STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

May 11, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-08-02

Re: Docket No. 26-08-02 – Annual Residential Renewable Energy Solutions Program Review and Rate Setting – Year 6

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **CAE-1 through CAE-4**, directed to The United Illuminating Company (UI); **CAE-5 and CAE-6**, directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource); **CAE-7 through CAE-11**, directed individually to Eversource and UI (collectively, the electric distribution companies or EDCs); **CAE-12**, directed individually to UI, Eversource, and the Office of Consumer Counsel; **CAE-13**, directed to the Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement (EOE); **CAE-14 through CAE-20**, directed individually to Earthlight Technologies, GoodLeap, Ion Solar Pros, SAVKAT, SunRun, Tesla, and Trinity Solar; and **CAE-21** directed to Tesla.

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **May 28, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

Docket No. 26-08-02
Annual Residential Renewable Energy Solutions Program Review and Rate Setting – Year 6

Interrogatories CAE-1 through CAE-4 are directed to The United Illuminating Company (UI).

CAE-1 UI Interrog. Resp. CAE-30, Docket No. 25-08-02; UI Compliance, March 17, Docket No. 25-08-02, Att. 1. In its response to CAE-30, UI stated that the reported number of projects receiving adders are a subset of the number reported that are eligible for adders. However, in the data reported for 2025, the number of projects receiving the low-income adders is higher than the number of projects eligible for the low-income adder. Explain and provide corrected data if necessary.

CAE-2 Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement (EOE) Corresp., March 31, 2026, CCWG Report FINAL (CCWG Report), p. 10. Discuss which part(s) of the RRES program manual or other documents would conflict with the standardized methodology for calculating a customer's annual electricity usage set forth in Proposal 3 of the CCWG Report.

CAE-3 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02, p. 7. Provide data for a representative sample of RRES projects to demonstrate whether UI's recommended method for calculating proxy solar generation using AC inverter nameplate capacity accurately reflects generation as measured at the production meter. If estimated and actual production differ significantly, explain the potential factors that contribute to the difference.

CAE-4 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02, pp. 9-10. Provide a cost and timeline estimate for billing system updates to enable automated calculation and tracking for non-bypassable charges and incentive adders, if UI's proposal for using proxy production data for RRES customers with DC-coupled solar and storage systems were approved by the Authority. Additionally, provide an estimate of the costs UI would incur to administer the proxy method through an auditable external calculation and periodic bill adjustment or true-up process. In the response, describe the methodology used to develop these estimates, including: (i) key assumptions; (ii) identification of system components and workflows affected; and (iii) labor, software, and integration cost drivers.

Interrogatories CAE-5 and CAE-6 are directed to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource).

CAE-5 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02, p. 4. UI identifies 160 RRES projects with Permission to Operate (PTO), or 1.8% percent of the current RRES projects with PTO, that are potentially affected by issues related to DC-coupled solar and storage devices utilizing a shared inverter. Provide the number of impacted RRES projects in Eversource service territory.

CAE-6 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02, pp. 9-10. Provide a cost and timeline estimate for billing system updates to enable automated calculation and tracking for non-bypassable charges and incentive adders, if UI's proposal for using proxy production data for RRES customers with DC-coupled solar and storage systems were approved by the Authority. In the response, describe the methodology used to develop these estimates, including: (i) key assumptions; (ii) identification of system components and workflows affected; and (iii) labor, software, and integration cost drivers.

Interrogatories CAE-7 through CAE-11 are directed individually to Eversource and UI (collectively, the electric distribution companies or EDCs).

CAE-7 What percentage of RRES customers receiving adders have adder payments directed to a third-party beneficiary? Provide the percentage separately for the low-income and distressed municipality adder.

CAE-8 CCWG Report, p. 59. Which of the CCWG's proposed changes to the customer disclosure forms would require changes in PowerClerk? Discuss the feasibility of adding standardized rates in the PowerClerk RRES application, with the option for users to override the default standardized rate when allowed to do so. If PowerClerk changes are required, provide an estimated cost and timeline for implementation.

CAE-9 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02. Describe the company's current practice for applying non-bypassable charges, applying income-eligible and distressed municipality adders, and certifying REC eligibility for RRES Program customers with DC-coupled solar and storage systems utilizing a shared inverter.

CAE-10 Motion No. 26, Docket No. 25-08-02, pp. 10. Provide an estimate of the annual lost EDC revenue if the RECs associated with DC-coupled solar and storage systems are no longer sold into the market.

CAE-11 Describe the NEPOOL GIS requirements for verifying Class I REC eligibility.

Interrogatory CAE-12 is directed individually to UI, Eversource, and the Office of Consumer Counsel (OCC).

CAE-12 CCWG Report, pp. 21-22. Explain why adders and storage incentives should not be included in net savings calculations presented to customers.

Interrogatory CAE-13 is directed to the Office of Education, Outreach, and Enforcement (EOE).

CAE-13 CCWG Report, pp. 47-48. For each of the two recommended allowable methodologies for determining the starting utility rate that require a written explanation (customer-specific and software methodology), provide an example of how the methodology and parameters should be explained to customers.

Interrogatories CAE-14 through CAE-20 are directed individually to Earthlight Technologies, GoodLeap, Ion Solar, Ion Solar Pros, SAVKAT, SunRun, Tesla, and Trinity Solar.

CAE-14 CCWG Report, p. 7. In what cases would residential solar contractors not provide multi-year net saving estimates to customers?

CAE-15 CCWG Report, pp. 14, 24. In what cases would contractors provide customers with multi-year savings estimates for terms less than 20 years?

CAE-16 For RRES projects paired with storage, do contractors include the value of ESS incentives in the net savings estimates provided to customers?

CAE-17 CCWG Report, p. 31. Discuss the prevalence of customers opting to cancel contracts within the three-day rescission period. Provide the percentage of contracts that are rescinded within the three-day period, if available.

CAE-18 CCWG Report, p. 46. Discuss the method(s) contractors currently use to determine the starting utility rate. If software platforms are used, do they account for customer-specific rates such as low-income discount rates or third-party supply rates?

CAE-19 CCWG Report, pp. 31, 48. Clarify whether EOE's recommendation of presenting completed customer disclosure forms "immediately prior to and contemporaneously with the solar contract" would require that the customer disclosure form be generated outside PowerClerk and uploaded to PowerClerk after signing. If so, comment on the likelihood of introducing transcription errors when the information from the customer disclosure form is entered into PowerClerk.

CAE-20 In other states in which solar contractors operate that have similar customer disclosure requirements, at what point in the sales and contracting process are contractors required to provide completed customer disclosure forms to customers?

Interrogatory CAE-21 is directed to Tesla.

CAE-21 CCWG Report, p. 29. Provide more precise language for Proposal 19 that would allow Tesla to support the proposal.