6, and identify the period provided. Monthly or less granular data will not satisfy this request; the resolution requested is hourly or finer. Please provide this information in a machine-readable live Microsoft Excel or CSV format, with one row per

timestamp/interval and a labeled timestamp column (not a static image, PDF, or pivoted/merged-cell table), together with a description of how the underlying interval or AMI data were aggregated (e.g., whether the figures reflect gross metered usage or are net of any behind-the-meter generation, and how customers with incomplete interval data, if any, were treated). Please use the same timestamp convention — including time zone, whether intervals are labeled by interval-beginning or interval-ending, and treatment of daylight saving time transitions — in this response and in the responses to CLF-SC-9 through 11 below, and identify that convention, so that the results can be directly and unambiguously aligned and summed across heating source categories, rate schedules, and total system load. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce this aggregated load profile (including because the Company cannot identify which customers have a heat pump), please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-9. For the same twelve-month period used to satisfy CLF-SC-3 through CLF-SC-6, please provide the same aggregate hourly (or finest available interval) electric energy usage, broken out separately for each of the following remaining residential customer primary heating source categories:

a. electric resistance
b. natural gas
c. delivered fuels (e.g., fuel oil, propane)
d. other/unknown heating sources

If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce aggregated load profiles for any of the categories listed above (including because the Company cannot identify which customers use natural gas, delivered fuels, or other heating sources as their primary heating), please state specifically which categories the Company is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not for each category identified.

CLF-SC-10. For the same period, resolution, timestamp convention, and machine-readable Microsoft Excel or CSV format specified in CLF-SC-8 (i.e., the test year in this proceeding, at hourly or finer resolution, with one row per timestamp/interval), please provide the aggregate electric energy usage (in kWh per interval), summed across all of the Company's electric customers, across all customer classes (i.e., total system load). If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce this aggregated load profile, please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-11. For the same period, resolution, timestamp convention, and machine-readable Microsoft Excel or CSV format specified in CLF-SC-8, please provide the same aggregate electric energy usage, broken out separately by rate schedule/customer class, with each rate schedule's interval data aligned to the same timestamps used in the preceding request so that the class-level results can be summed interval-by-interval. Please identify each rate schedule

included in the response, and state whether the sum of the class-level results reconciles, interval-by-interval, to the systemwide total provided in response to the preceding request; if it does not reconcile, please explain the difference in detail. If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce the load profile for any rate schedule, please state specifically which schedule(s) the Company is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not for each.

CLF-SC-12. For every feeder on the Company's electric distribution system, please provide a table, in CSV format or in live Microsoft Excel format with all formulae intact, containing the following information for each feeder as of the test year in this proceeding:

a. a unique feeder identifier
b. the substation, and, if applicable, the specific substation transformer(s), that supply the feeder
c. the feeder's nominal operating voltage class (e.g., 34.5 kV, 13.2 kV, or 4.16 kV)
d. the feeder's summer normal rating, in amps, kW, or MVA (whichever unit the Company uses natively for feeder ratings)
e. the feeder's winter normal rating, in the same unit used in item 4 above
f. the total number of customers served by the feeder
g. the number of customers served by the feeder broken out by major customer class (e.g., residential, small commercial, and large commercial/industrial)
h. the number of customers served by the feeder with heat pumps as their primary heating type

If the Company does not possess the information to produce the data fields requested above at the individual feeder level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not. If the Company is not able to produce all of the items requested above for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please provide these figures for the most recent time period available.

CLF-SC-13. For each feeder identified in response to CLF-SC-12, please provide, in CSV format or in the same live Microsoft Excel format:

a. the feeder's coincident summer peak demand and winter peak demand, in the same unit used for the feeder's rating in response to CLF-SC-12 (amps, kW, or MVA), for each of the three most recently completed calendar years (i.e., three summer peaks and three winter peaks per feeder) and for the test year itself (if test year is not contained within the three most recently completed calendar years), together with the specific date and hour (or finest available timestamp) at which each summer and winter peak occurred. These historical peaks should reflect actual metered values, not weather-normalized estimates; if the Company does not maintain actual metered peak demand at the individual feeder level and is only able to provide weather-normalized historical peaks,

please state so explicitly and explain in detail why actual metered data is unavailable at the feeder level.

b. the feeder's forecasted summer peak demand and winter peak demand, in the same unit used in item a above, for each year of the Company's most recent long-term feeder-level load forecast, together with the assumed month, day, and hour (or hour range) at which the Company's forecasting model assumes the coincident summer and winter peaks occur. Please also state the assumed weather condition or percentile (e.g., 50/50 or 90/10 weather-normalized design conditions) underlying the forecast provided. Please provide this information with one row per feeder and one column per year (or, equivalently, one row per feeder per year), broken out separately for summer and winter.

