Date Filed: September 28, 2026

Witness: Edward A. Davis and Kimberly R. Parsons
Request from: Connecticut Industrial Energy Consumers

Question:

With reference to the Company's rate filing in Docket No. 17-10-46, please provide the following information for each rate class:

a. The monthly coincident peak for the Test Year ending December 2016, and Rate Year 1, Rate Year 2, and Rate Year 3;
b. The monthly non-coincident peak for the Test Year ending December 2016, and Rate Year 1, Rate Year 2, and Rate Year 3; and
c. The monthly kWh usage for the Test Year ending December 2016, and Rate Year 1, Rate Year 2, and Rate Year 3.

Response:

a.& b. Please refer to CIEC-022, Attachment 1, for the requested Coincident Peak (CP) and Non-Coincident Peak (NCP) demand information for the Test Year ending December 2016. For reference, please note that the distribution Cost-of-Service studies filed for Test Year and Rate Year did not use CP demands to allocate costs. However, the Company has developed Test Year CP demands utilizing the data corresponding with Test Year NCP demands. NCP demands developed in the Company's rate filing were prepared for only the Test Year and provided the basis for Rate Year 1 allocations as part of the cost study conducted in the Company's rate case filing in Docket No. 17-10-46. Class NCP demands were, therefore, not developed for Rate Year 1, 2, or 3. Accordingly, no CP demands for these rate years were developed.

c. Please refer to CIEC-022, Attachment 2, for actual Test Year 2016 sales and forecasted sales for Rate Year 1, Rate Year 2, and Rate Year 3.