STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

APPLICATION OF THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY TO AMEND ITS RATE SCHEDULES	: : : :	DOCKET NO. 26-05-10 OCTOBER 13, 2026

INTERROGATORIES OF ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED TO THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY D/B/A EVERSOURCE ENERGY — SET THIRTEEN

Pursuant to the Authority's August 19, 2026 ruling granting Allco Finance Limited ("Allco") intervenor status, and in accordance with the Authority's Rules of Practice, Regs. Conn. State Agencies § 16-1-1 et seq., Allco propounds the following interrogatories — numbered consecutively with Allco's prior sets (Q-ALLCO-001 through Q-ALLCO-170) — to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy ("Eversource" or the "Company"). Each interrogatory below follows up a response filed by the Company between October 5 and October 11, 2026 that did not answer the question asked, answered only part of it, or answered by cross-reference to a response that itself did not answer it. The definitions and instructions set forth in Allco's prior sets apply. "Withheld Reports" means the two Internal Audit reports described in the Company's responses to ALLCO-066 and ALLCO-067 — the Privileged Load Settlement Report (draft December 8, 2025; final January 7, 2026) and the Privileged Procurement Report (draft May 13, 2026; final June 4, 2026). Where the Company has objected that an interrogatory "seeks information specific to Allco projects," the follow-up below is confined to information concerning interconnection customers generally; the Company is requested to answer as to all customers and, if it maintains its objection as to Allco's own applications, to say so separately rather than withhold the answer as a whole. Please answer separately and fully in writing, identify the witness responsible, and provide responsive schedules in native (Excel) format.

INTERROGATORIES

Q-ALLCO-171: (Follow-up to ALLCO-066 — need-to-know justification for each recipient.) ALLCO-066 asked the Company to identify, for each person who received or was briefed on either Withheld Report, the person's name, title, employer, attorney status, the date and format of the disclosure, its purpose, and "the specific job function that is contended to have required that person's access to the report's contents." The response gives only head-counts by

department ("three employees from the Eversource Electric Supply Department; six Eversource Executive Vice Presidents; two employees from Eversource Customer Operations; three employees from Eversource IT; the VP of Accounting; and two individuals from the Company's external auditing firm"). For each of the persons counted in ALLCO-066 — including each of the four draft and twenty final recipients of the Load Settlement Report, the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, "his assistant," each Executive Vice President, each of the six draft and eight final recipients of the Procurement Report, and each recipient of any later copy, excerpt, summary, or briefing — state: (a) the person's name (or, for non-officer employees, an anonymized identifier and title), title, employer entity, and whether the person is an attorney; (b) the date and format of each disclosure; (c) the specific decision, task, or responsibility of that person that the Company contends required knowledge of the report's contents, as distinct from the person's general seniority; (d) whether that person was involved in requesting the audit, in providing information to the auditors, or in acting on the findings; and (e) whether that person has provided testimony or sponsored any exhibit or interrogatory response in this docket. If the Company contends that any person's identity is privileged, state the basis.

Q-ALLCO-172: (Follow-up to ALLCO-066 — disclosure to the external auditors and to the Chief Executive Officer's assistant.) With respect to the "two individuals from the Company's external auditing firm" who received the final Load Settlement Report on January 7, 2026: (a) identify the firm and each individual's role; (b) state whether the firm or those individuals were retained by, or were acting at the direction of, the Company's counsel in connection with legal advice, and produce the engagement letter or other instrument under which they received the report; (c) state whether the report, its findings, or information derived from it was used in the audit or review of the financial statements of Eversource Energy or of the Company, in any management-representation letter, or in any communication with the audit committee; and (d) state whether the Company contends that disclosure to its independent auditors did not waive the attorney-client privilege it asserts, and the basis for that contention. With respect to the Chief Executive Officer's assistant, state the job function that required that person's access to the report.