   If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce the historical peaks and forecasted peaks itemized in this Request at the individual feeder level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not.

   The Company may provide the information requested in CLF-SC-12 and CLF-SC-13 as two separate tables, or as a single combined table containing both the feeder identifying/rating information described in CLF-SC-12 and the peak demand information described in CLF-SC-13, joined on the unique feeder identifier described in CLF-SC-12, item a, above; either format is acceptable, provided that the items requested can be unambiguously matched to the correct feeder.

CLF-SC-14. For each feeder identified in CLF-SC-13, please provide:

a. whether the Company identifies the feeder as currently having an N-0 thermal constraint (i.e., forecasted peak at or above 100% of its summer or winter normal rating under N-0 conditions) or an N-1 contingency overload (i.e., a circumstance in which restoring the feeder's load following a contingency event would require a neighboring feeder to exceed its own emergency rating for an excessive period of time, or equivalent definition used by the Company), and, if possible, the load at which that constraint occurred.

b. whether the Company has flagged the feeder as having a forecasted N-0 thermal constraint or N-1 contingency overload during the time horizon of the load forecast provided in CLF-SC-13, and, if possible, the load level at which that constraint is expected to occur. If the Company only tracks the year in which a particular constraint is first forecast to occur, rather than every year it is expected to occur, please provide this information instead.

c. for any such constraint identified in items a. and b. above, the remediation status the Company has assigned to it (e.g., identified/monitoring only, under

evaluation, planned, approved, in construction, or in-service); and

d. if a remediation has been identified or is underway, the type of remediation involved, such as a temporary operational measure (e.g., switching, feeder reconfiguration, or load transfer to a neighboring feeder or substation), a non-wires alternative (e.g., battery storage, demand response, or other non-infrastructure solution), or a capacity project (e.g., a new or upgraded feeder, conductor or cable upgrade, or new or expanded substation or substation transformer), together with the associated project identifier (if any) and expected in-service date or estimated completion year.

   If the Company tracks remediation status or project type using its own categories or flags that do not map cleanly onto the categories above, please instead provide the Company's own categories or flags, along with a brief description of what each one means, rather than attempting to force the Company's data into the categories listed above. If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce the forecasted thermal constraints and remediation status itemized in this Request at the individual feeder level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not.

   As with CLF-SC-12 and CLF-SC-13, the Company may provide the information requested in this Request as a separate table, or as a single combined table with the peak demand information described in CLF-SC-13, joined on the unique feeder identifier described in CLF-SC-12, item a, above; either format is acceptable, provided that the items requested can be unambiguously matched to the correct feeder.

CLF-SC-15. For each feeder identified in response to CLF-SC-12, please provide the feeder's actual hourly metered loading or demand, in the same unit used for the feeder's rating in response to CLF-SC-12 (amps, kW, or MVA), for each of the three most recently completed calendar years (i.e., up to 8,760 hourly values per feeder per year — 8,784 in a leap year — for each of the three years). These historical values should reflect actual metered data specific to that feeder, not weather-normalized, estimated, or "representative" values (e.g., a representative load profile assigned to a class of similar feeders, rather than telemetry recorded for that specific feeder).

   If the Company's systems already possess this feeder-level load data pre-aggregated to hourly resolution, please provide that data as maintained, and state whether each hourly value represents an interval average, an instantaneous/snapshot reading, an interval maximum, or some other convention used by the Company. If the Company does not already maintain this data at hourly resolution and must newly aggregate it from a finer-resolution source (e.g., SCADA data polled at a sub-hourly interval) in order to respond to this request, please use the interval average — i.e., the average metered demand over each clock-hour — as the aggregation convention. If the

Company does not possess the information necessary to produce hourly metered loading at the individual feeder level for the full three-year period (including because, for some or all feeders, the Company has only a representative or archetype load profile rather than actual metered telemetry), please provide whatever actual metered feeder-level data it does maintain and for the longest available sub-period of those three calendar years, identify the resolution and period provided, and explain in detail why the data isn't available for all feeders or for the entire requested time period.

