**From:** Flynn, Ermelinda
**To:** Flynn, Ermelinda
**Subject:** FW: Docket No. 26-05-10 comment
**Date:** Wednesday, October 14, 2026 8:21:20 AM

---

**From:** Jmc jmc <spam.jmc@gmail.com>
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 13, 2026 6:45 PM
**To:** Pura.ExecutiveSecretary <Pura.ExecutiveSecretary@ct.gov>
**Subject:** Docket No. 26-05-10 comment

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I attempted to sign up for an account to file a public comment but after doing so, It appears as though I dont have permission to file a comment.

I'd like to submit the following for public comment on Docket No. 26-05-10:

I strongly opposed the proposed rate increase. I have a single family home. Using the lowest of our past three months usage, I calculate that this increase will be a 24% increase from what we paid. The portion of the bill that is being targeted for a rate increase already accounts for 40% of my current bill.

I will also note that this area of the bill conspicuously side-steps customers ability to shop around for different rates from other energy providers.
By targeting this area of the bill, eversource is effectively ensuring a larger percentage of a customers bill is locked in at a higher rate.

I'll also note the higher burden (in terms of percentage rate change) placed on residential rates when compared against most other service rates. It would seem a minor percentage increase in high utilization rates (e.g. intermediate/large TOD, EV charging services) could reduce the actual expense seen by residential customers.

Thank you,
James Corcoran