Date Filed: October 06, 2026

Witness: Christopher E. Colorio, Mark P. Baldwin, John G. Griffin and Leanne M. Landry
Request from: Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement

Question:

(MS24F-VENDOR-CIVIL) Refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6, and Confidential Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6A-6, pp. 186-191. The Company reported three incremental charges in the combined amount of $16,459 for the H I Stone & Son Trucking Inc. vendor. Explain what specific services were performed and how those services were necessitated by the MS24F event. Additionally, explain why the Scope of Work (pp. 187, 189, 191) references different work order numbers.

Response:

The customer's service to house # 84 Lazy Brook Rd, Monroe failed during MS24F on 4/3/24. The troubleshooter tested the service cable and determined that replacement was required. HI Stone used Horizontal Directional drilling to install 270' of new 3" conduit. The cost included test pits that were needed to locate other utilities, working with the mark out technician to identify utilities within the range of digging, running the final sections of conduit into a handhole as well as all restoration to the customers property.

The WOs referenced on the Scope of Work serve as HI Stone's internal means of tracking the work assigned to them.

Upon review of this invoice, the Company determined that the costs to replace the conduit should be capitalized. The material for the work was provided by the contractor and therefore was not picked up in the Company's established capitalization process. Based on this determination, the Company will supplement Exhibit ACCT-2 CLP-6 (MS24F), to modify lines 183-185, to reflect the updated amount of $0.