Please provide this information in a machine-readable live Microsoft Excel or CSV format, with one row per feeder per timestamp/interval and a labeled timestamp column (not a static image, PDF, or pivoted/merged-cell table), together with the unique feeder identifier described in CLF-SC-12, item 1, above, so that this data can be joined to the feeder-level information provided in response to CLF-SC-12 and CLF-SC-13. Please use the same timestamp convention — including time zone, whether intervals are labeled by interval-beginning or interval-ending, and treatment of daylight saving time transitions — as used in response to CLF-SC-8 above, and identify that convention. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce this feeder-level hourly load data, please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-16. For every substation transformer on the Company's electric distribution system, please provide a table, in CSV format or in the same live Microsoft Excel format, containing the following information for each substation transformer as of the test year in this proceeding:

a. a unique transformer identifier
b. the substation at which the transformer is located
c. the transformer's nominal voltage class
d. the transformer's summer normal rating, in amps, kW, or MVA (whichever unit the Company provides for the equivalent feeder data)
e. the transformer's winter normal rating, in the same unit used in item 4 above
f. the total number of customers served by the transformer
g. the number of customers served by the transformer broken out by major customer class (e.g., residential, small commercial, and large commercial/industrial)
h. the number of customers served by the transformer with heat pumps as their primary heating type

If the Company does not maintain any of the items requested above at the individual substation transformer level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not. If the Company is not able to produce all of the items requested above for the test year, but is able to do so for a different time period, please provide these figures for the most recent time period available.

CLF-SC-17. For each substation transformer identified in response to the CLF-SC-16, please provide, in CSV format or in the same live Microsoft Excel format:

a. the transformer's coincident summer and winter peak loading, in the same unit used for the transformer's rating in CLF-SC-16, for each of the three most recently completed calendar years (i.e., three summer peaks and three winter peaks per feeder) and for the test year itself (if test year is not contained within three most recently completed calendar years), together with the specific date and hour (or finest available timestamp) at which each summer and winter peak occurred. These historical peaks should reflect actual metered values, not weather-normalized estimates; if the Company does not maintain actual metered peak demand at the individual substation transformer level and is only able to provide weather-normalized historical peaks, please state so explicitly and explain in detail why actual metered data is unavailable at the substation transformer level.

b. the transformer's forecasted summer peak demand and winter peak demand, in the same unit used in item a above, for each year of the Company's most recent long-term substation-transformer-level load forecast, together with the assumed month, day, and hour (or hour range) at which the Company's forecasting model assumes the coincident summer and winter peaks occur. Please also state the assumed weather condition or percentile (e.g., 50/50 or 90/10 weather-normalized design conditions) underlying the forecast provided. Please provide this information with one row per transformer and one column per year (or, equivalently, one row per transformer per year), broken out separately for summer and winter.

If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce the historical peaks and forecasted peaks itemized in this Request at the substation transformer level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not.

As with CLF-SC-12 and CLF-SC-13, the Company may provide the information requested in CLF-SC-16 and CLF-SC-17 as two separate tables, or as a single combined table joined on the unique transformer identifier described in CLF-SC-16; either format is acceptable, provided that the items requested can be unambiguously matched to the correct transformer.

CLF-SC-18. For each substation transformer identified in CLF-SC-17, please provide:

a. whether the Company identifies the substation transformer as currently having an N-0 thermal constraint (i.e., forecasted peak at or above 100% of its summer or winter normal rating under N-0 conditions) or an N-1 contingency overload (i.e., a circumstance in which restoring the feeder's load following a contingency event would require a neighboring feeder to exceed its own emergency rating for an excessive period of time, or equivalent definition used by the Company), and, if possible, the load at which that constraint

occurred.

b. whether the Company has flagged the substation transformer as having a forecasted N-0 thermal constraint or N-1 contingency overload during the time horizon of the load forecast provided in CLF-SC-13, and, if possible, the load level at which that constraint is expected to occur. If the Company only tracks the year in which a particular constraint is first forecast to occur, rather than every year it is expected to occur, please provide this information instead.

c. for any such constraint identified in item a above, the remediation status the Company has assigned to it (e.g., identified/monitoring only, under evaluation, planned, approved, in construction, or in-service); and

d. if a remediation has been identified or is underway, the type of remediation involved, such as a temporary operational measure (e.g., switching or load transfer to a neighboring substation transformer or substation), a non-wires alternative (e.g., battery storage, demand response, or other non-infrastructure solution), or a capacity project (e.g., a new or upgraded substation transformer, a new or expanded substation, or other infrastructure addition), together with the associated project identifier (if any) and expected in-service date or estimated completion year.

