Company
Docket No. 26-08-05
Response to Interrogatory CAE-24
Date of Submission: August 28th, 2026
Witness: Sergio Carrillo Connecticut Green Bank

Question:

CAE-24 UI Interrog. Resp. CAE-1, pp. 1–2; Eversource Interrog. Resp. CAE-1, pp. 1–2. Provide a recommendation as to whether the annual performance incentive payment option should continue to be offered under the ESS Program. In responding, specifically address the concerns raised by the EDCs regarding continuation of the annual payment option.

Response:

As the performance incentive is not managed by the Green Bank, the Green Bank supports and defers to the EDCs' preference on this issue due to the small number of customers have selected the annual option so far. There are 5 customers that have selected this option so far and 1 in submitted status. It is the Green Bank's assumption that most homeowner owned projects would appreciate having two payments a year.

The Green Bank receives inquiries from homeowners and customers that would like to see the performance of their systems especially during the season. The Green Bank would be interested in how the active dispatch performance of systems results in incentives provided by the EDCs. Market participants seeking to invest in and deploy battery storage for residential and non-residential customers, may appreciate data on active dispatch incentives to support their marketing efforts for future customers, as well as providing satisfactory service to existing customers.