Q-ALLCO-173: (Follow-up to ALLCO-066 and ALLCO-067 — the unanswered subparts.) ALLCO-066 asked specifically about disclosure to any board or board committee (subpart (b)), to personnel of any affiliate (subpart (g)), and to any governmental agency or party

to any other proceeding (subpart (h)); ALLCO-067 asked whether an access log exists for each repository and who its custodian is, and how many persons "hold or have held" access credentials. None of these was answered. State: (a) whether either Withheld Report, or any summary, excerpt, or description of its findings, has been provided or presented to the Board of Trustees of Eversource Energy, to its Audit Committee, Finance Committee, or any other committee, or to the board of the Company, and on what date; (b) whether either report or its findings has been disclosed to ISO New England Inc., the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the Authority, the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities, the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission, any state attorney general, or any party or tribunal in any other proceeding, including FERC Docket No. EL26-5-000, identifying each disclosure; (c) whether an access log exists for the Internal Audit shared drive, the Internal Audit SharePoint site, AutoAudit, the Executive Risk Management shared drive, and the Executive Risk Management SharePoint site, and the custodian of each; (d) the number of persons who have held access credentials to each repository at any time since December 8, 2025, as distinct from the number who hold them today; and (e) why the Executive Risk Management group holds copies of reports the Company characterizes as attorney-client communications, and the job function of each of its four employees that required access.

Q-ALLCO-174: (Follow-up to ALLCO-065 through ALLCO-073 — the facts underlying the Withheld Reports.) Without regard to any privilege claimed for the reports themselves, state: (a) the date on which the Legal Department requested or directed each audit, and the date on which the engagement memorandum for each was issued; (b) whether an audit of the ISO-New England load-settlement process or of the procurement organization appeared in the Internal Audit Department's approved annual audit plan for 2025 or 2026 before the Legal Department's direction, and if so when it was added; (c) whether the workpapers for each audit are maintained in AutoAudit in the same manner as the workpapers for the audits listed in the Company's response to OCC-307; (d) each corrective action, process change, resettlement request, refund, or accounting adjustment that the Company, Eversource Energy Service Company, or any affiliate has made as a result of either audit, with the date and amount; (e) whether any error in the reporting or settlement of load with ISO New England was identified for Connecticut, Massachusetts, or New Hampshire, the period affected, and the dollar amount of any over- or under-settlement; and (f) whether any amount so identified is reflected in the Test

Year, Test Year Pro Forma, or Rate Year cost of service, with the schedule and workpaper reference.

Q-ALLCO-175: (Follow-up to ALLCO-102 — persons, rates, and prior review.) ALLCO-102 asked the Company to identify each person who established, calculated, approved, or revised the overhead loader percentages and each person or group with authority to approve deviations (subpart (a)); to state whether each loader was developed by CL&P, ESC, Burns & McDonnell, or another entity (subpart (c)); and to state whether any loader was reviewed for consistency with actual incurred costs before December 2024 (subpart (d)). The response names four departments and attaches a methodology document "Last Updated: 5/16/25." State: (a) by name and title, each person in the ESC Investment Planning, Corporate Accounting, Plant Accounting, and Accounts Payable departments who calculated or approved the CL&P rate for each cost element (ZNP, ZPB, ZGS, ZST, ZLO, ZMI, ZAS, ZEL, ZEB, ZVE, and any other loader applied to distribution construction work orders) in each year 2017 through 2026, and each person or group with authority to approve a deviation; (b) the rate for each such cost element in effect for CL&P in each year 2017 through 2026, with the effective date of each change, in native format; (c) the annual rate-calculation workpapers for each cost element for 2017 through 2026; (d) each version of the methodology document in force before May 16, 2025, and the changes made on that date; (e) whether any loader was developed, recommended, or benchmarked by Burns & McDonnell or any other outside firm, identifying the firm and the engagement; and (f) the answer to subpart (d) of ALLCO-102 — whether any loader percentage applied to interconnection charges was reviewed for consistency with actual incurred costs before December 2024, identifying each review, its date, author, and conclusion.

Q-ALLCO-176: (Follow-up to ALLCO-102, Attachment 1 — application of the clearing-rate loaders to customer-funded work orders.) ALLCO-102, Attachment 1 states that the Administrative Salaries & Expense (AS&E) overhead "is applied to all capital work order charges … excluding the following: CIAC, Reimbursables, AFUDC, Salvage, UVLs and AS&E," and that the AS&E, Miscellaneous Distribution Expense Capitalization (MDEC), and Engineering & Supervision (E&S) rates are "fixed clearing rate[s]" maintained "to maintain a balance equal to $0 to +/- 1 month of activity in the clearing work orders." State: (a) whether the exclusion of "CIAC" and "Reimbursables" from the AS&E base refers to cost elements credited to a work order or to work orders that are funded by customer contributions or reimbursements,

