GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-1
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Fuel Inventory) Application, Reynolds Prefiled Testimony (PFT), p. 2; Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. The Company utilizes CME Group futures data as of June 26, 2026. Provide an updated calculation of the 2027 future price using the most recent available CME settlement data.

RESPONSE:

See attached Exhibit RSR-1.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-2
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Fuel Inventory) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 2; Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. Regarding the premium spread between Ultra Low Sulfur Kerosene and House Heating Oil sourced from Platts Marketscan, provide the historical monthly actual spreads experienced by the Company for the previous 24 months to date.

RESPONSE:

See attached Exhibit RSR-2.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-3
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Fuel Inventory) Application, Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. Provide a copy of the effective contract governing the "truck delivery per contract" fee used in this calculation and indicate if these delivery rates are fixed through the entire 2027 rate year.

RESPONSE:

See attached Exhibit RSR-3. The truck delivery price adder can be found in 'Exhibit B' on the last page of the document.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-4
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Fuel Inventory) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3; Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. The Company's 13-month average fuel inventory volume increased from 6,321 barrels in the 2026 AFRR to 6,449 barrels proposed for the 2027 rate year. Explain the operational drivers requiring the Company to hold a higher average baseline fuel volume in storage.

RESPONSE:

Pursuant to the Criteria Decision, the Company is required to have sufficient fuel on site or through firm resupply arrangements to operate continuously at full load for a minimum of 24 hours if dispatched by ISO-NE. The Company's current inventory level is intended to comply with that requirement. The Company procures fuel on an as-needed basis to ensure compliance with this requirement. The Company's primary means of mitigating fuel supply disruption risk is through maintaining inventory above the minimum required by the agreement.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-5
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Fuel Inventory and Transformer) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3; Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. State whether the gas compressor transformer replacement project, with expected completion in the fourth quarter of 2027, will alter, reduce, or temporarily interrupt the monthly fuel burn or fuel inventory requirements during the 2027 rate year.

RESPONSE:

The gas compressor transformer replacement is not expected to interrupt fuel burn/inventory during the 2027 rate year.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-6
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Transformer) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3. The Company states that the direct replacement from the original manufacturer, Fortune Electric, includes a one-year warranty. Given the critical nature of a primary gas compressor transformer and total project costs of approximately $1 million, explain why the Company did not negotiate or procure an extended manufacturer warranty (e.g., 5 or 10 years). State whether Fortune Electric offers extended warranties for this model, the associated incremental cost of such an extension, and why an extended warranty was deemed unfeasible or uneconomical for ratepayers.

RESPONSE:

Fortune Electric did not offer an extended warranty for this model in their initial proposal. The Company did not pursue the option of an extended warranty due to cost considerations.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-7
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Transformer) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3. Regarding the statement that an additional $50,000 will be required for oil removal, disposal, and refilling, which is explicitly excluded from the Rizzo Electric turnkey proposal. Provide the specific contractor cost, cost sheets, or historical invoices used to derive this $50,000 estimate. Furthermore, state whether this work will be sole-sourced or competitively bid, and name the vendor expected to perform these oil services.

RESPONSE:

The removal, disposal, and refilling cost is $27K, from TSI, Transformer Services Inc.

Oil cost is $16K from Prime Lube Inc.

See *Docket No: 25-06-03*, Response to LFE-6 for additional details.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-8
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Transformer) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3; Ex. 2, Sch. WP B-4.2. Regarding the separate $50,000 oil handling estimate, please clarify if any part of the oil disposal or refilling services will utilize the existing fuel delivery infrastructure, equipment, or contractual frameworks established with Sprague for the New Haven Peakers as referenced in Exhibit 2, Schedule WP B-4.2. If yes, explain how the Company is ensuring that ratepayers are not being double charged for overlapping vendor mobilization fees.

RESPONSE:

This oil is used exclusively for the transformer and is unrelated to the fuel.

GB II New Haven LLC
Docket No: 26-06-03
GB II New Haven LLC Application to Establish 2027 Revenue Requirements

Response to RSR-9
Witness: Robert Reynolds

QUESTION:

(Transformer) Application, Reynolds PFT, p. 3. Rizzo Electric's proposal is $865,000 and oil handling is estimated to cost $50,000 for a combined baseline cost of $915,000. This leaves an implied residual project contingency of approximately $85,000 compared to the stated $1 million total. Provide an itemized schedule detailing the specific, individual risk factors or unforeseen material costs that comprise this $85,000. State whether any portion of this contingency is allocated to cover potential cost overruns by Rizzo Electric.

RESPONSE:

The Rizzo proposal has been negotiated down to approximately $815K. With oil-related activities estimated at roughly $50K, we anticipate the total project cost will be approximately $900K once the replacement scope is finalized. Additional contingency was included to account for potential inflationary increases in goods and services that are outside the scope of Rizzo's executed task order.