STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

August 3, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-08-02

Re: Docket No. 26-08-02 – Annual Residential Renewable Energy Solutions Program Review and Rate Setting – Year 6

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **CAE-22 through CAE-26**, directed individually to the Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource) and The United Illuminating Company (UI; together with Eversource, the electric distribution companies or EDCs); **CAE-27 through CAE-30**, directed to UI; and **CAE-31 through CAE-32**, directed to the Connecticut Green Bank.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **August 17, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

Docket No. 26-08-02
Annual Residential Renewable Energy Solutions Program Review and Rate Setting – Year 6

Interrogatories CAE-22 through CAE-26 are directed individually to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource) and The United Illuminating Company (UI; together with Eversource, the electric distribution companies or EDCs).

CAE-22 Eversource Comments, May 28, 2026, pp. 3-4; UI Comments, May 28, p. 3. Provide a recommended approach for determining the starting utility rate for customers enrolled in a low-income discount rate.

CAE-23 Sunrun Inc, Tesla, IGS, Connecticut Solar & Storage Association, GoodLeap, and Earthlight Technologies Comments, May 28, 2026, p. 14. Confirm that PowerClerk can currently receive an uploaded, signed customer disclosure form as part of RRES application, and that no customer disclosure form information must be entered as part of the RRES Program application.

CAE-24 Eversource Comments, May 28, 2026, pp. 7-8; UI Comments, May 28, 2026, pp. 4-5. The EDCS note that the RRES Program requires that the eligible solar PV system be located on the eligible property; however, the EDCs also suggest that PURA consider additional siting requirements to focus MFAH eligibility to systems located on or at the property they serve. Clarify whether MFAH systems have been approved or deployed that are not located on the eligible property.

CAE-25 Id. Provide a list of multi-family affordable housing (MFAH) approved through the RRES Program to date. For each MFAH project, provide the year approved, size (kW AC), number of units served, MFAH tier, and whether the siting requires a new service at a separate interconnection point from the existing building load.

CAE-26 Id. Comment on the administrative feasibility, including cost and timeline, to implement differentiated Buy-All compensation rates for 1 to 4-unit properties and MFAH properties.

Interrogatories CAE-27 through CAE-30 are directed to UI.

CAE-27 Reference UI Interrog. Resp. CAE-8; Eversource Interrog. Resp. CAE-8. Explain why UI was unable to estimate the cost or timeline for PowerClerk changes (specifically, updating the customer disclosure form and adding three new fields) without engaging a third-party PowerClerk system vendor. Eversource states that the changes can be implemented in 1-2 months and at no additional cost, as the changes fall within the scope of PowerClerk maintenance. Discuss whether UI has an existing contract for PowerClerk maintenance, and provide an estimate of the cost and timeline to implement the changes discussed in Eversource's response to Interrog. CAE-8.

CAE-28 Eversource Comments, May 28, 2026, p. 6.

a. Confirm that UI's RRES Program metering diagrams and guidelines clearly state the requirement that no load (except for parasitic load to operate the coupled solar and storage system) may be connected behind the utility production meter.
b. Does UI have evidence of customers who have installed system configurations that do not comply with this requirement? If so, provide the number of such customers and discuss the specific system configurations involved.

CAE-29 Eversource Comments, May 28, 2026, pp. 6-7. Does UI agree that customers generally should not be credited or charged for operating DERs independent of the power system during outages? Explain why or why not.

CAE-30 Motion No. 24, May 5, 2026, Docket No. 25-08-02. Provide, in an unlocked Excel spreadsheet, an anonymized list of DC-coupled solar and energy storage RRES systems in UI's territory that are the basis for this concern. The spreadsheet should include, at a minimum, include: operational status (i.e., operational vs in queue), size of PV system (kW), size of energy storage (kW and kWh), and make and model of DC-coupled inverter.

Interrogatories CAE-31 through CAE-32 are directed to the Connecticut Green Bank (CGB).

CAE-31 CGB Comments, May 28, 2026, p. 5. For each potential solution listed for DC-coupled solar and storage, provide an estimate of the cost to participants.

CAE-32 Id., p. 4. Discuss the prevalence of DC-coupled solar and storage systems compared to AC-coupled systems, as well as any anticipated technological changes or adoption trends in the future.