and identify the cost elements (A21, A61 through A69, LCO, M15, U01 through U10, UVL, ZAS, Z02) to which the words "CIAC" and "Reimbursables" correspond; (b) whether AS&E, MDEC, E&S, ZPB, ZGS, ZNP, and ZVE were applied, in each year 2017 through 2025, to work orders for distributed-generation interconnection facilities and upgrades whose cost is reimbursed in whole or in part by the interconnection customer, and the authority (tariff, Guideline provision, Authority order, or Company policy) for doing so; (c) whether the amount loaded to a customer-reimbursed interconnection work order by a clearing-rate loader represents costs incurred for that work order or a pro-rata share of a company-wide pool of costs that would otherwise be allocated to the Company's own capital projects; (d) whether amounts recovered from interconnection customers through those loaders are credited to the corresponding clearing work orders — thereby reducing the overhead allocated to projects in Exhibits CLP-ADDITIONS-1 through -6 and in rate base — or are retained by the Company, identifying the accounting entries; (e) the total amount of each loader recovered from interconnection customers in each year 2017 through 2025; and (f) the mechanism by which the indirect and overhead amounts shown on the distributed-generation interconnection project closing reports in Exhibit CLP-ADDITIONS-6 Supplemental (filed October 1, 2026) come to range from roughly 40 percent to more than 130 percent of direct cost, and whether the Company contends that each such amount reflects costs actually incurred for the project on which it was charged.

Q-ALLCO-177: (Follow-up to ALLCO-103 and ALLCO-112 — final accountings.) The Company states that the final-accounting process "is performed manually and is not tracked or maintained in PowerClerk," that the data "is entered and tracked across multiple systems," and that Section 1.2 of the Interconnection Guidelines provides that "[c]ost estimates provided to applicants will be subject to a six-month true-up." State: (a) each system in which final accountings, reconciliations of estimated to actual cost, and resulting refunds or bills are recorded, and the fields each maintains; (b) the department and the persons (by title) responsible for performing final accountings and for issuing final-accounting reports to interconnection customers, and the number of full-time equivalents assigned to that function in each year 2017 through 2025; (c) for each year 2017 through 2025, the number of distributed-generation interconnection projects for which Company construction was completed, and of those the number for which a final accounting was issued within six months of completion, within one year, and more than one year after completion, derived from whatever system or records are

available; if the Company contends it cannot derive these figures, state the number of person-hours it estimates the review would require and the records that would have to be consulted; (d) whether the Company has any process, report, metric, or control for monitoring compliance with the six-month true-up in Section 1.2, and if so identify it and produce the most recent output; and (e) whether the Company has ever reported to the Authority, in any docket or compliance filing, the number or timeliness of interconnection final accountings, identifying each.

Q-ALLCO-178: (Follow-up to ALLCO-084 and ALLCO-085 — pre-construction collections.) The Company states that it "does not and has not requested deposits, prepayments or security from developers and distribution generation interconnection customers," and that interconnection-related cash is deposited into the Company's Bank of America operating account and commingled "for working capital needs." Section 1.2 of the Guidelines, as quoted by the Company in ALLCO-132, provides for an Interconnection Agreement "with payment milestones included within the Agreement." State: (a) whether, under the Company's form of Interconnection Agreement and practice in each year 2017 through 2025, the interconnection customer is required to pay all or part of the estimated cost of upgrades and interconnection facilities before the Company incurs that cost, and if so the payment milestones and the percentage of estimated cost payable at each; (b) the basis on which the Company contends that such payments are not "prepayments"; (c) for each year 2018 through 2025, the total amount collected from interconnection customers under payment milestones, the total expended on the corresponding work orders in that year, and the balance of collected but unexpended customer funds at year-end (ALLCO-085, subpart (e)); (d) the average and median interval, in days, between the Company's receipt of a milestone payment and the completion of the work it funded, for projects completed in each year 2020 through 2025; (e) the amount of the "earnings credit" netted against the Company's bank fees in each year 2020 through 2025, and the portion attributable to interconnection-customer balances; and (f) the amount of short-term borrowing the Company avoided, and the interest cost avoided, in each year 2020 through 2025 by reason of holding interconnection-customer funds as working capital (ALLCO-108, subpart (c)).

