CAE-022

The United Illuminating Company **Witness: Logan Taricani**

Docket No. 26-08-05 **Page 1 of 1**

Date Submitted: August 28, 2026

CAE-022 Q:

Program Administrator Order No. 3 Compliance, Aug. 3, 2026, Att. 2, p. 18. Comment on the findings and recommendations related to data quality presented in Table 2-1. Explain whether the recommendations have been implemented, are being pursued, or should be pursued. If any recommendations have not been or will not be pursued, explain the basis for that decision.

CAE-022 A:

United Illuminating ("UI") confirms that the finding identified by CES in Table 2-1 regarding instances where minimum kW discharge rates exceeded battery nameplate values has been reviewed internally by the Program Administrators ("PAs") and with UI's Distributed Energy Resource Management System ("DERMS") provider, Uplight. The recommendation to improve data quality controls and validation processes has been implemented and continues to be supported through ongoing monitoring activities.

As a result of the enhanced review processes, Uplight implemented additional quality assurance processes designed to identify and resolve data inconsistencies or gaps during the integrations of Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEMs"). These enhancements include validation of data intervals, verification of automated logic applications following data ingestion, and additional reviews intended to identify anomalous battery performance values that may indicate data quality issues.

UI believes these measures taken adequately address the recommendations provided by CES in Table 2-1 and ultimately improve the reliability and accuracy of OEM-provided data. UI and the PAs will continue to evaluate data quality performance and pursue additional process improvements as necessary to further enhance DERMS data integrity.