STATE OF CONNECTICUT
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY

August 25, 2026
In reply, please refer to:
Docket No. 26-08-04

Re: Docket No. 26-08-04 – Annual Shared Clean Energy Facility Program Review – Year 8

Enclosed are interrogatories numbered **CAE-1 through CAE-3**, directed individually to the Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource) and The United Illuminating Company (UI; together with Eversource, the EDCs).

The responses to these questions have been deemed necessary by the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority (Authority) in connection with the above-referenced docket and will become a part of the record in this proceeding. Please note that persons responsible for responses must be available for cross-examination during a hearing, if held, and must attest to the truth and accuracy of their responses.

Please submit the responses to the Authority's Executive Secretary no later than **September 14, 2026, at 4:00 p.m.** The Authority encourages the submission of responses as soon as they are available rather than delaying until all answers are completed. **Please list each question and answer on the same sheet of paper using a separate sheet for each interrogatory number, and sequentially number the pages of each response (e.g., p. 1 of 5, etc.). At the top of each page, clearly identify the Docket Number, the interrogatory number (including the prefix), the name of the company and the person responsible for the response, and the date of submission.**

Similarly, each Late Filed Exhibit that may be submitted after hearing in this docket shall be sequentially numbered and identified with the Docket Number, Late Filed Exhibit Number, page number, the name of the company and the person responsible for the exhibit, and the date of submission.

If a response to any of the requested information has already been filed in response to another Parties' interrogatory(ies) in this proceeding, please reference the interrogatory which provides the information. It is the respondent's responsibility to ensure that any such information is on file with the Executive Secretary of the Authority.

ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF FILINGS

IMPORTANT NOTE: The Authority has indefinitely suspended the paper copy filing requirement. Anyone filing material with the Authority should file only

an electronic copy through the PURA Web Filing System. Please refer to the Electronic Filing webpage for more information, including on confidential materials: Make An Electronic Filing

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

No confidential material may be filed publicly through the web filing system. Confidential material can be filed electronically by emailing the material to Jeff.Gaudiosi@ct.gov with a subject line labeled in all capital letters "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL - NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." Each page of any electronic confidential information shall also contain a header "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE." The motion for a protective order shall be filed electronically.

The Authority operates with the strong presumption that all documents filed with it are public records subject to the right of public inspection and copying in accordance with the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-200 et. seq. In those exceptional circumstances where a participant believes that information it intends to file is confidential under law, it may file a Motion for a Protective Order requesting an exemption from public disclosure. The Motion must provide specific legal arguments with reference to state or federal law describing with supporting facts why the information should be kept confidential. A certified affidavit supplied by a competent witness in support of the Motion must be appended to the Motion. The Authority will rule on the Motion forthwith, and it will give further direction as necessary.

The current service list for this docket is available on the Authority's website located at http://www.dpuc.state.ct.us/DPUCPublicList.NSF.

By the Direction of the Authority,

PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY



Jeffrey R. Gaudiosi, Esq.
Executive Secretary

Enclosure
cc: Service List

Docket No. 26-08-04
Annual Shared Clean Energy Facility Program Review – Year 8

Interrogatories CAE-1 through CAE-3 are directed individually to The Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (Eversource) and The United Illuminating Company (UI; collectively, the EDCs).

CAE-1 Motion No. 6, May 15, 2026, Docket No. 25-08-04, Annual Shared Clean Energy Facility Program Review – Year 7, Att. B; Motion No. 7, June 18, 2026, Docket No. 25-08-04, Att. B. Explain how the EDCs calculate the expected annual production of Shared Clean Energy Facility (SCEF) Program projects, including the assumptions used. Justify the methodology and explain whether it continues to provide a reasonable estimate of actual annual production.

CAE-2 Motion No. 6, May 15, 2026, Docket No. 25-08-04, Att. B; Motion No. 7, June 18, 2026, Docket No. 25-08-04, Att. B. Based on the EDCs' experience with SCEF Program projects currently in service, state whether actual annual production has differed materially from the production originally estimated by the EDCs. If so, quantify the differences, identify the factors contributing to those differences (if known), and explain whether the EDCs recommend any changes to the methodology for calculating expected annual production. In particular, explain whether any changes are warranted in light of the SCEF Program's use of a budgetary cap.

CAE-3 Decision, Nov. 6, 2024, Docket No. 24-08-03, Annual Non-Residential Renewable Energy Solutions Program Review – Year 4, pp. 8–9. Based on the EDCs' experience administering the SCEF Program, evaluate whether developers are sufficiently aware of the requirements of Public Act No. 21-43, An Act Concerning A Just Transition To Climate-Protective Energy Production And Community Investment, codified as General Statutes § 31-53d, (Public Act 21-43) particularly the prevailing wage requirements applicable to projects of two megawatts (MW) or greater. If the EDCs have observed insufficient awareness or compliance with these requirements, provide a recommendation on whether the SCEF Program Manual should be revised to include a more explicit reference to the applicable requirements of Public Act No. 21-43.