Q-ALLCO-179: (Follow-up to ALLCO-108 and ALLCO-004 — refund liabilities.) The Company confirms (ALLCO-004) that a refund obligation determined on final accounting "is recorded as a liability on the Company's books until the payment is issued," but did not answer subparts (a), (b), or (d) of ALLCO-108. For refund obligations to interconnection customers

generally (not limited to Allco or its affiliates), state: (a) the FERC account and sub-account in which such liabilities are recorded; (b) the balance of computed but unpaid interconnection refunds at the end of each year 2019 through 2025 and at the most recent month-end; (c) whether and where that balance is reflected in the Test Year and Rate Year balance sheet, rate base, cash working capital, or lead-lag study in this docket; (d) the Company's policy and standard timeline for paying a computed refund, by reference to Section 5.3.2 of the Interconnection Agreement and any internal procedure, and the person by title who authorizes payment; and (e) a schedule, in native format and with customers other than Allco anonymized, of each interconnection refund computed from January 1, 2017 to the present, showing the date computed, the amount, the date paid, and the amount outstanding.

Q-ALLCO-180: (Follow-up to ALLCO-110 — Form 556 and the CIAC fee.) Subparts (b) and (c) of ALLCO-110 concern all interconnection customers, not Allco alone. State: (a) the written policy, tariff provision, or Authority order under which a "CIAC Fee" or percentage CIAC is collected from interconnection customers and later refunded upon submission of FERC Form 556, or state that none exists and describe the practice, its origin, and the person by title who established it; (b) for each year 2017 through 2025, the number of interconnection projects for which a CIAC fee or percentage CIAC was collected from a customer that had submitted, or later submitted, Form 556, the total amount collected, the total refunded, the average interval between collection and refund, and the amount still held; (c) the FERC account in which CIAC-fee collections and refunds are recorded and whether the balance is included in Account 252500 as reported in ALLCO-001; (d) why percentage CIAC is collected at the outset from qualifying facilities whose Form 556 certification is on file rather than not charged; and (e) whether any interest or carrying charge is paid to the customer on the refunded amount for the period it was held.

Q-ALLCO-181: (Follow-up to ALLCO-111 — records and substantiation requests of interconnection customers generally.) State: (a) whether the Company maintains, for distribution-generation interconnection work orders, the construction work-order records described in 18 C.F.R. Part 101, Electric Plant Instruction 4(C), and the Company's retention period for such records; (b) the Company's policy, if any, on furnishing invoices, general-ledger detail, work-order cost detail, or other transaction-level substantiation to an interconnection customer that disputes a charge or requests support for a final accounting, and the person by title

who decides whether to furnish it; (c) the number of requests for such substantiation received from interconnection customers in each year 2017 through 2025 and, of those, the number granted in full, granted in part, and refused; and (d) whether any Authority order, Guideline provision, or Interconnection Agreement term, in the Company's view, entitles an interconnection customer to such substantiation, identifying each.

Q-ALLCO-182: (Follow-up to ALLCO-113 and ICC-019 — complaints and disputes concerning interconnection charges.) ICC-019 states that since January 2020 "there have been instances of customers reaching out to PURA EOE to help resolve an issue between CL&P and the customer," but that the Company counts none of them as a "formal interconnection dispute" because it excludes, among other things, "a claim for breach of the Interconnection Agreement." State: (a) the number of such instances in each year 2020 through 2026, by subject matter (including percentage overhead or CIAC charges, differences between estimated and actual cost, delay in final accounting or refund, and requests for substantiation); (b) the number of complaints, disputes, objections, or inquiries on those subjects received directly by the Company, its interconnection group, its customer-advocate or ombudsperson function, or its counsel in each year 2017 through 2026, from customers other than Allco, and the resolution of each; (c) whether the Company tracks such contacts in any system, identifying it; and (d) whether any interconnection customer other than Allco has asserted that the Company breached an Interconnection Agreement, in correspondence, in a regulatory proceeding, or in litigation, since January 1, 2017, identifying each by anonymized identifier, date, subject, and outcome.

Q-ALLCO 183: (Follow-up to ALLCO-119 and ALLCO-120 — pending and withdrawn applications.) State: (a) the precise definition of each row in the ALLCO-120 tables ("Pending >5yrs," "Pending 5 yrs," "Pending 3 yrs," "Pending 1 yr," "Pending <1yr"), including the lower and upper bound of each interval and the date as of which pendency was measured; (b) for each of the 23 Level 2 applications pending more than five years and the 56 pending in the "5 yrs" category, the application number (or an anonymized identifier), the nameplate capacity, the year filed, the substation (which may be anonymized), the current PowerClerk status, the date of the most recent Company action, and the reason the application remains pending; (c) for each of the 94 applications over 2 MW withdrawn between 2020 and 2025, the year filed, the year withdrawn, the stated reason if any, and whether the withdrawal followed the Company's issuance of an upgrade-cost estimate, a system-impact study, or notice of an ISO-New England

