Date Filed: November 05, 2026

Witness: Lavelle A. Freeman, Juan F. Martinez, and Steven C. Osuch
Request from: ALLCO

Question:

(Follow-up to ALLCO-131 — substations at or above the 20 MW DER threshold and rate base treatment of customer-funded upgrades.) Subpart (a) asked the Company to identify each substation at which DER online plus DER in queue equals or exceeds 20 MW; the response refers to the public Hosting Capacity Map and queue reports. Subpart (c) asked whether study fees, deposits, or upgrade payments collected from interconnection customers are credited against the cost of related investments before those investments are added to rate base; the response states that developers bear interconnection costs, which is not an answer. State: (a) in native format, from the Company's own records rather than by reference to a map, each bulk and distribution substation at which DER online plus DER in queue equaled or exceeded 20 MW as of December 31, 2025 and as of the most recent date available, with DER online (MW), DER in queue (MW), the number and aggregate MW of pending applications, and the number of pending applications over 1 MW; (b) for each such substation, each project in the Application, the Targeted System Performance plan, the SHARE proposal, or the 2026 capital plan (CLP-ADDITIONS-4 Supplemental) that would increase hosting capacity, relieve a thermal or voltage constraint, or replace a transformer at that substation, with its estimated cost and proposed rate treatment; (c) yes or no, whether amounts collected from interconnection customers for upgrades at any such substation are credited against the gross cost of the related plant before it is included in rate base, and if yes, identify the CIAC-funded plant placed in service in 2023, 2024, 2025 and 2026 at each such substation and the CIAC offset recorded for each; and (d) whether any plant whose cost was recovered in whole or part from an interconnection customer is included in the Test Year, Test Year Pro Forma, or Rate Year rate base at gross cost pending "final project billing and reconciliation" (ALLCO-002), and the amount.

Response:

a. The Company does not keep track of a DER queue with historical snapshots in a time series database, which would be required to answer this subpart. Queues are assessed when projects are studied, in which a project is identified as a pass or fail, or otherwise as snapshots in time and published publicly periodically at: https://www.eversource.com/docs/default-source/builders-contractors/ct-der-queue.csv.

b. The projects proposed in CLP-TSP-3 are in various stages of development, none of which have full engineering development of solutions. As such the information requested cannot be made available. Grid-needs projects are not guaranteed to DER developers until such time as they

are placed in service as project timelines and/or scope may be modified based upon the operational needs of the Company and ratepayers.

c. As identified in subpart (b), specific solutions for these projects have not yet been determined. Accordingly, the Company cannot evaluate whether any project would incorporate facilities for which CIAC has previously been received. However, any distribution system upgrades funded through CIAC would be expected to be completed prior to the implementation of a subsequent project requiring those facilities. As a result, any future solution identified for the projects listed in subpart (b) would assume that such upgrades are already in service and available to support the system. Therefore, the scope and gross cost of any future solution would not be affected by prior CIAC-funded upgrades, and the Company is not aware of any shared project scope that would give rise to a CIAC credit against the gross plant cost of the projects identified in subpart (b).