Date Filed: October 26, 2026

Witness: David L. Gladey, Lavelle Freeman, John P. Dipaola-Tromba, Jennifer A. Schilling and Elli Ntakou
Request from: Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

Question:

Refer to Exhibit CLP-TSP-3 p. 145. For each of the eight proposed and potential substations to participate in the Shared Hosting for Advancement of Renewable Energy (SHARE) proposal, please provide project details about the DER in queue, including but not limited to:

a. technology type;
b. size;
c. date entered the interconnection queue;
d. and any relevant ratepayer-funded incentive program the project may be participating in (e.g. Nonresidential Renewable Energy Solutions, Shared Clean Energy Facilities, Energy Storage Solutions, etc.)

Response:

The Company provides BETP-017, Attachment 1 CONFIDENTIAL, in response to this request. The attachment provides information on active interconnection requests associated with the proposed and potential SHARE substations identified on pages 145 through 149, of Exhibit CLP-TSP-3, and provides the requested project information, including technology type, size, queue date, and participation in certain customer-funded incentive programs.

The queue information reflected in BETP-017, Attachment 1 CONFIDENTIAL, represents a current snapshot of active interconnection applications and therefore may differ from the queue values referenced on pages 145 through 149, of Exhibit CLP-TSP-3, which were based on earlier evaluations of these opportunities. Changes in queue quantities can result from a variety of factors, including new applications, completed interconnections with developer funded improvements, project modifications, incidental grid needs resulting in increased hosting capacity, and withdrawn applications. As a result, current queue levels may differ from those considered during the original screening analyses.

In particular, highly constrained substations may experience project withdrawals when substantial interconnection upgrades are required before projects can proceed. Under the current interconnection framework, the first developer to trigger such a constraint may be responsible for funding an upgrade that subsequently benefits additional interconnection customers. As a result, projects may remain in the queue for extended periods while developers evaluate alternatives or

await system improvements that may reduce assigned interconnection costs and, in some cases, projects may ultimately be withdrawn. Consequently, the active queue at a given point in time may not fully reflect the historical level of developer interest at a location. These conditions are among the challenges that the proposed SHARE framework is intended to address through a more effective allocation of upgrade costs among participating beneficiaries.

The Company also notes that certain SHARE opportunities reflected on pages 145 through 149, of Exhibit CLP-TSP-3, have been refined from the original concepts evaluated in Docket No. 22-06-29RE01. In certain cases, the Company expanded the scope from individual substations to broader group study regions in an effort to improve cost allocation and maximize benefits for stakeholders. To provide additional context regarding the current proposals, BETP-017, Attachment 1, includes summary information and supporting queue data for substations incorporated into the proposed Campville and Tracy SHARE group-study regions.

Participation in customer-funded incentive programs was compiled using information obtained from multiple Company and program-administrator data sources. Although the information provided is substantially complete and reasonably representative, the Company does not maintain a single dataset with common identifiers that can be readily queried across interconnection applications, incentive program records and planning databases. Accordingly, the attachment may reflect omissions or inaccuracies resulting from limitations in the underlying source systems, differences in data quality and the absence of direct linkages between these datasets.