requirement; (d) given the Company's statement that it "does not deny, reject or cancel projects," the number of applications in each year 2020 through 2025 that were deemed withdrawn, closed, or removed from the queue by the Company for non-payment, non-response, expiration, or any other reason, and the Guideline provision relied on; and (e) the system, other than PowerClerk, in which the Company records whether an application requires electric-power-system modifications or upgrades (ALLCO-119, subpart (f)), and the counts requested in ALLCO-119 subparts (f) and (g) derived from that system.

Q-ALLCO-184: (Follow-up to ALLCO-122, ALLCO-132, and ALLCO-135 — ISO-New England review and Guideline timelines, for applications generally.) State: (a) the statute, regulation, Guideline provision, ISO-New England tariff provision or procedure, or Company practice on which the Company relies for the statement in ICC-005 that ISO-New England review is required for generating facilities "over 1 MW," and the date on which the Company first applied that threshold (ALLCO-122, subpart (b)); (b) whether, and under what circumstances, the Company applies ISO-New England review to applications of 1 MW or less (ALLCO-132, subpart (d); ALLCO-135, subpart (d)); (c) for each year 2018 through 2025, the number of applications for which the Company withheld an executable Interconnection Agreement pending an ISO-New England screening, study, or determination, by size class (≤1 MW; >1 MW to 2 MW; >2 MW to 5 MW; >5 MW), and the average and median elapsed time from application to issuance of an executable agreement for those applications; (d) the metrics, timelines, and milestones contained in the monthly PowerClerk report that management reviews (ICC-010; ALLCO-132, subpart (a)), the recipients of that report, and, for each month from January 2024 to the present, the percentage of applications for which each Guideline timeline was met; and (e) each instance since January 1, 2020 in which the Company has notified the Authority that a Guideline timeline was not met, and the reason stated.

Q-ALLCO-185: (Follow-up to ALLCO-088, ALLCO-096, ALLCO-097, and ALLCO-098 — load-reducer treatment.) ALLCO-088, Attachment 1 presents an "Estimated CL&P Distributed Solar Output" at each ISO-New England monthly peak hour from 2021 through 2025, while the response to ALLCO-088, subpart (e) states that the Company "has not expressly estimated the effect of behind-the-meter or load-reducing generation on peaks, load obligations, or transmission billing determinants." State: (a) the source, method, author, and date of preparation of Attachment 1, and each other purpose for which the Company or any affiliate uses

that or any similar estimate (including load forecasting, standard-service procurement, and ISO-New England reporting); (b) the installed distributed-generation capacity (MW AC) assumed for each month in Attachment 1, reconciled to the capacity reported in ALLCO-095; (c) why the estimated output at the monthly peak hour is reported as zero in most months, including months in which the peak hour is 18:00 or 19:00 and daylight remains, and the estimated output at the ISO-New England annual peak hour and at the hour of the Company's own monthly distribution-system peak in each year 2021 through 2025; (d) the mechanism by which, as stated in ALLCO-098, subpart (b), excess generation delivered to the grid by a customer served by one load-serving entity "reduces load and capacity obligations for all load-serving entities" rather than the obligation of the load-serving entity that serves the host customer, by reference to the ISO-New England settlement rules the Company relies on; (e) whether the Company, as standard-service and last-resort-service provider, receives the reduction in energy, capacity, and transmission settlement attributable to load-reducing generation at the premises of its standard-service customers, and where in the standard-service rate or reconciliation that reduction is credited; and (f) whether the Company's standard-service procurement solicitations disclose to bidders any forecast of behind-the-meter generation, and if so produce the most recent such disclosure.