If the Company tracks remediation status or project type using its own categories or flags that do not map cleanly onto the categories above, please instead provide the Company's own categories or flags, along with a brief description of what each one means, rather than attempting to force the Company's data into the categories listed above. If the Company does not possess the information needed to produce the forecasted thermal constraints and remediation status itemized in this Request at the substation transformer level, please state specifically which item(s) it is unable to provide, and explain in detail why not.

As with CLF-SC-12 and CLF-SC-13, the Company may provide the information requested in this Request as a separate table, or as a single combined table with the peak demand information described in CLF-SC-17, joined on the unique transformer identifier described in CLF-SC-16, item a, above; either format is acceptable, provided that the items requested can be unambiguously matched to the correct transformer.

CLF-SC-19. For each substation transformer identified in response to CLF-SC-16 above, please provide the transformer's actual hourly metered loading or demand, in the same unit used for the transformer's rating in response to CLF-SC-16 (amps, kW, or MVA), for each of the three most recently completed calendar years (i.e., up to 8,760 hourly values per transformer per year — 8,784 in a leap year — for each of the three years). These historical values should reflect actual metered data specific to that transformer, not weather-normalized, estimated, or "representative" values (e.g., a representative load profile

assigned to a class of similar transformers, rather than telemetry recorded for that specific transformer).

If the Company's systems already possess this transformer-level load data pre-aggregated to hourly resolution, please provide that data as maintained, and state whether each hourly value represents an interval average, an instantaneous/snapshot reading, an interval maximum, or some other convention used by the Company. If the Company does not already maintain this data at hourly resolution and must newly aggregate it from a finer-resolution source (e.g., SCADA data polled at a sub-hourly interval) in order to respond to this request, please use the interval average — i.e., the average metered demand over each clock-hour — as the aggregation convention.

If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce hourly metered loading at the individual substation transformer level for the full three-year period (including because, for some or all transformers, the Company has only a representative or archetype load profile rather than actual metered telemetry), please provide whatever actual metered transformer-level data it does maintain and for the longest available sub-period of those three calendar years, identify the resolution and period provided, and explain in detail why the data isn't available for all transformers or for the entire requested time period.

Please provide this information in a machine-readable live Microsoft Excel or CSV format, with one row per transformer per timestamp/interval and a labeled timestamp column (not a static image, PDF, or pivoted/merged-cell table), together with the unique transformer identifier described in CLF-SC-16, item 1, above, so that this data can be joined to the transformer-level information provided in response to CLF-SC-16 and CLF-SC-17. Please use the same timestamp convention — including time zone, whether intervals are labeled by interval-beginning or interval-ending, and treatment of daylight saving time transitions — as used in response to CLF-SC-8, and identify that convention. If the Company does not possess the information necessary to produce this transformer-level hourly load data, please explain in detail why not.

CLF-SC-20. For each feeder and substation transformer identified in response to CLF-SC-12 through CLF-SC-19, to the extent the Company's feeder- and substation transformer-level load forecasts separately model, or can isolate, the incremental contribution of heat pump adoption to forecasted summer and winter peak demand, please provide that incremental heat-pump contribution (in the same units used in response to CLF-SC-13 and/or CLF-SC-17, as applicable) by feeder / substation transformer, by year, for each year of the Company's long-term forecast horizon. If the Company does not possess the information to model or isolate the yearly contribution of heat pump adoption to forecasted summer and winter peaks at either the feeder and/or substation

transformer levels, explain in detail why not, and describe in detail how heat pump adoption impacts are incorporated into the forecasts, if at all.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 4th day of September, 2026, the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies of the foregoing document were served upon each person designated on the Authority's official service list the applicable proceedings in accordance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-1-15.

/s/ Sarah Krame

Sarah Krame, Esq.
Staff Attorney
Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
50 F St. NW, 8th Floor
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 548-4597
Sarah.krame@sierraclub.org