Date Filed: September 24, 2026

Witness: Christopher E. Colorio, Mark P. Baldwin, John G. Griffin and Leanne M. Landry
Request from: Office of Consumer Counsel

Question:

(MS24E - Timesheets) Please refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 (CLP-5A-01) (MS24E) p. 45-48. Please explain why these timesheets differ from the template and how the Company verified the number of hours worked by each employee on each work order.

Response:

Please refer to Exhibit ACCT-2 (CLP-5) (MS24E), Outside Line Contractors, at Line 35, Asplundh Construction Corp, in amount of $6,988.

Asplundh Construction Corp was tasked with performing post-storm follow-up work to address known issues in the field caused by the MS24E event. Even if the Company has resumed "normal operations," the Company may need to keep storm contractors engaged to address potentially hazardous conditions, temporary fixes made during the storm to restore power to customers, and other activities necessary to return the system to its pre-storm condition.

When dock crew contractors, like Asplundh Construction Corp, perform post-storm follow-up work after the ERP has been lifted, they follow the blue-sky billing process and charge the storm work order on a time and material basis. The blue-sky billing process does not have the same timesheet requirements as those applicable during the ERP. The work is assigned by the Area Work Center Management team and during the invoice review process, the work details are reviewed by the Operations Manager to ensure accuracy of billing.