Q-ALLCO-186: (Follow-up to ALLCO-090, ALLCO-092, and ALLCO-093 — procurement controls.) State: (a) for each of the 47 vendors listed in ALLCO-092, subpart (b), the purchase-order data (purchase-order number, date, amount, and description) for each purchase order below $50,000 issued in 2023 through 2026, and whether an Ariba contract or sole-source justification covered the vendor during the period, in native format; (b) for each year 2023 through 2026, the number and total value of purchase orders below $50,000 released by the Procurement organization and the number of vendors whose sub-threshold purchase orders aggregated above $50,000 within a rolling twelve-month period; (c) what control, if any, performed the function of the Maximo purchase-order release control before its implementation in September 2025, and the number of purchase orders over $50,000 released from January 1, 2023 to September 2025 without an Ariba contract, a sole-source justification, or a documented exception; (d) whether the June 4, 2026 Privileged Procurement Report examined purchase-order splitting, aggregation of sub-threshold purchase orders, or milestone and advance payments, and whether any corrective action on those subjects has been taken since; and (e) each contract or purchase order entered into since January 1, 2020 under which the Company or ESC paid, or

committed to pay, more than $1,000,000 in advance of the vendor's performance or incurrence of cost, with the vendor, amount, and date, and the person by title who approved it; if the Company maintains that this cannot be determined from its records, state how the invoice approvers described in ALLCO-090 verify that a milestone has been satisfied before approving payment.

Q-ALLCO-187: (Follow-up to ALLCO-136, ALLCO-138, ALLCO-139, ALLCO-140, ALLCO-141, ALLCO-144, and ALLCO-146 — cost of equity.) State or produce: (a) the calculation of the Company's earned return on common equity for the Test Year and for each of calendar years 2023, 2024, and 2025, in native format, showing net income, average common equity, and each normalization, exclusion, or adjustment made (ALLCO-136, subpart (a), which was answered only by reference to the EOE-062 attachments); (b) the inputs necessary to perform the calculations the Company declined to perform in ALLCO-139, subpart (f) and ALLCO-140, subpart (d) — the 30-year United States Treasury yield on the date of Ms. Bulkley's analysis and on the date of the response, the Value Line reports from which each Proxy Group beta was taken, and the price and dividend data underlying each dividend yield — in native format; (c) the weight given to each of the DCF, CAPM, ECAPM, and bond-yield-risk-premium results in arriving at the recommended 10.25 percent, and the recommendation that results from the DCF, CAPM, and ECAPM results alone (ALLCO-141, subparts (a) and (d)); (d) the complete workpapers underlying Exhibits CLP-ROE-1 through CLP-ROE-12 in native Excel format with formulas intact (ALLCO-141, subpart (e)); (e) whether the Company contends that the relationship $M/B = (ROE - g) \div (COE - g)$ is inapplicable to Eversource Energy and, if so, each reason (ALLCO-138, subpart (d)); (f) for each issuance of common stock by Eversource Energy since January 1, 2020, including under any at-the-market program, forward sale agreement, or equity-unit settlement, the date or period, number of shares, average price, net proceeds, and book value per share immediately before the issuance, with the page citation to the Form 10-K or 10-Q on which the Company relies for its statement that the information is "publicly available" (ALLCO-146, subpart (a)); and (g) the amount of dividends the Company expects to pay to Eversource Energy in the Rate Year and in each subsequent year of the proposed term, and whether the $430 million paid in 2025 exceeded the Company's net income for that year (ALLCO-144, Attachment 1).

Q-ALLCO-188: (Follow-up to ALLCO-116, ALLCO-117, and ALLCO-118 — legal collections.) State: (a) the FERC account(s) in which the fees paid to the Law Offices of Alexander G. Snyder LLC are recorded and the amount of such fees included in the Test Year and Rate Year cost of service, with the schedule and workpaper reference (ALLCO-117, subpart (b)); (b) the commission rate or fee schedule under the Company's engagement of that firm, and the date and approver of the engagement; (c) from the Company's own records rather than the Judicial Branch case-lookup site, for each year 2021 through 2025, the number of collection actions filed, the aggregate amount claimed, the aggregate amount of judgments obtained, and the aggregate amount collected; (d) the persons by title who supervise the engagement and review its cost-effectiveness; and (e) whether any analysis has been performed of the cost per dollar collected under the engagement in light of the increase in fees from $376,048 in 2022 to $1,632,968 in 2025, and if so produce it.

Please serve responses on the undersigned by electronic mail by October 27, 2026.

ALLCO FINANCE LIMITED

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone
Juris No. 438879
Allco Finance Limited
777 West Putnam Avenue, Suite 300
Greenwich, CT 06830
Phone: (212) 681-1120
Email: Thomas.Melone@AllcoUS.com

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that on this day, the foregoing document was filed with the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, and copies were served upon each person designated on the Authority's official service list in this proceeding in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-1-15.

/s/ Thomas Melone
Thomas Melone