Date Filed: October 05, 2026

Witness: David L. Gladey and Jeffrey Richard
Request from: New England Connectivity and Telecommunications Association

Question:

(NESC Non-Compliance – Clarification of "Attacher Name") Referring to OCC-190, Attachment 1, which identifies communications attachers associated with NESC non-compliant poles, the town where the pole is located, relevant work order, the specific non-compliant issue, and the issue's resolution: clarify whether the "Attacher Name" column identifies in every instance

a. the existing attacher whose facilities caused or contributed to the NESC non-compliance condition (i.e., the attacher responsible for the violation), or
b. the attacher that submitted the pole attachment application that led to discovery of the non-compliance, regardless of which attacher's facilities caused the non-compliance. If the answer differs for different entries, identify which entries fall into each category.

Response:

a. The column designated as "Attacher Name" in OCC-190, Attachment 1, does not identify, in every instance, the existing attacher whose facilities caused or contributed to the NESC non-compliant condition. Please note that, on September 9, 2026, PURA issued a ruling on the Company's Motion No. 044 in Docket No. 19-01-52RE01, PURA Investigation of Developments in the Third-Party Pole Attachment Process – Make Ready, granting the opening of a new docket to, among other things, investigate modifications to the current cost allocation methodologies.

b. The column designated as "Attacher Name" in OCC-190, Attachment 1, identifies the attacher that submitted the pole attachment application associated with the reference work order. NESC non-compliant conditions are often identified during the engineering review of an attachment application. Accordingly, the attacher listed in Attachment 1 is the applicant whose attachment request led to the discovery and evaluation of the condition, regardless of which existing attacher's facilities may have caused or contributed to the non-compliance. OCC-190, Attachment 1, was not intended to identify, and generally does not identify, the specific existing attacher responsible for the non-compliant condition.

   Because the entries reflect the applicant associated with the attachment request, all entries in the "Attacher Name" column fall within category (b).

Date Filed: October 05, 2026

Witness: David L. Gladey and Jeffrey Richard
Request from: New England Connectivity and Telecommunications Association

Question:

Referring to OCC-150 and Eversource's responses OCC-150, Attachments 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8, confirm that Eversource's responses reflect historical snapshots of amounts that were due and outstanding as of the end of the applicable year, and do not reflect current paid status for each NECTA member.

Response:

The Company confirms that the amounts reflected in OCC-150, Attachment 1, OCC-150, Attachment 2, OCC-150, Attachment 4, OCC-150, Attachment 6, and OCC-150, Attachment 8, reflect amounts that were billed and outstanding as of the applicable year end. The Company further confirms that those amounts do not necessarily reflect the current payment status of individual attachers, including NECTA members, due to subsequent payments, credits, adjustments, or other account activity.